

Rings whose multiplicative semigroups are nil-extensions of a union of groups ¹

M. ĆIRIĆ ² AND S. BOGDANIVIĆ³

Abstract. In this paper we consider one type of extension of rings called a strong extension of rings. Using this concept we describe rings whose multiplicative semigroups are nil-extensions of a union of groups. Moreover, we consider semigroups and rings in which the following two identities hold: $a \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n (ax_i)$ and $(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i) \cdot a = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i a)$.

AMS Subject Classifications. 16A30; 20M10.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this section we introduce basic notions, notations and results.

A ring R is an *extension* of a ring A by a ring B if R has an ideal I isomorphic to A and the factor ring R/I is isomorphic to B . Usually, we identify A with I and B with R/I . A ring A is a *direct summand* of a ring R if R is isomorphic to a direct sum $A \oplus B$, for some ring B . Two extensions R and R' of a ring A by a ring B are *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism φ of R onto R' such that $\varphi(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$ and such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & R' \\ \nu \searrow & & \swarrow \nu' \\ & B & \end{array}$$

where ν and ν' are natural homomorphisms of R and R' onto B , respectively. The extension problem of rings is as follows: construct all (nonequivalent) extensions of a ring A by a ring B . A solution to this problem has been given by C.J. Everett [7], by the next theorem.

¹Received: October 31, 1990

²Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, 18000 Niš, Beogradska 14, Yugoslavia

³Faculty of Economics, 18000 Niš, Trg JNA 11, Yugoslavia

EVERETT'S THEOREM [7]. Let A and B be disjoint rings. Let θ be a function of B onto a set of permutable bitranslations of A , in notation

$$\theta : a \mapsto \theta^a \in \Omega(A), \quad a \in B,$$

and let $[,], \langle, \rangle : B \times B \rightarrow A$ be functions such that for all $a, b, c \in B$ the following conditions hold:

- (E1) $\theta^a + \theta^b - \theta^{a+b} = \pi_{[a,b]}$;
 (E2) $\theta^a \cdot \theta^b - \theta^{ab} = \pi_{\langle a,b \rangle}$;
 (E3) $\langle ab, c \rangle + \langle a, b \rangle \theta^c = \langle a, bc \rangle + \theta^a \langle b, c \rangle$;
 (E4) $[0, 0] = 0$;
 (E5) $[a, b] = [b, a]$;
 (E6) $[a, b] + [a + b, c] = [a, b + c] + [b, c]$;
 (E7) $[a, b] \theta^c + \langle a + b, c \rangle = [ac, bc] + \langle a, c \rangle + \langle b, c \rangle$;
 (E8) $\theta^a [b, c] + \langle a, b + c \rangle = [ab, ac] + \langle a, b \rangle + \langle a, c \rangle$.

Define an addition and multiplication on $R = A \times B$ by

- (E9) $(\alpha, a) + (\beta, b) = (\alpha + \beta + [a, b], a + b)$,
 (E10) $(\alpha, a) \cdot (\beta, b) = (\alpha\beta + \langle a, b \rangle + \theta^a\beta + \alpha\theta^b, ab)$.

Then $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a ring isomorphic to an extension of A by B .

Conversely, every extension of A by B can be so constructed.

DEFINITION 1. A ring constructed as in the Everett's theorem we call an *Everett's sum of rings A and B by the triplet of functions $(\theta; [,], \langle, \rangle)$* and we denote it by $E(A, B; \theta; [,], \langle, \rangle)$. The representation of a ring R as an Everett's sum of some rings we call an *Everett's representation of R* .

Many informations about the Everett's theorem we can find in [7], [10], [11], [14] and [17]. There we can see that an Everett's representation $E(A, B; \theta; [,], \langle, \rangle)$ of some ring R is determined by the choice of a set of representatives of the cosets of A in R . Namely, if for every coset $a \in B$ we choose a representative, in notation a' , then the set $\{a' | a \in B\}$ determines the triplet $(\theta; [,], \langle, \rangle)$ in the following way:

- (i) $\alpha\theta^a = \alpha \cdot a'$, $\theta^a\alpha = a' \cdot \alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, $a \in B$;
 (ii) $[a, b] = a' + b' - (a + b)'$, $a, b \in B$;
 (iii) $\langle a, b \rangle = a' \cdot b' - (a \cdot b)'$, $a, b \in B$.

By $\equiv (\text{mod } I)$ we denote the congruence on a ring R induced by its ideal I . By $\mathcal{U}(R)$ we denote the *annihilator* of a ring R , i.e. the set

$$\mathcal{U}(R) = \{a \in R \mid aR = Ra = 0\}.$$

In the Section 2 we consider the special type of ring extensions, called the strong extensions of rings. Using the construction of a strong extension of a ring and the Putcha's result [16], we describe rings whose multiplicative

semigroups are nil-extensions of a union of groups (Theorem 1) and a nil-extensions of a band (Theorem 2). Moreover, we give a method for the construction of nilpotent rings (Theorem 3).

