

On Nil-Extensions of Rectangular Groups*

Miroslav Ćirić

*Faculty of Philosophy, Department of Mathematics
University of Niš, P.O. Box 91, 18000 Niš, Yugoslavia
E-mail: mciric@archimed.filfak.ni.ac.yu*

Stojan Bogdanović Žarko Popović

*Faculty of Economics, University of Niš
P.O. Box 121, 18000 Niš, Yugoslavia*

E-mail: sbogdan@archimed.filfak.ni.ac.yu zpopovic@archimed.filfak.ni.ac.yu

Received 17 February 1998

Revised 14 July 1998

Communicated by K. P. Shum

Abstract. Putcha [8] and Ren, Shum and Guo [9] gave very interesting descriptions of nil-extensions of rectangular groups in terms of subdirect and spined products. Since subdirect and spined product decompositions can be characterized in terms of congruences, we state a natural question: What are the systems of congruences which determine these decompositions? In the present paper, we give a complete answer on this question. We define two systems of congruence relations on a completely Archimedean semigroup and make certain subdirect product decompositions of this semigroup. In the case when this semigroup is a nil-extension of a rectangular group, we give structure descriptions of the corresponding factor semigroups. By the obtained results, we deduce more constructive proofs of the theorems of [8, 9].

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M10

Keywords: subdirect product, spined product, completely Archimedean semigroup, nil-extension of a rectangular group

1 Introduction

There are various structural characterizations of semigroups that are nil-extensions of rectangular groups (they were called quasi-rectangular groups in [9]). For example, they were characterized as π -regular semigroups whose

*Supported by Grant 04M03B of RFNS through Math. Inst. SANU

idempotents form a rectangular band, as completely Archimedean semigroups whose idempotents form a subsemigroup etc. Among the most interesting ones are the characterizations given in terms of subdirect products by Putcha [8] in 1973 and by Ren, Shum and Guo [9] in 1997.

Putcha proved a theorem that characterizes the mentioned semigroups in two ways: as subdirect products of a group and a nil-extension of a rectangular band; and as subdirect products of a group, a nil-extension of a left zero band and a nil-extension of a right zero band. He proved this theorem using the famous Birkhoff representation theorem, by which every algebra can be represented as a subdirect product of subdirectly irreducible algebras, and the fact that every subdirectly irreducible semigroup in the class of nil-extensions of rectangular groups is a group, or a nil-extension of a left zero band, or a nil-extension of a right zero band.

Shum and Ren [11] gave a general method for construction of completely Archimedean semigroups, generalizing the famous Rees-Sushkevitsch method for construction of completely simple semigroups. As a particular case of this construction, Ren, Shum and Guo [9] constructed all nil-extensions of rectangular groups and proved another theorem that characterizes nil-extensions of rectangular groups as spined products of a nil-extension of a left group and a nil-extension of a right group with respect to a nil-extension of a group.

These results motivate us to consider these subdirect decompositions again but from another point of view. As is well known, another Birkhoff theorem [1] applied to semigroups says that a semigroup S is a subdirect product of semigroups S_i ($i \in I$) if and only if there exists a family $\{\varrho_i \mid i \in I\}$ of congruences on S such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} \varrho_i = \Delta_S$ and $S/\varrho_i \cong S_i$ for each $i \in I$. We will call $\{\varrho_i \mid i \in I\}$ a family of *factor congruences*. The first problem that we state is: What are the families of factor congruences which realize Putcha's decompositions?

There are also theorems that characterize spined products in terms of congruences. Spined products, known as *pullback products* in universal algebra, first appeared in [4]. In the semigroup theory, their intensive investigation was initiated by Kimura [6] in 1958. The first theorem by which spined products were characterized in terms of congruences was proved by Fleischer [3] in 1955. In the case of semigroups, this theorem asserts that a semigroup S can be represented as a spined product of semigroups P and Q with respect to their common homomorphic image H if and only if there exists a pair ϱ, ϱ' of factor congruences on S such that ϱ and ϱ' commute, $S/\varrho \cong P$, $S/\varrho' \cong Q$, and $S/\varrho\varrho' \cong H$. For spined products with more than two factors, a similar theorem was proved by Wenzel [12] in 1968. The factor congruences that appear in this theorem are congruences satisfying some conditions which can be viewed as a generalization of the conditions of the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Naturally, we also state the following question: What is the pair of factor congruences which determines the spined product decomposition given by [9]?

