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#### Abstract

In the introduction of this paper is presented the definition of the generalized spline functions as solutions of a variational problem and are shown some theorems regarding to the existence and uniqueness. The main result of this article consists in a remarkable equality verified by the generalized spline elements, based on the properties of the spaces, operator and interpolatory set involved, which can be used as a characterization theorem of the generalized spline functions in Hilbert spaces.


## 1 Introduction

Definition 1. Let $E_{1}$ be a real linear space, $\left(E_{2},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ a normed real linear space, $T: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ an operator and $U \subseteq E_{1}$ a non-empty set. The problem of finding the elements $s \in U$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(s)\|_{2}=\inf _{u \in U}\|T(u)\|_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the general spline interpolation problem, corresponding to the set $U$.
A solution of this problem, provided that it exists, is named general spline interpolation element, corresponding to the set $U$.

The set $U$ is called interpolatory set.
In the sequel we assume that $E_{1}$ is a real linear space, $\left(E_{2},(., .)_{2},\|.\|_{2}\right)$ is a real Hilbert space, $T: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ is a linear operator and $U \subseteq E_{1}$ is a non-empty set.

Theorem 1. (Existence Theorem) If $U$ is a convex set and $T(U)$ is a closed set, then the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to $U$ ) has at least one solution.

[^0]The proof is shown in the papers $[1,3]$.
For every element $s \in U$ we define the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(s):=U-s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. For every element $s \in U$ the set $U(s)$ is non-empty $\left(0_{E_{1}} \in U(s)\right)$.
The result follows directly from the relation (2).
Theorem 2. (Uniqueness Theorem) If $U$ is a convex set, $T(U)$ is a closed set and there exists a solution $s \in U$ of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to $U$ ), such that $U(s)$ is a linear subspace of $E_{1}$, then the following statements are true
i) For any solutions $s_{1}, s_{2} \in U$ of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to $U$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}-s_{2} \in \operatorname{Ker}(T) \cap U(s) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) The element $s \in U$ is the unique solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to $U$ ) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ker}(T) \cap U(s)=\left\{0_{E_{1}}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A proof is presented in the papers [1, 2].
Lemma 2. For every element $s \in U$ the following statements are true
i) $T(U(s))$ is a non-empty set $\left(0_{E_{2}} \in T(U(s))\right)$;
ii) $T(U)=T(s)+T(U(s))$;
iii) If $U(s)$ is a linear subspace of $E_{1}$, then $T(U(s))$ is a linear subspace of $E_{2}$.

For a proof see the paper [1].
Lemma 3. For every element $s \in U$ the set $(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$ has the following properties
i) $(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$ is a non-empty set $\left(0_{E_{2}} \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp}\right)$;
ii) $(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$ is a linear subspace of $E_{2}$;
iii) $(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$ is a closed set;
iv) $(T(U(s))) \cap(T(U(s)))^{\perp}=\left\{0_{E_{2}}\right\}$.

A proof is shown in the paper [1].

## 2 Main result

Theorem 3. An element $s \in U$, such that $U(s)$ is a linear subspace of $E_{1}$, is a solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to $U$ ) if and only if the following equality is true

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|T(u)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2}^{2}=  \tag{5}\\
=\|T(u)-T(s)\|_{2}^{2}+\|T(s)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2}^{2}, \quad(\forall) u \in U, \quad(\forall) \widetilde{w} \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $s \in U$ be an element, such that $U(s)$ is a linear subspace of $E_{1}$.

1) Suppose that $s$ is a solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to $U$ ) and show that the equality (5) is true.