M. Petrich [13], described semigroups and rings satisfying the following identities:

$$axy = axay, \quad xya = xaya,$$

are called distributive semigroups and rings. In the Section 3 of this paper we generalize Petrich's results. Namely, we describe n -distributive semigroups and rings ($n \geq 2$), i.e. semigroups and rings satisfying the following identities:

$$ax_1x_2 \dots x_n = ax_1ax_2 \dots ax_n,$$

$$x_1x_2 \dots x_na = x_1ax_2a \dots x_na.$$

By \mathbf{Z}^+ we denote the set of all positive integers. A semigroup S is π -regular if for every $a \in S$ there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $a^n \in a^nSa^n$. A semigroup S is completely π -regular if for every $a \in S$ there exist $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and $x \in S$ such that $a^n = a^nx a^n$ and $a^nx = xa^n$. These semigroups were studied by Azumaya [1], Drazin [6] and Munn [12]. In [1] these semigroups were called *strongly π -regular*, but we use the name *completely π -regular*, by analogy with completely regular semigroups. By $Reg(S)$ ($Gr(S)$, $E(S)$) we denote the set of all regular (completely regular, idempotent) elements of a semigroup (ring) S . A semigroup S is *archimedean* if for all $a, b \in S$ there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $a^n \in SbS$. A semigroup S is *completely archimedean* if S is archimedean and completely π -regular. A semigroup S is *orthodox* if S is regular and its idempotents form a subsemigroup of S . A semigroup S is *medial* if $abcd = acbd$ for all $a, b, c, d \in S$.

An element a of a semigroup (ring) S with zero 0 is *nilpotent* if there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $a^n = 0$. A semigroup (ring) S with zero is a *nil-semigroup* (*nil-ring*) if every element of S is nilpotent. A semigroup (ring) S with zero 0 is *n -nilpotent* if $S^n = 0$. By *nil-extension* of a semigroup we mean an ideal extension by a nil-semigroup. An ideal extension S of a semigroup T is a *retract-extension* (or *retractive extension*) if there exists a homomorphism φ of S onto T such that $\varphi(t) = t$ for all $t \in T$. Such a homomorphism we call a *retraction*. A semigroup S is an *n -inflation* of a semigroup T if T is an ideal of S , $S^{n+1} \subseteq T$ and there exists a retraction of S onto T (i.e. this is a retractive extension by a $(n + 1)$ -nilpotent semigroup).

For undefined notions and notations we refer to [2], [9], [14] or [15].

In next considerations, the following results will be used:

JACOBSON'S THEOREM [8]. *Suppose that for every element a of a ring R there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $a^n = a$. Then R is commutative.*

BOGDANOVIĆ LEMMA [3]. *Let ρ be a congruence on a π -regular semigroup S . Then every ρ -class which is a regular element in S/ρ contains a regular element from S , and every ρ -class which is an idempotent in S/ρ contains an idempotent from S .*

BOGDANOVIĆ-MILIĆ'S THEOREM [4]. *A semigroup S is an n -inflation of a union of groups if and only if*

$$aS^{n-1}b = a^2S^nb^2$$

for every $a, b \in S$.

PUTCHA'S THEOREM [16]. *Let R be a ring whose multiplicative semigroup is completely π -regular. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *(R, \cdot) is a semilattice of archimedean semigroups;*
- (ii) *the set of all nilpotents of R is an ideal of the semigroup (R, \cdot) ;*
- (iii) *the set of all nilpotents of R is an ideal of R .*

MUNN'S LEMMA [2]. *Let x be an element of a semigroup S such that x^n lies in a subgroup G of S . If e is an identity of G , then*

- (i) *$ex = xe \in G_e$;*
- (ii) *$x^m \in G_e$ for every $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $m \geq n$.*

2. Strong extensions of rings

In this section we consider Everett's sums for which θ is a zero homomorphism and, using this, we consider rings whose multiplicative semigroups are nil-extensions of a union of groups.

PROPOSITION 1. *If R is a ring whose multiplicative semigroup is a semilattice of completely archimedean semigroups, then R is an extension of a nil-ring by a ring whose multiplicative semigroup is a semilattice of groups.*

Proof. By Putcha's theorem it follows that the set N of all nilpotent elements of R is an ideal of R . Let $x \in R$. Then there exist $e \in R$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $x^n \in G_e$. Now we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x - ex)^{n+1} &= (x - ex)^2(x - ex)^{n-1} \\
 &= (x^2 - xex - exx + exex)(x - ex)^{n-1} \\
 &= (x^2 - xex - ex^2 + ex^2)(x - ex)^{n-1} \quad (\text{by Munn's lemma}) \\
 &= (x^2 - xex)(x - ex)^{n-1} = x(x - ex)(x - ex)^{n-1} \\
 &= x(x - ex)^n = \dots = x^n(x - ex) \\
 &= x^n x - x^n x = 0, \quad (\text{since } x^n e = x^n)
 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(1) \quad x \equiv ex \pmod{N}.$$

Let ν be a natural homomorphism of R onto $Q = R/N$ and let $a \in Q$. Then $a = \nu(x)$ for some $x \in R$ and there exists $e \in E(R)$ such that $x^n \in G_e$ for some $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. Moreover, by (1) it follows that

$$a = \nu(x) = \nu(ex).$$

Since ex is a completely regular element and ν is a homomorphism, then we have that a is completely regular. Therefore, the multiplicative semigroup of the ring Q is completely regular.