We give the answers to both of the above stated questions. First, in terms of products of elements with idempotents, we define two systems of congruence relations on a completely Archimedean semigroup. Further, using these systems of congruences we form several systems of factor congruences on the given semigroup, and in the case when this semigroup is a nil-extension of a rectangular group, we give structure descriptions of the corresponding factors. By the obtained results we deduce constructive proofs of the theorems of Putcha and Ren, Shum and Guo.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers. For a set X , Δ_X denotes the equality relation on X , and for relations ϱ and ϱ' on X , $\varrho \cdot \varrho'$, or briefly $\varrho\varrho'$, denotes the product of these relations in the semigroup of binary relations on X .

Let S be a semigroup. Then \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{D} denote the Green's relations on S , $E(S)$ denotes the set of all idempotents of S and $Reg(S)$ denotes the set of all regular elements of S . As is well known, $a \in Reg(S)$ means that there exists $x \in S$ such that $a = axa$ and $x = xax$. Such an element x will be called an *inverse* of a , and the set of all inverses of a will be denoted by $V(a)$. For $e \in E(S)$, we denote by G_e the maximal subgroup of S having e as its identity. Note that the inverse of a regular element of S and the (group) *inverse* in G_e of an element $a \in G_e$, are different notions.

A semigroup S is called a *nil-semigroup* if it has a zero and, for every $a \in S$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^n = 0$. We say that a semigroup S is a *nil-extension* of a semigroup T if it is an ideal extension of T by a nil-semigroup. A semigroup S is called *Archimedean* if, for all $a, b \in S$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b^n \in SaS$. S is called *π -regular* if, for each $a \in S$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^n \in Reg(S)$.

Let P and Q be semigroups, and H their common homomorphic image with respect to homomorphisms φ of P onto H and ψ of Q onto H . Then $S = \{(p, q) \in P \times Q \mid p\varphi = q\psi\}$ is a subdirect product of P and Q , called the *spined product* of P and Q with respect to H , φ and ψ .

For undefined notions and notations, we refer to [2, 5].

Let X be a non-empty subset of a semigroup S . Then $L(X) = XS^1$, $R(X) = S^1X$ and $J(X) = S^1XS^1$ denote respectively the left, right and two-sided ideals of S generated by X . X is said to be a *duo subset* of S if $L(X) = R(X)$. Evidently, $L(X) = R(X) = J(X)$ for each duo subset X of S . On the other hand, if K is an ideal of S , then $L(K) = R(K) = K$, so every ideal is a duo subset.

Let X be a non-empty subset of a semigroup S . Define relations $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_X$,

$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_X$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_X$ on S by:

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}_X &\iff (\forall x \in X) xa = xb, \\(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_X &\iff (\forall y \in X) ay = by, \\(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_X &\iff (\forall x, y \in X) xay = xby\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that these are equivalence relations on S . Moreover, $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_X$ is a right congruence, $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_X$ is a left congruence, and both of them are contained in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_X$. It is natural to investigate some conditions on the set X under which the relations $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_X$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_X$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_X$ are congruence relations on S .

Lemma 2.1. *If X is a duo subset of a semigroup S , then $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_X$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_X$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_X$ are congruence relations on S . Furthermore, $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_X = \overline{\mathcal{G}}_K$ for each $\mathcal{G} \in \{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}\}$ where $K = J(X)$.*

Proof. We will only prove the assertions concerning $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_X$. The assertion concerning $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_X$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_X$ can be proved similarly.

