Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Filomat **24:3** (2010), 103–109 DOI: 10.2298/FIL1003103M

## MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS OF STRONGLY CONVERGENT SEQUENCES INTO $V_{\sigma}$

### S.A. Mohiuddine and M. Aiyub

#### Abstract

In this paper, we define the spaces  $\omega(p, s)$  and  $\omega_p(s)$ , where

$$\omega(p,s) = \{ x : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} K^{-s} | x_k - \ell |^{p_k} \to 0 \text{ for some } \ell, \ s \ge 0 \}$$

and if  $p_k = p$  for each k, we have  $\omega(p, s) = \omega_p(s)$ . We further characterize the matrix classes  $(\omega(p, s), V_{\sigma}), (\omega_p(s), V_{\sigma})$  and  $(\omega_p(s), V_{\sigma})_{reg}$ , where  $V_{\sigma}$  denotes the set of bounded sequences all of whose  $\sigma$ -mean are equal.

## 1 Introduction

In [11], Schaefer has defined the concept of  $\sigma$ -conservative,  $\sigma$ -regular and  $\sigma$ -coercive matrices and characterized matrix classes  $(c, V_{\sigma}), (c, V_{\sigma})_{reg}$  and  $(\ell_{\infty}, V_{\sigma})$ , where  $\ell_{\infty}$ and c are the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences  $x = (x_{jk})$  with the usual norm  $||x|| = \sup_{k} |x_k|$ , and  $V_{\sigma}$  denote the set of all bounded sequences all of whose invariant means (or  $\sigma$ -means) are equal. In [9], Mursaleen characterized the class  $(c(p), V_{\sigma}), (c(p), V_{\sigma})_{reg}$  and  $(\ell_{\infty}(p), V_{\sigma})$  matrices which generalized the results due to Schaefer [11]. In [9], the author has determined the matrices of classes  $(\ell(p), V_{\sigma})$  and  $(M_0(p), V_{\sigma})$ .

In this paper, we define some sequence spaces for more general sequence  $s = (s_k)$ . We further characterize the matrix classes from this spaces to the space  $V_{\sigma}$  of invariant mean, i.e. we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions to characterize the matrices of classes  $(\omega(p, s), V_{\sigma}), (\omega_p(s), V_{\sigma})$  and  $(\omega_p(s), V_{\sigma})_{reg}$ .

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classifications. 40C05, 40H05.

 $Key \ words \ and \ Phrases.$  Invariant mean; matrix transformations; sequence spaces.

Received: August 13, 2009

Communicated by Dragan Djordjevic

### 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\sigma$  be a one-to-one mapping from the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of natural numbers into itself. A continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $\ell_{\infty}$  is said to be an *invariant mean* or a  $\sigma$ -mean [11] if and only if

- (i)  $\varphi(x) \ge 0$  when the sequence  $x = (x_k)$  has  $x_k \ge 0$  for all k;
- (ii)  $\varphi(e) = 1;$
- (iii)  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x_{\sigma(k)})$  for all  $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ .

By  $V_{\sigma}$ , we denote the set of bounded sequences all of whose  $\sigma$ -means are equal. We say that a sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is  $\sigma$ -convergent if and only if  $x \in V_{\sigma}$ . For  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , the set  $V_{\sigma}$  is reduced to the set f of almost convergent sequences [2,10]. Note that  $c \subset V_{\sigma} \subset \ell_{\infty}$ .

The class  $V_2^{\sigma}$  and matrix transformations of double sequences, we refer to Çakan, Altay and Mursaleen [1], Mursaleen and Mohiuddine [5,6,7,8].

If  $x = (x_n)$ , write  $Tx = (x_{\sigma(n)})$ . It is easy to show that

$$V_{\sigma} = \{ x \in \ell_{\infty} : \lim_{m} t_{mn}(x) = Le, \ L = \sigma \operatorname{-}\lim x \},\$$

where

$$t_{mn}(x) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^{m} T^{j} x_{n}$$

and  $\sigma^m(n)$  denotes the *m*-th iterate of  $\sigma$  at *n*.