Let $x \in R$ and $e \in E(R)$. Then

$$(ex - exe)^2 = (xe - exe)^2 = 0,$$

so

$$ex \equiv exe \pmod{N} \quad \text{and} \quad xe \equiv exe \pmod{N}.$$

Hence

$$(2) \quad ex \equiv xe \pmod{N}$$

for all $x \in R, e \in E(R)$. Now, let $a \in E(Q)$ and let $b \in Q$. By Bogdanović's lemma it follows that $a = \nu(e)$ for some $e \in E(R)$. Let $b = \nu(x), x \in R$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 ab &= \nu(e)\nu(x) = \nu(ex) = \nu(xe) \quad (\text{by (2)}) \\
 &= \nu(x)\nu(e) = ba.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, idempotents from Q are central, so (Q, \cdot) is a semilattice of groups. □

COROLLARY 1. *Let R be a ring. Then (R, \cdot) is a completely regular semigroup (union of groups) if and only if (R, \cdot) is a semilattice of groups.*

Now we will consider a special case of Everett's sums in which θ is a zero homomorphism.

DEFINITION 2. An Everett's sum $E(A, B; \theta; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ of rings A and B is a *strong Everett's sum of rings A and B* if θ is a zero homomorphism of B into $\Omega(A)$, i.e. if

$$\theta^a = \pi_0$$

for all $a \in B$. In this case, we denote it by $E(A, B; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$, and this representation we call a *strong Everett's representation*.

A ring R is a *strong extension of a ring A by a ring B* if there exists some strong Everett's representation $E(A, B; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ of a ring R .

If $R = E(A, B; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ is a strong Everett's sum of rings A and B , then we have that:

$$(SE1) \pi_{[a,b]} = \pi_0;$$

$$(SE2) \pi_{\langle a,b \rangle} = \pi_0;$$

$$(SE3) \langle ab, c \rangle = \langle a, bc \rangle;$$

$$(SE4) [0, 0] = 0;$$

$$(SE5) [a, b] = [b, a];$$

$$(SE6) [a, b] + [a + b, c] = [a, b + c] + [b, c];$$

$$(SE7) \langle a + b, c \rangle = [ac, bc] + \langle a, c \rangle + \langle b, c \rangle;$$

$$(SE8) \langle a, b + c \rangle = [ab, ac] + \langle a, b \rangle + \langle a, c \rangle,$$

for all $a, b, c \in B$, and the multiplication on R is given by:

$$(SE10) (\alpha, a) \cdot (\beta, b) = (\alpha\beta + \langle a, b \rangle, ab).$$

Moreover, it is easy to verify that

$$(SE10') \prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i, a_i) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + \langle \prod_{i=1}^k a_i, \prod_{j=k+1}^n a_j \rangle, \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \text{ for all } (\alpha_i, a_i) \in R, i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \in \mathbf{Z}^+, 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 \text{ (by (SE2) and (SE3)).}$$

THEOREM 1. *The following conditions on a ring R are equivalent:*

- (i) (R, \cdot) is a nil-extension of a union of groups ;
- (ii) (R, \cdot) is a nil-extension of a semilattice of groups ;
- (iii) (R, \cdot) is a retractive nil-extension of a semilattice of groups ;
- (iv) R is a strong extension of a nil-ring by a ring whose multiplicative semigroup is a semilattice of groups.

Proof. (i) \implies (iv). Let (R, \cdot) be a nil-extension of a union of groups T . Then it is clear that (R, \cdot) is a semilattice of completely archimedean semigroups, so by Proposition 1, it follows that R is an extension of a nil ring N by a ring Q whose multiplicative semigroup is a semilattice of groups. By Everett's theorem it follows that R is isomorphic to Everett's sum $E(A, B; \theta; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ of some rings A and B , where A is isomorphic to N , B is isomorphic to Q and the triplet $(\theta; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ is determined by (1)–(3). Let $a \in B$. By the proof of Proposition 1 it follows that we can choose the

representation a' of the coset a such that $a' \in T$. Let us denote by S_0 the archimedean component of a semigroup (R, \cdot) containing the zero 0 . Since $(S_0 \cap T, \cdot)$ is a completely simple semigroup with zero, then it is clear that $S_0 \cap T = \{0\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $S_0 = N$. Consider an arbitrary element $\alpha \in N$. Then we have

$$\alpha \cdot a', a' \cdot \alpha \in S_0 \cap T,$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha \cdot a' = a' \cdot \alpha = 0,$$

so by (1) we obtain that θ is a zero homomorphism. Therefore, R is a strong extension of a ring N by a ring Q .