Let $\lambda \in[0,1]$ be an arbitrary number and $T\left(u_{1}\right), T\left(u_{2}\right) \in T(U)$ be arbitrary elements $\left(u_{1}, u_{2} \in U\right)$. From Lemma 2 ii) results that there are the elements $T\left(\widetilde{u}_{1}\right), T\left(\widetilde{u}_{2}\right) \in T(U(s))\left(\widetilde{u}_{1}, \widetilde{u}_{2} \in U(s)\right)$ so that $T\left(u_{1}\right)=T(s)+T\left(\widetilde{u}_{1}\right), T\left(u_{2}\right)=$ $T(s)+T\left(\widetilde{u}_{2}\right)$. Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\lambda) T\left(u_{1}\right)+\lambda T\left(u_{2}\right)=(1-\lambda)\left(T(s)+T\left(\widetilde{u}_{1}\right)\right)+\lambda\left(T(s)+T\left(\widetilde{u}_{2}\right)\right)= \\
=T(s)+\left((1-\lambda) T\left(\widetilde{u}_{1}\right)+\lambda T\left(\widetilde{u}_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Because $U(s)$ is a linear subspace of $E_{1}$, applying Lemma 2 iii), results that $T(U(s))$ is a linear subspace of $E_{2}$, hence $(1-\lambda) T\left(\widetilde{u}_{1}\right)+\lambda T\left(\widetilde{u}_{2}\right) \in T(U(s))$. Therefore, we have $(1-\lambda) T\left(u_{1}\right)+\lambda T\left(u_{2}\right) \in T(s)+T(U(s))$ and using Lemma 2 ii) we obtain

$$
(1-\lambda) T\left(u_{1}\right)+\lambda T\left(u_{2}\right) \in T(U)
$$

i.e. $T(U)$ is a convex set.

Since $s \in U$ is a solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to $U$ ) it follows that

$$
\|T(s)\|_{2}=\inf _{u \in U}\|T(u)\|_{2}
$$

and seeing the equality $\{T(u) \mid u \in U\}=\{t \mid t \in T(U)\}$ it obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(s)\|_{2}=\inf _{t \in T(U)}\|t\|_{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t \in T(U)$ be an arbitrary element $(u \in U)$.
We consider a certain $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and define the element

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{\prime}=(1-\alpha) T(s)+\alpha t . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\alpha \in(0,1), T(s), t \in T(U)$ and taking into account that $T(U)$ is a convex set, from the relation (7) results

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{\prime} \in T(U) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from the relations (6), (8) we deduce

$$
\|T(s)\|_{2} \leq\left\|t^{\prime}\right\|_{2}
$$

and considering the equality (7) we find

$$
\|T(s)\|_{2} \leq\|(1-\alpha) T(s)+\alpha t\|_{2}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(s)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|(1-\alpha) T(s)+\alpha t\|_{2}^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the properties of the inner product it obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|(1-\alpha) T(s)+\alpha t\|_{2}^{2}=\|T(s)+\alpha(t-T(s))\|_{2}^{2}=  \tag{10}\\
= & \|T(s)\|_{2}^{2}+2 \alpha(T(s), t-T(s))_{2}+\alpha^{2}\|t-T(s)\|_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the equality (10) in the relation (9) it follows that

$$
\|T(s)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|T(s)\|_{2}^{2}+2 \alpha(T(s), t-T(s))_{2}+\alpha^{2}\|t-T(s)\|_{2}^{2}
$$

i.e.

$$
2 \alpha(T(s), t-T(s))_{2}+\alpha^{2}\|t-T(s)\|_{2}^{2} \geq 0
$$

and dividing by $2 \alpha \in(0,2)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T(s), t-T(s))_{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\|t-T(s)\|_{2}^{2} \geq 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\alpha \in(0,1)$ was chosen arbitrarily it follows that the inequality (11) holds $(\forall) \alpha \in(0,1)$ and passing to the limit for $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ it obtains

$$
(T(s), t-T(s))_{2} \geq 0
$$

As the element $t \in T(U)$ was chosen arbitrarily we deduce that the previous relation is true $(\forall) t \in T(U)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T(s), t-T(s))_{2} \geq 0, \quad(\forall) t \in T(U) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let show that in the relation (12) we have only equality. Suppose that $(\exists) t_{0} \in$ $T(U)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T(s), t_{0}-T(s)\right)_{2}>0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the properties of the inner product, from the relation (13) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T(s), T(s)-t_{0}\right)_{2}<0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $t_{0} \in T(U)$ it results that $T(s)-t_{0} \in T(s)-T(U)$ and considering Lemma 2 ii) it obtains $T(s)-t_{0} \in-T(U(s))$. But, $U(s)$ being a linear subspace of $E_{1}$, applying Lemma 2 iii) we deduce that $T(U(s))$ is a linear subspace of $E_{2}$, hence
$-T(U(s))=T(U(s))$. Consequently, $T(s)-t_{0} \in T(U(s))$ and using Lemma 2 ii) we find $T(s)-t_{0} \in T(U)-T(s)$, i.e.
$(\exists) t_{1} \in T(U)$ such that $T(s)-t_{0}=t_{1}-T(s)$.
From the relations (14) and (15) it follows that there is an element $t_{1} \in T(U)$ so that $\left(T(s), t_{1}-T(s)\right)_{2}<0$, which is in contradiction with the relation (12).