۵

If  $p_k$  is real such that  $p_k > 0$  and  $\sup p_k < \infty$  (see Maddox [4] and Simons [12])

$$\ell(p) = \{x : \sum_{k} |x_{k}|^{p_{k}} < \infty\},\$$
$$\ell_{\infty}(p) = \{x : \sup_{k} |x_{k}|^{p_{k}} < \infty\},\$$
$$c(p) = \{x : |x_{k} - \ell|^{p_{k}} \to 0 \text{ for some } \ell\},\$$
$$\omega(p) = \{x : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_{k} - \ell|^{p_{k}} \to 0 \text{ for some } \ell\}$$

We define

$$\omega(p,s) = \{ x : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} K^{-s} | x_k - \ell |^{p_k} \to 0 \text{ for some } \ell, \ s \ge 0 \},\$$

where  $s = (s_k)$  is an arbitrary sequence with  $s_k \neq 0$ ,  $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ . If  $p_k = p$  for each k, we have  $\ell(p) = \ell_p$ ,  $\ell_{\infty}(p) = \ell_{\infty}$ , c(p) = c,  $\omega(p) = \omega_p$  and  $\omega(p, s) = \omega_p(s)$ .

Matrix transformations of strongly convergent sequences into  $V_{\sigma}$ 

If E is a subset of  $\omega$ , the space of complex sequences, then we shall write  $E^+$  for generalized Köthe-Toeplitz dual of E, i.e.

$$E^+ = \{a : \sum_k a_k x_k \text{ converges for every } x \in E\}.$$

If  $0 < p_k \leq 1$  then  $\omega^+(p) = \mathbb{M}$ , where

$$\mathbb{M} = \left\{ a : \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \max_{r} \{ (2^{r} N^{-1})^{1/p_{k}} | a_{k} | \} < \infty, \text{ for some integer } N > 1 \right\},\$$

and max is the maximum taken over  $2^r \le k < 2^{r+1}$  (see Theorem 4 [3]).

If X is a topological linear space, we shall denote  $X^*$  the continuous dual of X, i.e. the set of all continuous linear functional on X. Obviously,

$$[\omega(p,s)]^* = \bigg\{ a : \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \max_{r} \bigg\{ (2^r N^{-1})^{1/p_k} \bigg| \frac{a_k}{s_k} \bigg| \bigg\} < \infty, \text{ for some integer } N > 1 \bigg\}.$$

# 3 Main results

We shall use the notation a(n,k) to denote the element  $a_{nk}$  of matrix A and we write for all integers  $n,m \ge 1$ 

$$t_{mn}(Ax) = (Ax_n + TAx_n) + \dots + T^m Ax_n)/(m+1)$$
$$= \sum_k t(n,k,m)x_k$$

where

$$t(n,k,m)=\frac{1}{m+1}\sum_{j=0}^m a(\sigma^j(n),k).$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $0 < p_k \leq 1$ , then  $A \in (\omega(p, s), V_{\sigma})$  if and only if

(i) there exists an integer B > 1 such that for every n

$$D_n = \sup_m \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \max_r (2^r B^{-1})^{1/p_k} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_k} \right| < \infty,$$

(ii)  $a_{(k)} = \{a_{nk}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in V_{\sigma}$  for each k;

(iii)  $a = \{\sum_{k} a_{nk}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in V_{\sigma}.$ 

In this case the  $\sigma$ -limit of Ax is  $(\lim x)[u - \sum_{k} u_k] + \sum_{k} u_k x_k$  for every  $x \in \omega(p, s)$ , where  $u = \sigma$ -lim a and  $u_k = \sigma$ -lim  $a_{(k)}, k = 1, 2, \cdots$ . **Proof.** Suppose that  $A \in (\omega(p, s), V_{\sigma})$ . Define  $e^k = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  having 1 in the *kth* entry. Since *e* and  $e^k$  are in  $\omega(p, s)$ , necessity of (ii) and (iii) is obvious. Now we know that  $\sum_k t(n, k, m)x_k$  converges for each *m* and  $x \in \omega(p, s)$  therefore  $(t(n, k, m))_k \in \omega^+(p, s)$  and