(iv) \implies (ii). Let $R = E(A, B; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ be a strong Everett's sum of rings A and B , where A is a nil ring and B is a ring whose multiplicative semigroup is a semilattice of groups. Consider an arbitrary element $x = (\alpha, a) \in R$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $e \in E(B)$ and $b \in B$ such that $\alpha^n = 0$, $a = ea = ae$ and $ab = ba = e$. Then

$$x^n = (\alpha^n + \langle a, a^{n-1} \rangle, a^n) = (\langle a, a^{n-1} \rangle, a^n),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} x^n \cdot (\langle e, e \rangle, e) &= (\langle a, a^{n-1} \rangle, a^n) \cdot (\langle e, e \rangle, e) \\ &= (\langle a^n, e \rangle, a^n e) = (\langle a, a^{n-1} e \rangle, a^n) \\ &= x^n \quad (\text{by (SE3)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $x^n \cdot (\langle e, e \rangle, e) = x^n$. In a similar way we prove that $(\langle e, e \rangle, e) \cdot x^n = x^n$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} x^n \cdot (0, b^n) &= (\langle a, a^{n-1} \rangle, a^n) \cdot (0, b^n) \\ &= (\langle a^n, b^n \rangle, a^n b^n) = (\langle ea^n, b^n \rangle, e) \\ &= (\langle e, a^n b^n \rangle, e) = (\langle e, e \rangle, e). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $x^n \cdot (0, b^n) = (\langle e, e \rangle, e)$. In a similar way we prove that $(0, b^n) \cdot x^n = (\langle e, e \rangle, e)$. Since $(\langle e, e \rangle, e)^2 = (\langle e, e \rangle, e)$, then we obtain that $x^n \in Gr(R)$, so (R, \cdot) is a completely π -regular semigroup.

Let $(\alpha, a) \in Gr(R)$. Then there exists $(\beta, b) \in R$ such that

$$(\alpha, a) \cdot (\beta, b) \cdot (\alpha, a) = (\alpha, a) \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha, a) \cdot (\beta, b) = (\beta, b) \cdot (\alpha, a),$$

i.e.,

$$(\alpha\beta\alpha + \langle ab, a \rangle, aba) = (\alpha, a) \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha\beta + \langle a, b \rangle, ab) = (\beta\alpha + \langle b, a \rangle, ba).$$

Therefore, $\alpha\beta\alpha + \langle ab, a \rangle = \alpha$, $aba = a$, $\alpha\beta + \langle a, b \rangle = \beta\alpha + \langle b, a \rangle$ and $ab = ba$, so

$$\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha\beta(\alpha\beta\alpha + \langle ab, a \rangle) = (\alpha\beta)^2\alpha,$$

whence we obtain that

$$\alpha\beta\alpha = (\alpha\beta)^k\alpha = 0, \quad (\text{for all } k \in \mathbf{Z}^+)$$

since $(\alpha\beta)^k = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. Hence $\alpha = \langle ab, a \rangle = \langle e, a \rangle$, where a lies in a maximal subgroup of B with the identity e . Therefore,

$$Gr(R) = \{(\langle e, a \rangle, a) \mid a \in B, e \in E(B) \text{ such that } a \in G_e\}.$$

Also, it is clear that

$$E(R) = \{(\langle e, e \rangle, e) \mid e \in E(B)\}.$$

Let $(\langle e, a \rangle, a) \in Gr(R)$ and $(\beta, b) \in R$. Then

$$(\langle e, a \rangle, a) \cdot (\beta, b) = (\langle a, b \rangle, ab).$$

Assume that $b \in G_f$ for some $f \in E(B)$. Then $ab \in G_{ef}$, so

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \langle ea, b \rangle = \langle e, ab \rangle = \langle e, efab \rangle = \langle eef, ab \rangle = \langle ef, ab \rangle,$$

(by (SE3)). Therefore,

$$Gr(R) \cdot R \subseteq Gr(R).$$

In a similar way we prove that

$$R \cdot Gr(R) \subseteq Gr(R),$$

so $(Gr(R), \cdot)$ is an ideal of the semigroup (R, \cdot) .

Let $(\langle e, e \rangle, e) \in E(R)$ and let $(\langle f, b \rangle, b) \in Gr(R)$ ($f \in E(B)$, $b \in G_f$). Since idempotents from B are central, then we obtain that

$$\langle e, b \rangle = \langle ee, b \rangle = \langle e, eb \rangle = \langle e, be \rangle = \langle eb, e \rangle = \langle be, e \rangle = \langle b, ee \rangle = \langle b, e \rangle,$$

so

$$(\langle e, e \rangle, e) \cdot (\langle f, b \rangle, b) = (\langle e, b \rangle, eb) = (\langle b, e \rangle, be) = (\langle f, b \rangle, b) \cdot (\langle e, e \rangle, e).$$

Therefore, idempotents from $Gr(R)$ are central, so $(Gr(R), \cdot)$ is a semilattice of groups, i.e., (R, \cdot) is a nil-extension of a semilattice of groups.

(ii) \implies (i). This follows immediately.

(ii) \iff (iii). This follows by Theorem 2.3 [5]. □

COROLLARY 2. Let R be a ring. Then (R, \cdot) is an n -inflation of a union of groups if and only if R is a strong extension of an $(n + 1)$ -nilpotent ring by a ring whose multiplicative semigroup is a semilattice of groups.