Assume $(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_X$, $c \in S$, and $x, y \in X$. Since X is a duo subset, we have $xc = sz$ for some $z \in X$ and $s \in S^1$, hence $x(ca)y = (xc)ay = (sz)ay = s(zay) = s(zby) = (sz)by = (xc)by = x(cb)y$. Similarly, we have $x(ac)y = x(bc)y$. Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_X$ is a congruence relation on S .

Since $X \subseteq K$, it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{D}}_X$. Conversely, assume $(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_X$ and $p, q \in K$. Since $K = XS^1 = S^1X$, we see $p = sx$ and $q = yt$ for some $x, y \in X$ and $s, t \in S^1$. Now we have $paq = (sx)a(yt) = s(xay)t = s(xby)t = (sx)b(yt) = pbq$. Therefore, $(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$, i.e., $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_X \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$, which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark. The congruences $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_S$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_S$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_S$ on a semigroup S were investigated by Kopamu [7].

Let X be a duo subset of a semigroup S , $K = J(X)$, and ϱ_K the Rees congruence on S determined by K . Then we set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_X = \overline{\mathcal{L}}_X \cap \varrho_K, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_X = \overline{\mathcal{R}}_X \cap \varrho_K \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_X = \overline{\mathcal{D}}_X \cap \varrho_K.$$

3 The Main Results

In further work, the subject of our interest will be completely Archimedean semigroups. Recall that a semigroup S is *completely Archimedean* if it is Archimedean and has a primitive idempotent, or equivalently, it is a nil-extension of a completely simple semigroup. In this case, this completely simple ideal of S is the kernel of S .

If S is a completely Archimedean semigroup with the kernel K and $E = E(S)$, then $L(E) = R(E) = K$, i.e., E is a duo subset of S . By Lemma 2.1, we have $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_E = \overline{\mathcal{G}}_K$ for every $\mathcal{G} \in \{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}\}$. On the other hand, we have the following.

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be a completely Archimedean semigroup with the kernel K . Then*

- (i) \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{H} are congruence relations on S ;
- (ii) $\mathcal{D} = \varrho_K$ and S/\mathcal{D} is a nil-semigroup;
- (iii) S/\mathcal{L} is a nil-extension of a right zero band, S/\mathcal{R} is a nil-extension of a left zero band, and S/\mathcal{H} is a nil-extension of a rectangular band.

Proof. The assertions (i) and (ii) were proved by Shevrin [10], and (iii) is an immediate consequence of (i) and (ii). □

By the next result, we prove that the above-considered congruence relations on a completely Archimedean semigroup form several factor congruence pairs.

Theorem 3.2. *Let S be a completely Archimedean semigroup with the kernel K . Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_K \cap \mathcal{R} = \overline{\mathcal{R}}_K \cap \mathcal{L} = \overline{\mathcal{D}}_K \cap \mathcal{H} = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K \cap \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K = \Delta_S.$$

Proof. Assume $(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}_K \cap \mathcal{R}$. If $a, b \notin K$, then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \subseteq \varrho_K$ yields $a = b$. Suppose $a, b \in K$. Since a and b are \mathcal{R} -related in S , they are also \mathcal{R} -related in K , i.e., $a, b \in R$ where R is some \mathcal{R} -class of K . But R is a right group and e is a left identity in R for each $e \in E(R)$ by Lemma VI.3.1.2 in [2]. Now $ea = a$ and $eb = b$. Since $(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}_K$, it follows that $ea = eb$. Therefore, $a = b$, which is to be proved. Hence, we have proved $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_K \cap \mathcal{R} = \Delta_S$. Similarly, we can prove $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_K \cap \mathcal{L} = \Delta_S$.

Now assume $(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_K \cap \mathcal{H}$. As in the previous case, $a, b \notin K$ yields $a = b$. Let $a, b \in K$. Then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{H}$ implies $a, b \in G_e$ for some $e \in E(S)$, hence $a = eae$ and $b = ebe$. But $(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ yields $eae = ebe$, so we have $a = b$. Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K \cap \mathcal{H} = \Delta_S$.

