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#### Abstract

In the note, the author presents alternative proofs for limit formulas of ratios between derivatives of the gamma function and the digamma function at their singularities.


## 1. Introduction

It is common knowledge [1, p. 255, 6.1.2] that the classical gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ may be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!n^{z}}{\prod_{k=0}^{n}(z+k)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$ and that the digamma function $\psi(z)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(z)}{\Gamma(z)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 2011, A. Prabhu and H. M. Srivastava considered the limits of ratios between two gamma functions and two digamma functions at their singularities $0,-1,-2, \ldots$ and, among other things, obtained, by Euler's reflection formulas for the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ and the digamma function $\psi(z)$, the following limit formulas.

Theorem 1.1 ([2, Theorems 1 and 2]). For any non-negative integer $k$ and all positive integers $n$ and $q$, the limit formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\Gamma(n z)}{\Gamma(q z)}=(-1)^{(n-q) k} \frac{q}{n} \cdot \frac{(q k)!}{(n k)!} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\psi(n z)}{\psi(q z)}=\frac{q}{n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are valid.

[^0]If we appeal appropriately to the L'Hôspital's limit formula for indeterminate quotients, the following limit formulas would follow as rather immediate consequences of the assertions (1.3) and (1.4).
Theorem 1.2. For $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i, k \in\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\Gamma^{(i)}(n z)}{\Gamma^{(i)}(q z)}=(-1)^{(n-q) k}\left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{i+1} \frac{(q k)!}{(n k)!} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\psi^{(i)}(n z)}{\psi^{(i)}(q z)}=\left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{i+1} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The object of this note is to provide alternative proofs for limit formulas in the above stated theorems.

## 2. An alternative proof of limit formulas (1.3) and (1.5)

It is well known [1, p. 255, 6.1.3] that the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ is single valued and analytic over the entire complex plane, save for the points $z=-n$, with $n \in\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, where it possesses simple poles with residue $\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!}$. Its reciprocal $\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}$ is an entire function possessing simple zeros at the points $z=-n$, with $n \in\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z)=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!(z+n)} f_{n}(z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid on the neighbourhood

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(-n, \frac{1}{4}\right)=\left\{z:|z+n|<\frac{1}{4}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the points $z=-n$ with $n \in\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, where $f_{n}(z)$ is analytic on $D\left(-n, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ and satisfies $\lim _{z \rightarrow-n} f_{n}(z)=1$ for all $n \in\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$.

Differentiating $i \geq 0$ times on both sides of (2.1) yields

$$
\Gamma^{(i)}(z)=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i}\binom{i}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \ell!}{(z+n)^{\ell+1}} f_{n}^{(i-\ell)}(z)
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\Gamma^{(i)}(n z)}{\Gamma^{(i)}(q z)} & =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k}\left\{\left[\frac{(-1)^{n k}}{(n k)!} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i}\binom{i}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \ell!}{(n z+n k)^{\ell+1}} f_{n k}^{(i-\ell)}(n z)\right] /\left[\frac{(-1)^{q k}}{(q k)!} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i}\binom{i}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \ell!}{(q z+q k)^{\ell+1}} f_{q k}^{(i-\ell)}(q z)\right]\right\} \\
& =(-1)^{(n-q) k}\left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{i+1} \frac{(q k)!}{(n k)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of limit formulas (1.3) and (1.5) is completed.
3. The first alternative proof of limit formulas (1.4) and (1.6)