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \max_{r} (2^{r} B^{-1})^{1/p_{k}} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_{k}} \right| < \infty$$

for each m (see [3]). Furthermore, if  $f_{mn}(x) = t_{mn}(Ax)$  then  $\{f_{mn}\}_m$  is a sequence of continuous linear functional on  $\omega(p, s)$  such that  $\lim_{m \to \infty} t_{mn}(Ax)$  exists. Therefore by Banach-Steinhaus theorem, necessity of (i) is follows immediately.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold and  $x \in \omega(p, s)$ . We know that  $(t(n, k, m))_k$  and  $u_k$  are in  $\omega^+(p, s)$  the series  $\sum_k t(n, k, m)x_k$  and  $\sum_k u_k x_k$  converges for each m. We put

$$c(n,k,m) = t(n,k,m) - u_k$$

then

$$\sum_{k} t(n,k,m)x_{k} = \sum_{k} u_{k}x_{k} + \ell \sum_{k} c(n,k,m) + \sum_{k} c(n,k,m)(x_{k}-\ell)$$

by (ii) for an integer  $k_0 > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{m}\sum_{k\leq k_0}c(n,k,m)(x_k-\ell)=0,$$

where  $\ell$  being the limit of x for  $x \in \omega(p, s)$ . Now since

$$\sup_{m} \sum_{r} \max_{r} (2^{r} B^{-1})^{1/p_{k}} |c(n,k,m)| \le 2D_{n},$$
$$\lim_{m} \sum_{k \le k_{0}} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m) - u_{k}}{s_{k}} \right| |s_{k}(x_{k} - \ell)| = 0,$$

whence

$$\lim_{m} \sum_{k} t(n,k,m) x_{k} = \ell u + \sum_{k} u_{k}(x_{k} - \ell)$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $1 \le p_k < \infty$ , then  $A \in (\omega_p(s), V_\sigma)$  if and only if (i) for every n,

$$M(A) = \sup_{m} \sum_{r} 2^{r/p} \left( \sum_{r} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_k} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

where  $p^{-1} + q_{-1} = 1;$ 

- (ii)  $a_{(k)} \in V_{\sigma}$  for each k;
- (iii)  $a \in V_{\sigma}$ .

In this case the  $\sigma$ -limit is same as in Theorem 3.1.

**Proof.** Let the conditions are satisfied and  $x \in \omega_p(s)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} |t_{mn}(Ax)| &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)s_k x_k}{s_k} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{r} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_k} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \left( \sum_{r} |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq M(A) ||x|| < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $t_{mn}(Ax)$  is absolutely and uniformly convergent for each m. Note that (i) and (ii) imply that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{r/p} \left( \sum_{r} |s_k u_k| \right)^{1/q} \le M(A) < \infty$$

by Hölder's inequality  $\sum_{k} u_k x_k < \infty$ . Now as in the converse part of Theorem 3.1; it follows that  $A \in (\omega_p(s), V_{\sigma})$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $A \in (\omega_p(s), V_{\sigma})$ . Since  $e^k$  and e are in  $\omega_p(s)$ , necessity of (ii) and (iii) is obvious. For the necessity of (i), suppose that

$$t_{mn}(Ax) = \sum_{k} t(n,k,m)x_k$$

exits for each m whenever  $x \in \omega_p(s)$ . Then for each m and  $r \ge 0$ , define

$$f_{mr}(x) = \sum_{r} t(n,k,m) x_k.$$

Then  $\{f_{mn}\}_m$  is a sequence of continuous linear functional on  $\omega_p(s)$ , since

$$|f_{mr}(x)| \leq \left(\sum_{r} \left|\frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_{k}}\right|^{q}\right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{r} |s_{k}x_{k}|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$$
$$\leq 2^{r/p} \left(\sum_{r} \left|\frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_{k}}\right|^{q}\right)^{1/q} ||x||,$$

it follows ([4], corollary on pp. 114), that for each m

$$\lim_{j}\sum_{r=0}^{j}f_{mr}(x) = t_{mn}(Ax)$$

is in the dual space  $\omega_p^*$ , hence there exists  $K_{mn}$  such that

(3.2.1) 
$$\left|\frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_k}\right| \le K_{mn} ||x||.$$