Let $(a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K \cap \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K = \mathcal{D} \cap \overline{\mathcal{L}}_K \cap \overline{\mathcal{R}}_K$. If $a, b \notin K$, then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{D}$ implies $a = b$. Now suppose $a, b \in K$. Let L be the \mathcal{L} -class of K containing a and R the \mathcal{R} -class of K containing b . Then L is a left group and R is a right group. By Lemma VI.3.1.2 in [2], for arbitrary $e \in E(L)$ and $f \in E(R)$, e is a right identity in L and f is a left identity in R . Therefore, $ae = a$ and $fb = b$. On the other hand, $(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}_K \cap \overline{\mathcal{R}}_K$ yields $ae = be$ and $fa = fb$, i.e., $a = be$ and $fa = b$. Now we have $a = be = (fb)e = f(be) = fa = b$, which is to be proved. Therefore, $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K \cap \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K = \Delta_S$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Using the above theorem we immediately obtain the following.

Corollary 3.3. *Let S be a completely Archimedean semigroup with the kernel K . Then S is a subdirect product of the following semigroups:*

- | | |
|--|---|
| (1) $S/\overline{\mathcal{L}}_K$ and S/\mathcal{R} ; | (4) $S/\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$, S/\mathcal{L} and S/\mathcal{R} ; |
| (2) $S/\overline{\mathcal{R}}_K$ and S/\mathcal{L} ; | (5) $S/\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$ and $S/\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$; |
| (3) $S/\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$ and S/\mathcal{H} ; | (6) S/\mathcal{D} , $S/\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$ and $S/\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$. |

Unfortunately, in the general case, when S is a completely Archimedean semigroup, we have not much information about the structure of the semigroups $S/\overline{\mathcal{G}}_K$ and $S/\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_K$, for $\mathcal{G} \in \{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}\}$. However, in the further text, we will determine the structure of these semigroups in the case when the idempotents of S form a subsemigroup, i.e., when S is a nil-extension of a rectangular group.

First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let S be a nil-extension of a rectangular group K . Then $eaf = efaef$, for all $a \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$.*

Proof. Assume $a \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$. Since $ea \in K$, we see $ea \in G_g$ for some $g \in E(S)$, hence $eg = g$ and $gf = egf = ef$ since $E(S)$ is a rectangular band. Now we have $eaf = eagf = eae f$. Further, $aef \in G_h$ for some $h \in E(S)$, so $hef = h$ and $eh = eh ef = ef$. Therefore, $eaf = eae f = eh ef = efaef$, which is to be proved. \square

The next theorem is one of the most important results of the present paper. It gives some new characterizations of semigroups which are nil-extensions of rectangular groups in terms of the congruence relations introduced here.

Theorem 3.5. *Let S be a completely Archimedean semigroup with the kernel K . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) S is a nil-extension of a rectangular group;
- (ii) $S/\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$ is a group;
- (iii) $S/\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$ is a nil-extension of a group;
- (iv) $S/\overline{\mathcal{L}}_K$ is a nil-extension of a right group;
- (v) $S/\overline{\mathcal{R}}_K$ is a nil-extension of a left group;
- (vi) $S/\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$ is a nil-extension of a group;
- (vii) $S/\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$ is a nil-extension of a right group;
- (viii) $S/\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$ is a nil-extension of a left group.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let (i) hold, i.e., K is a rectangular group. Assume $a \in S$ and $e, f, g \in E(S)$. By Lemma 3.4, we have $e(ag)f = ea(gf) = (egf)a(egf) = efaef = eaf$, so $(a, ag) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$. Since $ag \in K = \text{Reg}(S)$, each $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$ -class of S contains a regular element, and hence, $S/\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$ is a regular semigroup.