DEFINITION 3. Let A be a subring of a ring R . A homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow A$ is a retraction of a ring R onto a ring A if $\varphi(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$. A subring A of a ring R is a retract of R if there exists a retraction of R onto A . If A is a retract and an ideal of R , then we say that A is a retractive ideal of R and R is a retract (or retractive) extension of the ring A .

PROPOSITION 2. A ring R is a retract extension of a ring A if and only if A is a direct summand of R .

Proof. Let R be a retract extension of a ring A with the retraction φ . Let $B = R/A$ and let ν be a natural homomorphism of R onto B . Let $R' = A \oplus B$. Define a mapping $\psi : R \rightarrow R'$ by:

$$\psi(a) = (\varphi(a), \nu(a - \varphi(a))), \quad a \in R.$$

Let $a, b \in R$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a + b) &= (\varphi(a + b), \nu(a + b - \varphi(a + b))) \\ &= (\varphi(a) + \varphi(b), \nu(a + b - \varphi(a) - \varphi(b))) \\ &= (\varphi(a) + \varphi(b), \nu(a - \varphi(a)) + \nu(b - \varphi(b))) \\ &= (\varphi(a), \nu(a - \varphi(a))) + (\varphi(b), \nu(b - \varphi(b))) \\ &= \psi(a) + \psi(b). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (a - \varphi(a))(b - \varphi(b)) &= ab - a\varphi(b) - \varphi(a)b + \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \\ &= ab - \varphi(a)\varphi(b) - \varphi(a)\varphi(b) + \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \\ &= ab - \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = ab \cdot \varphi(ab), \end{aligned}$$

then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(ab) &= (\varphi(ab), \nu(ab - \varphi(ab))) \\ &= (\varphi(a)\varphi(b), \nu((a - \varphi(a))(b - \varphi(b)))) \\ &= (\varphi(a)\varphi(b), \nu((a - \varphi(a))\nu(b - \varphi(b)))) \\ &= (\varphi(a), \nu((a - \varphi(a)) \cdot (\varphi(b), \nu(b - \varphi(b)))) \\ &= \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ψ is a homomorphism.

Let $\psi(a) = \psi(b)$, $a, b \in R$. Then $\nu(a - \varphi(a)) = \nu(b - \varphi(b))$ and $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$, so $(a - \varphi(a)) \equiv (b - \varphi(b)) \pmod{A}$, i.e., $(a - \varphi(a)) - (b - \varphi(b)) \in A$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (a - \varphi(a)) - (b - \varphi(b)) &= \varphi((a - \varphi(a)) - (b - \varphi(b))) \\ &= \varphi(a) - \varphi(a) - \varphi(b) + \varphi(b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$, then we have

$$0 = a - \varphi(a) - b + \varphi(b) = a - b,$$

so $a = b$. Therefore, ψ is one-to-one.

Let $(x, y) \in R$. Then $y \in B$, so there exists $b \in R$ such that $y = \nu(b)$. Since $(b - \varphi(b)) \equiv b \pmod{A}$, then $\nu(b - \varphi(b)) = y$. Let $a = x + (b - \varphi(b))$. Then $\varphi(a) = \varphi(x + b - \varphi(b)) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(b) - \varphi(b) = \varphi(x) = x$, since $x \in A$. Moreover,

$$a - \varphi(a) = x + b - \varphi(b) - x = b - \varphi(b),$$

so

$$\nu(a - \varphi(a)) = \nu(b - \varphi(b)) = y.$$

Therefore, $(x, y) = (\varphi(a), \nu(a - \varphi(a))) = \psi(a)$, so ψ is onto. Hence, ψ is an isomorphism of R onto $R' = A \oplus B$, so A is a direct summand of R .

Conversely, let $R = A \oplus B$. Then A can be identified to the ideal $\{(\alpha, 0) \mid \alpha \in A\}$ of a ring R , so R is an extension of A . If we define the mapping $\varphi : R \rightarrow A$ by

$$\varphi((\alpha, a)) = (\alpha, 0), \quad (\alpha, a) \in R,$$

then it is easy to see that φ is a retraction of R onto A . □

THEOREM 2. *The following conditions on a ring R are equivalent:*

- (i) (R, \cdot) is a nil-extension of a band;
- (ii) (R, \cdot) is a nil-extension of a semilattice;
- (iii) (R, \cdot) is a retractive nil-extension of a semilattice;
- (iv) R is a strong extension of a nil-ring by a Boolean ring;
- (v) R is a direct sum of a nil-ring and a Boolean ring.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii) \iff (iii). This follows by Theorem 1.

(ii) \implies (iv). Let (R, \cdot) be a nil-extension of a semilattice E . By Theorem 1, it follows that R is a strong extension of a nil-ring A by a ring B such that (B, \cdot) is a semilattice of groups, where A is isomorphic to the ring N of all nilpotents of R . Since $\text{Reg}(R) = E = E(R)$, then by Proposition 1

(2), we obtain that every $(\equiv \pmod{N})$ -class contains an idempotent, so we obtain that every $(\equiv \pmod{N})$ -class is an idempotent in R/N . Therefore, B is a Boolean ring.