In [3, Theorem 1.2], an explicit formula for the $n$-th derivative of the $\operatorname{cotangent}$ function $\cot x$ was inductively established, which may be reformulated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cot ^{(n)} x=\frac{1}{\sin ^{n+1} x}\left\{\frac{1}{2} b_{n, \frac{1+(-1)^{n}}{2}} \cos \left[\frac{1+(-1)^{n}}{2} x\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{1}{2}\left[n-1-\frac{1+(-1)^{n}}{2}\right]} b_{n, 2 i+\frac{1+(-1)^{n}}{2}} \cos \left[\left(2 i+\frac{1+(-1)^{n}}{2}\right) x\right]\right\}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{p, q}=(-1)^{\frac{1-(-1) p}{2}} 2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\frac{p-q-1}{2}}(-1)^{\ell}\binom{p+1}{\ell}\left(\frac{p-q-1}{2}-\ell+1\right)^{p} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq q<p$ with $p-q$ being a positive and odd number.
In [1, p. 260, 6.4.7], the reflection formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(n)}(1-z)+(-1)^{n+1} \psi^{(n)}(z)=(-1)^{n} \pi \cot ^{(n)}(\pi z), \quad n \geq 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is collected. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\psi^{(i)}(n z)}{\psi^{(i)}(q z)}=\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{(-1)^{i} \pi \cot ^{(i)}(\pi n z)-\psi^{(i)}(1-n z)}{(-1)^{i} \pi \cot ^{(i)}(\pi q z)-\psi^{(i)}(1-q z)}, \quad i \geq 0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $i=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\psi(n z)}{\psi(q z)}=\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\pi \cot (\pi n z)-\psi(1-n z)}{\pi \cot (\pi q z)-\psi(1-q z)} & =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k}\left[\frac{\pi \cos (\pi n z)-\sin (\pi n z) \psi(1-n z)}{\pi \cos (\pi q z)-\sin (\pi q z) \psi(1-q z)} \cdot \frac{\sin (\pi q z)}{\sin (\pi n z)}\right] \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\pi \cos (\pi n z)-\sin (\pi n z) \psi(1-n z)}{\pi \cos (\pi q z)-\sin (\pi q z) \psi(1-q z)} \lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\sin (\pi q z)}{\sin (\pi n z)}=\frac{q}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The limit formulas (1.4) and (1.6) for $i=0$ follow.
When $i=1$, by (3.4) and (3.1) applied to $n=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\psi^{\prime}(n z)}{\psi^{\prime}(q z)}=\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{-\pi \cot ^{\prime}(\pi n z)-\psi^{\prime}(1-n z)}{-\pi \cot ^{\prime}(\pi q z)-\psi^{\prime}(1-q z)}=\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{-\frac{\pi}{\sin ^{2}(n \pi z)}+\psi^{\prime}(1-n z)}{-\frac{\sin ^{2}(q \pi z)}{}+\psi^{\prime}(1-q z)} \\
&=\lim _{z \rightarrow-k}\left[\frac{-\pi+\sin ^{2}(n \pi z) \psi^{\prime}(1-n z)}{-\pi+\sin ^{2}(q \pi z) \psi^{\prime}(1-q z)} \cdot \frac{\sin ^{2}(q \pi z)}{\sin ^{2}(n \pi z)}\right]=\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\sin ^{2}(q \pi z)}{\sin ^{2}(n \pi z)}=\left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $i=2 j$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, by (3.4) and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\psi^{(2 j)}(n z)}{\psi^{(2 j)}(q z)} & =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{(-1)^{2 j} \pi \cot ^{(2 j)}(\pi n z)-\psi^{(2 j)}(1-n z)}{(-1)^{2 j} \pi \cot ^{(2)}(\pi q z)-\psi^{(2 j)}(1-q z)} \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\frac{\pi}{\sin ^{2 j+1}(n z \pi)} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} b_{2 j, 2 i+1} \cos [(2 i+1) n z \pi]-\psi^{(2 j)}(1-n z)}{\frac{\sin ^{2}+1}{}(q z \pi)} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} b_{2 j, 2 i+1} \cos [(2 i+1) q z \pi]-\psi^{(2 j)}(1-q z) \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k}\left[\frac{\pi \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} b_{2 j, 2 i+1} \cos [(2 i+1) n z \pi]-\sin ^{2 j+1}(n z \pi) \psi^{(2 j)}(1-n z)}{\pi \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} 2_{2,2 i+1} \cos [(2 i+1) q z \pi]-\sin ^{2 j+1}(q z \pi) \psi^{(2 j)}(1-q z)} \cdot \frac{\sin ^{2 j+1}(q z \pi)}{\sin ^{2 j+1}(n z \pi)}\right] \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\sin ^{2 j+1}(q z \pi)}{\sin ^{2 j+1}(n z \pi)} \\
& =\left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{2 j+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $i=2 j+1$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, by (3.4) and (3.1) again, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\psi^{(2 j+1)}(n z)}{\psi^{(2 j+1)}(q z)} & =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{(-1)^{2 j+1} \pi \cot ^{(2 j+1)}(\pi n z)-\psi^{(2 j+1)}(1-n z)}{(-1)^{2 j+1} \pi \cot ^{(2 j+1)}(\pi q z)-\psi^{(2 j+1)}(1-q z)} \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\frac{\pi}{\sin ^{2 j+2}(n z \pi)} \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_{2 j+1,2 i} \cos (2 i n z \pi)+\psi^{(2 j+1)}(1-n z)}{\frac{\pi}{\sin ^{2 j+2}(q z \pi)} \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_{2 j+1,2 i} \cos (2 i q z \pi)+\psi^{(2 j+1)}(1-q z)} \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k}\left[\frac{\pi \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_{2 j+1,2 i} \cos (2 i n z \pi)-\sin ^{2 j+2}(n z \pi) \psi^{(2 j+1)}(1-n z)}{\pi \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_{2 j+1,2 i} \cos (2 i q z \pi)-\sin ^{2 j+2}(q z \pi) \psi^{(2 j+1)}(1-q z)} \cdot \frac{\sin ^{2 j+2}(q z \pi)}{\sin ^{2 j+2}(n z \pi)}\right] \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\sin ^{2 j+2}(q z \pi)}{\sin ^{2 j+2}(n z \pi)} \\
& =\left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{2 j+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion, the limit formulas (1.4) and (1.6) are proved.
Remark 3.1. It seems that explicit formula (3.1) and those in [3] for the $n$-th derivatives of the cotangent function $\cot x$ and the tangent function $\tan x$ should exist somewhere. But, to the best of his knowledge, the author can not find them anywhere.

## 4. The second alternative proof of limit formulas (1.4) and (1.6)

It is well known [1, p. 260,6.4.1] that the polygamma function $\psi^{(n)}(z)$ for $n \in\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ is single valued and analytic over the entire complex plane, save at the points $z=-m$, with $m \in\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, where it possesses poles of order $n+1$. From this or (2.1), it follows that the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(n)}(z)=\frac{(-1)^{n+1} n!}{(z+m)^{n+1}}+\left[\frac{f_{m}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{m}(z)}\right]^{(n)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ is valid on $D\left(-m, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ which is defined by (2.2).
In virtue of (4.1), we have

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow-k} \frac{\psi^{(i)}(n z)}{\psi^{(i)}(q z)}=\lim _{z \rightarrow-k}\left(\left\{\frac{(-1)^{i+1} i!}{(n z+n k)^{i+1}}+\left[\frac{f_{n k}^{\prime}(n z)}{f_{n k}(n z)}\right]^{(i)}\right\} /\left\{\frac{(-1)^{i+1} i!}{(q z+q k)^{i+1}}+\left[\frac{f_{q k}^{\prime}(q z)}{f_{q k}(q z)}\right]^{(i)}\right\}\right)=\left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{i+1}
$$

The proof of limit formulas (1.4) and (1.6) is completed.
Remark 4.1. This is a combined version of the preprints [4,5].
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