On the other hand, for arbitrary $e, f, g, h \in E(S)$, we have $egf = ef = ehf$, hence $(g, h) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$. Since every $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$ -class of S , which is an idempotent in $S/\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$, contains an idempotent from S , by Corollary IV.3 in [2], we conclude that $S/\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$ is a regular semigroup containing exactly one idempotent, so it is a group, which is to be proved.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii)–(viii). As is well known, a factor semigroup of a π -regular semigroup is also π -regular, so $S/\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$, $S/\overline{\mathcal{L}}_K$ and $S/\overline{\mathcal{R}}_K$, $S/\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$, $S/\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$, and $S/\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$ are all π -regular semigroups. By the proof of the first implication,

$S/\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$ has exactly one idempotent, which yields (iii) and (vi). On the other hand, for arbitrary $e, f, g \in E(S)$, we have $efg = eg$ and $fge = fe$, which means $(fg, g) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$ and $(fg, f) \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$ for all $f, g \in E(S)$. Therefore, $E(S/\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K)$ is a right zero band and $E(S/\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K)$ is a left zero band. So (iv), (vii), (v), and (viii) hold.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). If $S/\overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$ is a group, then $(g, h) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$ for all $g, h \in E(S)$, i.e., $egf = ehf$ for all $e, f, g, h \in E(S)$. If we set $e = g = f$, then $g = ghg$. Hence, $E(S)$ is a rectangular band, so we have proved (i).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) and (vi) \Rightarrow (i). These implications can be proved similarly as the previous one.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Since the $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_K$ -classes of idempotents of S are idempotents in $S/\overline{\mathcal{L}}_K$ and $E(S/\overline{\mathcal{L}}_K)$ is a right zero band, we have $(gh, h) \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}_K$ for all $g, h \in E(S)$, i.e., $egh = eh$ for all $e, g, h \in E(S)$. Letting $e = h$, we have $h = hgh$, so $E(S)$ is a rectangular band, which is to be proved.

Similarly, we can prove (v) \Rightarrow (i), (vii) \Rightarrow (i), and (viii) \Rightarrow (i). □

The equivalence of the conditions (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 3.5 motivates us to state the following problem.

Problem. If S is a nil-extension of a rectangular group K , are $S/\overline{\mathcal{L}}_K$ and $S/\overline{\mathcal{R}}_K$ a right group and a left group, respectively?

When a semigroup S is a nil-extension of a rectangular group K , the relations $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$, $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$ have another nice property as shown by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let S be a nil-extension of a rectangular group K . Then $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K = \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K = \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_K \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_K \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{D}}_K$, we have $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$, hence $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$. Therefore, it remains to prove $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$.

Assume $(a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$. If $a = b$, then $(a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$ and $(a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$, which is to be proved. Otherwise, if $a \neq b$, then $a, b \in K$, so $a \in G_e$ and $b \in G_f$ for some $e, f \in E(K)$. From this and by $(a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$, we have $af = eb$ and $fa = be$. Let $x = fa = be$ and $y = af = eb$. Then for arbitrary $g, h \in E$, we have $gx = gfa = gfea = gea = ga$ and $xh = beh = bfeh = bfh = bf$, hence $(a, x) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$ and $(x, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$, so $(a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$. On the other hand, for arbitrary $g, h \in E(K)$, we also have $yg = afg = aefg = aeg = ag$ and $hy = heb = hefb = hfb = hb$, hence $(a, y) \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K$ and $(y, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$, so $(a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_K \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_K$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Finally, we give a new proof of the following decomposition theorem due to Putcha [8] and Ren, Shum and Guo [9].

Theorem 3.7. *The following conditions on a semigroup S are equivalent:*

- (i) S is a nil-extension of a rectangular group;
- (ii) S is a subdirect product of a group and a nil-extension of a rectangular band;
- (iii) S is a subdirect product of a group, a nil-extension of a left zero band and a nil-extension of a right zero band;
- (iv) S is a spined product of a nil-extension of a left group and a nil-extension of a right group (with respect to a nil-extension of a group).