(iv) \implies (v). Let R be a strong extension of a nil-ring A by a Boolean ring B . Since B is a Boolean ring, then $2x = 0$ for all $x \in B$. By the proof of Theorem 1 we have

$$\text{Reg}(R) = E(R) = \{(\langle e, e \rangle, e) | e \in B\}.$$

Let $e, f \in B$. By (SE7) we obtain

$$0 = \langle 0, f \rangle = \langle e + e, f \rangle = [ef, ef] + \langle e, f \rangle + \langle e, f \rangle.$$

Also, by (SE6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [e + ef, ef + f] + [e, ef] &= [e, ef + ef + f] + [ef, ef + f] \\ &= [e, f] + [ef, ef + f] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [ef, ef + f] + [ef, f] &= [ef, ef] + [ef + ef, f] \\ &= [ef, ef] + [0, f] = [ef, ef]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, e \rangle &= \langle ff, ee \rangle = \langle f, feee \rangle = \langle f, eef \rangle = \langle fe, ef \rangle = \langle ef, ef \rangle \\ &= \langle efe, f \rangle = \langle ef, f \rangle = \langle e, ff \rangle = \langle e, f \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\langle e, f \rangle = \langle f, e \rangle = \langle ef, ef \rangle$.

Now by this and by (SE7)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e + f, e + f \rangle &= [(e + f)e, (e + f)f] + \langle e + f, e \rangle + \langle e + f, f \rangle \\ &= [e + fe, ef + f] + [e, fe] + \langle e, e \rangle + \langle f, e \rangle + [ef, f] + \langle e, f \rangle + \langle f, f \rangle \\ &= [e, f] + [ef, ef + f] + [ef, f] + \langle e, f \rangle + \langle e, f \rangle + \langle e, e \rangle + \langle f, f \rangle \\ &= [e, f] + [ef, ef] + \langle e, f \rangle + \langle e, f \rangle + \langle e, e \rangle + \langle f, f \rangle \\ &= [e, f] + \langle e, e \rangle + \langle f, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(\langle e, e \rangle, e) \cdot (\langle f, f \rangle, f) = (\langle e, f \rangle, ef) = (\langle ef, ef \rangle, ef)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle e, e \rangle, e) + (\langle f, f \rangle, f) &= (\langle e, e \rangle + \langle f, f \rangle + [e, f], e + f) \\ &= (\langle e + f, e + f \rangle, e + f), \end{aligned}$$

so the mapping $\varphi : R \rightarrow E(R)$ defined by

$$\varphi((\alpha, e)) = ((e, e), e), \quad (\alpha, e) \in R,$$

is a retraction of R onto $E(R)$. Hence, by Proposition 1 we have that $E(R)$ is a direct summand of R , and it is clear that $E(R)$ is a Boolean ring. So, R is a direct sum of a nil-ring and a Boolean ring.

(v) \implies (i). This follows immediately. □

Theorem 2 implies the following question:

PROBLEM. *Is every strong extension of a ring A by a ring B equivalent to their direct sum $A \oplus B$?*

We have not the general solution for this problem, but we have solutions for some special cases, as in Theorem 2 and as in following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Every strong extension of a ring A by a ring B with identity is equivalent to their direct sum $A \oplus B$.*

Proof. Let $R = E(A, B; [,], \langle, \rangle)$ be a strong Everett's sum of rings A and B , and let 1 be the identity of the ring B . Then by (SE3) and (SE7) (if we put $c = 1$) we obtain

$$\langle ab, 1 \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle,$$

and

$$\langle a + b, 1 \rangle = [a, b] + \langle a, 1 \rangle + \langle b, 1 \rangle,$$

whence it follows that the mapping φ defined by

$$\varphi : (\alpha, a) \mapsto (\alpha + \langle a, 1 \rangle, a)$$

is an isomorphism of $A \oplus B$ onto R . □

Example 1. Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. Then the ring R of all $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over a field F is a semilattice of archimedean semigroups (see Example 9 [16]). Nilpotents from R are matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{3n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the set N of all nilpotents from R is an ideal of R and it is an n -nilpotent ring. The ring R/N is isomorphic to F^n . By Lemma 1 it follows that R is not a strong extension of a ring N by a ring F^n .

THEOREM 3. *Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $n \geq 2$. Then R is an $(n + 1)$ -nilpotent ring if and only if R is a strong extension of a nil-ring by an n -nilpotent ring.*

Proof. Let R be an $(n + 1)$ -nilpotent ring, i.e., $R^{n+1} = 0$. Let $A = \mathcal{U}(R)$ be an annihilator of a ring R . Then it is easy to verify that A is an ideal of R . Therefore R is isomorphic to some Everett's sum $E(A, B; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ of a ring A and a ring $B = R/A$. Since A is an annihilator of R , then by (i) we obtain that this sum must be chosen to be strong. So, R is a strong extension of a nil-ring A by a ring B . Finally, let us prove that B is an n -nilpotent ring. Indeed, for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in R$ we have

$$x_1 x_2 \dots x_n R \subseteq R^{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \subseteq R^{n+1} = 0,$$

so

$$x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \in A,$$

thus B is n -nilpotent.