For each m, we take any integer j > 0 and define  $x \in \omega_p(s)$  as in ([4] Theorem 7 p. 173), we get

$$\sum_{r=0}^{j} 2^{r/p} \left( \sum_{r} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_k} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq K_{mn},$$

whence for each m

(3.2.2) 
$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{r/p} \left( \sum_{r} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_k} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \le K_{mn} < \infty.$$

Now, since  $t_{mn}(x)(Ax)$  is absolutely convergent, we have

$$|t_{mn}(x)| \le \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{r/p} \left( \sum_{r} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_k} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} ||x||$$

so that

(3.2.3) 
$$K_{mn}(x) \le \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{r/p} \left( \sum_{r} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_k} \right|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

By virtue of (3.2.2) and (3.2.3),

$$K_{mn} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{r/p} \left( \sum_{r} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_k} \right|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Finally, by (Theorem 11 [4], p. 114) for every n, the existence of  $\lim_{m} t_{mn}(Ax)$  on  $\omega_p(s)$  implies that

$$\sup_{m} K_{mn} = \sup_{m} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{r/p} \left( \sum_{r} \left| \frac{t(n,k,m)}{s_k} \right|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty$$

which is (i).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $0 < p_k < \infty$ , then  $A \in (\omega_p(s), V_\sigma)_{reg}$  if and only if condition (i), (ii) with  $\sigma$ -lim = 0 and (iii) with  $\sigma$ -lim = +1 of Theorem 3.2 hold.

# 4 Acknowledgment

Research of the first author was supported by the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India under the NBHM-Post Doctoral Fellowship programme number 40/10/2008-R&D II/892.

## References

- [1] C. Çakan, B. Altay and Mursaleen,  $\sigma$ -convergence and  $\sigma$ -core of double sequences, Appl. Math. Lett. 19 (2006), 1122-1128.
- [2] G.G. Lorentz, A contribution to theory of divergent sequences, Acta Math. 80 (1948), 167-190.
- [3] C.G. Lascarides and I.J. Maddox, Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 68 (1970), 99-104.
- [4] I.J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1970.
- [5] Mursaleen and S.A. Mohiuddine, Double σ-Multiplicative Matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 327 (2007) 991-996.
- [6] M. Mursaleen and S.A. Mohiuddine, Regularly  $\sigma$ -Conservative and  $\sigma$ -Coercive Four Dimensional Matrices, Comp. Math. Appl. 56 (2008) 1580-1586.
- [7] M. Mursaleen and S.A. Mohiuddine, Invariant Mean and some Core Theorems for Double Sequences, Taiwanese J. Math. 14 (1) (2010) 21-33.
- [8] M. Mursaleen and S.A. Mohiuddine, On  $\sigma$ -conservative and boundedly  $\sigma$ -conservative four-dimensional matrices, Comp. Math. Appl. 59 (2010) 880-885.
- [9] Mursaleen, On infinite matrices and invariant means, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (4) (1979), 457-460.
- [10] Qamaruddin and S.A. Mohiuddine, Almost Convergence and some matrix transformations, Filomat 21 (2) (2007), 261-266.
- [11] P. Schaefer, Infinite matrices and invariant means, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 104-110.
- [12] S. Simons, The sequence spaces  $\ell(p)$  and m(p), Proc. London Math. Soc. 3 (15) (1965), 422-436.

Addresses:

S.A. Mohiuddine

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India *E-mail:* mohiuddine@gmail.com

M. Aiyub

Department of Mathematics, University of Bahrain, P.O. Box-32038, Kingdom of Bahrain

E-mail: maiyub2002@yahoo.com