Proof. The equivalence of the conditions (i)–(iii) was proved by Putcha [8], and (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv) was proved by Ren et al. [9]. But our results above give another proof of these equivalences. Namely, the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.3(3), the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iii) is a consequence of Theorem 3.5, Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.3(4), and the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv) follows by Theorems 3.5 and 3.6.

On the other hand, the proofs of the reverse implications were omitted in [8] and [9] as easier parts of the proofs. But these parts of the proofs are not so evident because the π -regularity and regularity are not preserved under subdirect products in general. We prove the reverse implications in order to show the reason for which the π -regularity is preserved in this case.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $S \subseteq G \times T$ be a subdirect product of a group G and a semigroup T that is a nil-extension of a rectangular band. Then $E(S) \subseteq E(G) \times E(T)$, and if $E(S)$ is non-empty, it is a rectangular band. Therefore, it remains to prove the π -regularity of S . Let $u \in S$ and $u = (a, x)$ for some $a \in G$ and $x \in T$. Let b denote the inverse of a in G . Then there exists $y \in T$ such that $(b, y) \in S$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n, y^n \in \text{Reg}(T) = E(T)$. Since $E(T)$ is a rectangular band, we see $u^n v^n u^n = (a^n b^n a^n, x^n y^n x^n) = (a^n, x^n) = u^n$ where $v = (b, y)$. Therefore, $u^n \in \text{Reg}(S)$, which is to be proved.

Similarly, we can prove the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let $S = \{(u, v) \in P \times Q \mid u\varphi = v\psi\}$ be a spined product of semigroups P and Q with respect to a semigroup H and homomorphisms φ of P onto H and ψ of Q onto H , where P is a nil-extension of a left group, Q is a nil-extension of a right group and H is a nil-extension of a group. Then $E(S) \subseteq E(P) \times E(Q)$, and if $E(S)$ is non-empty, it is a rectangular band. Therefore, it remains to prove the π -regularity of S .

Assume $a \in S$. Then $a = (u, v) \in P \times Q$ with $u\varphi = v\psi$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that u^n and v^n are regular. Let $x \in V(u^n)$ and $y \in V(v^n)$. Then $x\varphi$ is an inverse of $(u\varphi)^n$, $y\psi$ is an inverse of $(v\psi)^n$, and $(u\varphi)^n = (v\psi)^n$. But a regular element of a nil-extension of a group can have at most one inverse, so $x\varphi = y\psi$. Now we have $(x, y) \in S$ and it is an inverse of a^n . Thus, S is π -regular. \square

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, On the structure of abstract algebras, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **31** (1935) 433–454.
- [2] S. Bogdanović, *Semigroups with a system of subsemigroups*, Inst. of Math., Novi Sad, 1985.
- [3] I. Fleischer, A note on subdirect products, *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **6** (1955) 463–465.
- [4] L. Fuchs, On subdirect unions I, *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **3** (1952) 103–120.
- [5] J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [6] N. Kimura, The structure of idempotent semigroups (I), *Pacific J. Math.* **8** (1958) 257–275.
- [7] S.J.L. Kopamu, Orthodox right quasi normal bands of groups, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **18** (3) (1994) 105–116.
- [8] M.S. Putcha, Semilattice decompositions of semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **6** (1973) 12–34.
- [9] X.M. Ren, K.P. Shum, Y.Q. Guo, On spined products of quasi-rectangular groups, *Algebra Colloquium* **4** (2) (1997) 187–194.
- [10] L.N. Shevrin, Theory of epigroups II, *Mat. Sbornik* **18** (3) (1994) 105–116.
- [11] K.P. Shum, X.M. Ren, Completely Archimedean semigroups, *Proceeding of International Conference in Mathematics in Kaoshing, Taiwan, 1994*, World Scientific INC, 1995, pp. 193–202.
- [12] G.H. Wenzel, Note on a subdirect representation of universal algebras, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **18** (1967) 329–333.