Conversely, let $R = E(A, B; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ be a strong Everett's sum of a nil-ring A and an n -nilpotent ring B . Let $(\alpha_i, a_i) \in R, i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (\alpha_i, a_i) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i + \langle \prod_{i=1}^n a_i, a_{n+1} \rangle, \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i \right) \\ &= (0 + \langle 0, a_{n+1} \rangle, 0) \\ &= (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, R is an $(n + 1)$ -nilpotent ring. □

3. Generalized distributivity in semigroups and rings

In this section we generalize Petrich's results, [13].

DEFINITION 4. Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+, n \geq 2$. A semigroup S is n -distributive if

$$a \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n (ax_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \cdot a = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i a)$$

for all $a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in S$. A ring R is n -distributive if its multiplicative semigroup is n -distributive.

LEMMA 2. Let S be an n -distributive semigroup. Then S is $(n + k(n - 1))$ -distributive for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$.

LEMMA 3. A group G is n -distributive if and only if G is commutative and $a^n = a$ for all $a \in G$.

Proof. Let G be an n -distributive group with the identity element e . Then for all $a \in G$ we have

$$a = ae = ae^n = (ae)^n = a^n.$$

Let $a, b \in G$. By Lemma 2 we can assume that $n \geq 3$. Then $(ba)^n = ba$, so $(ba)^{n-1} = e$. Therefore

$$ab = (ba)^{n-1}abe = (ba)^{n-2}(ba^2)(be) = b(a^{n-2}a^2e) = ba^n = ba,$$

so G is commutative.

Conversely, let G be a commutative group and let $a^n = a$ for all $a \in G$. Let $a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i a) = \prod_{i=1}^n (ax_i) = a^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) = a \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \cdot a,$$

so G is n -distributive. □

THEOREM 4. Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $n \geq 2$. Then the following conditions on a semigroup S are equivalent:

- (i) S is regular and n -distributive;
- (ii) S is medial and $a^n = a$ for all $a \in S$;
- (iii) S is orthodox and a normal band of n -distributive groups.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Let S be n -distributive regular semigroup. Let $a \in S$. Then $a = axa$ for some $x \in S$, so

$$a = axa = a(xa)^n = (axa)^n = a^n.$$

Therefore, S is completely regular, i.e., S is a union of n -distributive groups. Let $a, b, c, d \in S$. Then $a \in G_e$ for some $e \in E(S)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} abcd &= (ae^{n-2}b)cd = ac(ec)^{n-2}bcd = a(ce)^{n-2}(cb)(cd) \\ &= ace^{n-2}bd = a(ee)^{n-2}(ec)(ebd) = ae(e^{n-2}cbd) \\ &= acbd. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, S is medial.

(ii) \iff (iii). This follows by Exercise IV 3.10 [15], Theorem IV 2.6 [15] and by Lemma 3.

(ii) \implies (i). Let S be a medial semigroup and let $a^n = a$ for all $a \in S$. Then it is not hard to see that

$$ax_1x_2 \dots x_k b = ax_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)} \dots x_{\sigma(k)}b$$

for every $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $k \geq 2$, $a, b, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in S$ and for every permutation σ of a set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Therefore,

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (ax_i) = a^n \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) = a \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i a) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \cdot a^n = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \cdot a,$$

so S is n -distributive. □

THEOREM 5. *Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $n \geq 2$. Then the following conditions on a semigroup S are equivalent:*

- (i) S is an n -distributive semigroup;
- (ii) S is an n -inflation of a regular n -distributive semigroup;
- (iii) for all $a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, b \in S$ and for every permutation σ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} ax_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}b &= (ax_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}b)^n = \\ &= ax_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)} \dots x_{\sigma(n-1)}b. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Let S be an n -distributive semigroup and let $a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, b \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i \right) b &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (ax_i) \right) ab = ax_1 \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n-1} (ax_i) \right) ab \\ &= a^2x_1a \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n-1} (ax_i a) \right) b = a^2bx_1ab \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n-1} (ax_i ab) \right) \\ &= a^2b(x_1ab) \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n-2} (ax_i ab) \right) (ax_{n-1}a)b \\ &= a^2(bx_1ab) \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n-2} (bax_i ab) \right) (bax_{n-1}a)b^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$aS^{n-1}b = a^2S^n b^2,$$

so by Bogdanović-Milić's theorem we have that S is an n -inflation of a union of groups T . It is clear that T is a regular n -distributive semigroup.

(ii) \implies (i). Let S be an n -inflation of a regular n -distributive semigroup T . Let φ be a retraction of S onto T and let $a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in S$. Then $S^{n+1} \subseteq T$, so

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) &= \varphi \left(a \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \right) = \varphi(a) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\varphi(a)\varphi(x_i)) \\ &= \varphi \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (ax_i) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n (ax_i), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \cdot a = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i a).$$

Therefore S is n -distributive.