Therefore, the relation (12) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T(s), t-T(s))_{2}=0, \quad(\forall) t \in T(U) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{t} \in T(U(s))$ be an arbitrary element.
Applying Lemma 2 ii) we obtain that $\tilde{t} \in T(U)-T(s)$, so there is an element $t \in T(U)$ such that $\widetilde{t}=t-T(s)$. Using the relation (16) we deduce

$$
(T(s), \widetilde{t})_{2}=0
$$

As the element $\tilde{t} \in T(U(s))$ was chosen arbitrarily we find that the previous relation is true $(\forall) \widetilde{t} \in T(U(s))$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(s) \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u \in U, \widetilde{w} \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$ be arbitrary elements.
Using the properties of the inner product we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|T(u)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2}^{2}=\|(T(u)-T(s))+(T(s)-\widetilde{w})\|_{2}^{2}=  \tag{18}\\
=\|T(u)-T(s)\|_{2}^{2}+2(T(u)-T(s), T(s)-\widetilde{w})_{2}+\|T(s)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $u \in U$ it is obvious that

$$
T(u) \in T(U)
$$

therefore

$$
T(u)-T(s) \in T(U)-T(s)
$$

and applying Lemma 2 ii) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(u)-T(s) \in T(U(s)) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $T(s) \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp}, \widetilde{w} \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$ and taking into account Lemma 3 ii) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(s)-\widetilde{w} \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from the relations (19) and (20) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T(u)-T(s), T(s)-\widetilde{w})_{2}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the equality (21) in the relation (18) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(u)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2}^{2}=\|T(u)-T(s)\|_{2}^{2}+\|T(s)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2}^{2} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the elements $u \in U, \widetilde{w} \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$ were chosen arbitrarily it follows that the previous equality is true $(\forall) u \in U,(\forall) \widetilde{w} \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|T(u)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2}^{2}= \\
=\|T(u)-T(s)\|_{2}^{2}+\|T(s)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2}^{2}, \quad(\forall) u \in U,(\forall) \widetilde{w} \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp} .
\end{gathered}
$$

2) Suppose that the equality (5) is true and show that $s$ is a solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to $U$ ).

Let $u \in U$ be an arbitrary element.
According to Lemma 3 i) we have $0_{E_{2}} \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$ and considering $\widetilde{w}=0_{E_{2}}$ in the equality (5) and taking into account the properties of the norm, we obtain

$$
\|T(u)\|_{2}^{2}=\|T(u)-T(s)\|_{2}^{2}+\|T(s)\|_{2}^{2}
$$

hence

$$
\|T(s)\|_{2}^{2}=\|T(u)\|_{2}^{2}-\|T(u)-T(s)\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|T(u)\|_{2}^{2}
$$

with equality if and only if $\|T(u)-T(s)\|_{2}^{2}=0$, i.e. $T(u)=T(s)$.
The previous relation implies

$$
\|T(s)\|_{2} \leq\|T(u)\|_{2}
$$

with equality if and only if $T(u)=T(s)$.
As the element $u \in U$ was chosen arbitrarily we obtain that the previous inequality is true $(\forall) u \in U$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(s)\|_{2} \leq\|T(u)\|_{2}, \quad(\forall) u \in U \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equality is attained in the element $T(u)=T(s)$, which is equivalent to

$$
\|T(s)\|_{2}=\inf _{u \in U}\|T(u)\|_{2}
$$

Consequently, $s$ is a solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to $U$ ).

Remark 1. The equality presented in Theorem 3 is remarkable because it represents a necessary and sufficient condition to characterize the solution of the general spline interpolation problem in Hilbert spaces. Also, from this theorem we obtain a few interesting inequalities and some optimal approximation properties satisfied by the general spline interpolation functions, like $\|T(u)-T(s)\|_{2} \leq\|T(u)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2},(\forall) u \in$ $U,(\forall) \widetilde{w} \in(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$, respectively $\|T(s)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2}=\inf _{u \in U}\|T(u)-\widetilde{w}\|_{2}, \quad(\forall) \widetilde{w} \in$ $(T(U(s)))^{\perp}$.
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