(ii) \implies (iii). Let S be an n -inflation of a regular n -distributive semigroup T and let φ be a retraction of S onto T . Let $a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, b \in S$. Then $S^{n+1} = T$, so by Theorem 4 we obtain that

$$ax_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}b = (ax_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}b)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ax_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}b &= \varphi(ax_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}b) \\ &= \varphi(a)\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2) \dots \varphi(x_{n-1})\varphi(b) \\ &= \varphi(a)\varphi(x_{\sigma(1)})\varphi(x_{\sigma(2)}) \dots \varphi(x_{\sigma(n-1)})\varphi(b) \\ &= \varphi(ax_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)} \dots x_{\sigma(n-1)}b) \\ &= ax_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)} \dots x_{\sigma(n-1)}b. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) \implies (ii). Suppose that (iii) holds. Then it is clear that

$$ax_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}b = (ax_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}b)^n = a^n x_{\sigma(1)}^n x_{\sigma(2)}^n \dots x_{\sigma(n-1)}^n b^n$$

for all $a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, b \in S$. Therefore,

$$aS^{n-1}b = a^2S^n b^2,$$

so by Bogdanović–Milić's theorem we have that S is an n -inflation of a union of groups T . It is clear that $a^n = a$ for every $a \in T$. Moreover, it is not hard to verify that T is medial. Therefore, by Theorem 4 we have that T is a regular n -distributive semigroup. \square

THEOREM 6. *Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $n \geq 2$. Then a ring R is regular and n -distributive if and only if $a^n = a$ for every $a \in R$.*

Proof. Let $a^n = a$ for every $a \in R$. Then it is clear that R is a regular ring. Moreover, by Jacobson's theorem we obtain that R is commutative, so R is medial. Therefore, by Theorem 4 we have that R is n -distributive.

The converse follows by Theorem 4. □

THEOREM 7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n \geq 2$. Then R is an n -distributive ring if and only if R is a strong extension of an $(n + 1)$ -nilpotent ring by a regular n -distributive ring.*

Proof. Let $R = E(A, B; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ be a strong Everett's sum of an $(n + 1)$ -nilpotent ring A by a regular n -distributive ring B . Let $(\alpha, a), (\alpha_i, a_i) \in R$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $A^{2n} \subseteq A^{n+1} = 0$, then by (SE10') and by the commutativity in B we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \prod_{i=1}^n ((\alpha, a)(\alpha_i, a_i)) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha\alpha_i + \langle a, a_i \rangle, aa_i) \\
 &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha\alpha_i + \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} aa_i, aa_n \right\rangle, \prod_{i=1}^n aa_i \right) \\
 &= \left(\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} aa_i, aa_n \right\rangle, \prod_{i=1}^n aa_i \right) \\
 &= \left(\left\langle \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} aa_i \right) a, a_n \right\rangle, a^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \\
 &= \left(\left\langle a^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i, a_n \right\rangle, a \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \\
 &= \left(\left\langle a, \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right\rangle, a \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \\
 &= \left(\alpha \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + \left\langle a, \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right\rangle, a \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \\
 &= (\alpha, a) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i, a_i) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, R is n -distributive.

The converse follows by Theorem 5, Theorem 4 and by Corollary 1. □

References

- [1] AZUMAYA, G., *Strongly π -regular rings*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., 13 (1954), 34–39.
- [2] BOGDANOVIĆ, S., *Semigroups with a system of subsemigroups*, Inst. of Math., Univ. Novi Sad, 1985.
- [3] BOGDANOVIĆ, S., *Right π -inverse semigroups*, Zbornik radova PMF, Novi Sad, Ser. Mat., 14 (1984), 187–195.
- [4] BOGDANOVIĆ, S. and MILIĆ, S., *Inflations of semigroups*, Publ. Inst. Math. 41 (55), (1987), 63–73.
- [5] ĆIRIĆ, M. and BOGDANOVIĆ, S., *A nil-extension of a regular semigroup*, Mat. Vesnik (to appear).
- [6] DRAZIN, P.M., *Pseudoinverses in associative rings and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 65 (1958), 506–514.
- [7] EVERETT, C.J., *An extension theory for rings*, Amer. J. Math. 64 (1942), 363–370.
- [8] JACOBSON, N., *Structure theory for algebraic algebras of bounded degree*, Annals of Math., 46 (1945), 695–707.
- [9] JACOBSON, N., *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc., Colloq. Publ., Vol. 37, Providence, R.I. 1956.
- [10] MÜLLER, U. and PETRICH, M., *Erweiterungen eines Ringes durch eine direkte Summe zyklischer Ringe*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 248 (1971), 47–74.
- [11] MÜLLER, U. and PETRICH, M., *Translationshülle and wesentlichen Erweiterungen eines zyklischen Ringes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 249 (1971), 34–52.
- [12] MUNN, W.D., *Pseudoinverses in semigroups*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 57 (1961), 247–250.
- [13] PETRICH, M., *Structure des demi-groupes et anneaux distributifs*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser A, 268 (1969), 849–852.
- [14] PETRICH, M., *The translational hull in semigroups and rings*, Semigroup Forum, 1 (1970), 283–360.
- [15] PETRICH, M., *Lectures in semigroups*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [16] PUTCHA, M.S., *Rings which are semilattices of Archimedean semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, 23 (1981), 1–5.
- [17] RÉDEI, I., *Algebra I*, Pergamon Press, Oxford–New York, 1967.