# Existence of solutions for fractional differential inclusions with four-point nonlocal Riemann-Liouville type integral boundary conditions

## Bashir Ahmad[a], Sotiris K. Ntouyas[b]

[a]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia
[b]Department of Mathematics, University of Ioannina
451 10, Ioannina, Greece

**Abstract.** This paper presents some existence results for a new class of nonlocal boundary value problems of fractional differential inclusions with four-point nonlocal Riemann-Liouville type integral boundary conditions. Our results are based on some fixed point principles for multivalued maps.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the subject of fractional differential equations has attracted a great attention and a variety of results are available in the literature. It is mainly due to applications of fractional calculus in the fields of physics, mechanics, chemistry engineering ([1]-[6]). For some recent results on fractional differential equations and inclusions, see ([7]-[22]) and the references cited therein.

In this paper, motivated by [16], we study a boundary value problem of fractional differential inclusions with nonlocal fractional integral boundary conditions given by

$$
\begin{cases}
{}^{c}D^{q}x(t) \in F(t, x(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 1 < q \le 2, \\[2mm]
x(0) = aI^{\beta}x(\eta) = a \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} x(s)ds, \quad 0 < \beta \le 1, \\[2mm]
x(1) = bI^{\alpha}x(\sigma) = b \int_{0}^{\sigma} \frac{(\sigma - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x(s)ds, \quad 0 < \alpha \le 1,
\end{cases}
\tag{1}
$$

where ${}^{c}D^{q}$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $q$, $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is a multivalued map, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is the family of all subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, $a, b$ are real constants and $\eta, \sigma \in (0, 1)$.

We establish existence results for the problem (1), when the right hand side is convex as well as non-convex valued. The first result relies on the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type. In the second result, we shall combine the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type for single-valued maps with a selection theorem due to Bressan and Colombo for lower semicontinuous multivalued maps with nonempty closed and decomposable values, while in the third result, we shall use the fixed point theorem for contraction multivalued maps due to Covitz and Nadler. We emphasize that the methods used are standard, however their exposition in the framework of problem (1) is new.

## 2. Preliminaries on multi-valued analysis

Let us recall some basic definitions on multi-valued maps ([23], [24]).

For a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, let $P_{cl}(X) = \{Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : Y \text{ is closed}\}$, $P_b(X) = \{Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : Y \text{ is bounded}\}$, $P_{cp}(X) = \{Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : Y \text{ is compact}\}$, and $P_{cp,c}(X) = \{Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : Y \text{ is compact and convex}\}$. A multi-valued map $G : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is convex (closed) valued if $G(x)$ is convex (closed) for all $x \in X$. The map $G$ is bounded on bounded sets if $G(\mathbb{B}) = \cup_{x \in \mathbb{B}} G(x)$ is bounded in $X$ for all $\mathbb{B} \in P_b(X)$ (i.e. $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{B}} \{\sup\{|y| : y \in G(x)\}\} < \infty$). $G$ is called upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) on $X$ if for each $x_0 \in X$, the set $G(x_0)$ is a nonempty closed subset of $X$, and if for each open set $N$ of $X$ containing $G(x_0)$, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_0$ of $x_0$ such that $G(\mathcal{N}_0) \subseteq N$. $G$ is said to be completely continuous if $G(\mathbb{B})$ is relatively compact for every $\mathbb{B} \in P_b(X)$. If the multi-valued map $G$ is completely continuous with nonempty compact values, then $G$ is u.s.c. if and only if $G$ has a closed graph, i.e., $x_n \rightarrow x_*$, $y_n \rightarrow y_*$, $y_n \in G(x_n)$ imply $y_* \in G(x_*)$. $G$ has a fixed point if there is $x \in X$ such that $x \in G(x)$. The fixed point set of the multivalued operator $G$ will be denoted by $FixG$. A multivalued map $G : [0; 1] \rightarrow P_{cl}(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be measurable if for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$, the function

$$t \longmapsto d(y, G(t)) = \inf\{|y - z| : z \in G(t)\}$$

is measurable.

Let $C([0, 1])$ denote a Banach space of continuous functions from $[0, 1]$ into $\mathbb{R}$ with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |x(t)|$. Let $L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of measurable functions $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are Lebesgue integrable and normed by $\|x\|_{L^1} = \int_0^1 |x(t)| dt$.

**Definition 2.1.** *A multivalued map $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be Carathéodory if*

(i) *$t \longmapsto F(t, x)$ is measurable for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$;*

(ii) *$x \longmapsto F(t, x)$ is upper semicontinuous for almost all $t \in [0, 1]$;*

*Further a Carathéodory function $F$ is called $L^1-$Carathéodory if*

(iii) *for each $\alpha > 0$, there exists $\varphi_\alpha \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$\|F(t, x)\| = \sup\{|v| : v \in F(t, x)\} \leq \varphi_\alpha(t)$$

*for all $\|x\|_\infty \leq \alpha$ and for a. e. $t \in [0, 1]$.*

For each $y \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, define the set of selections of $F$ by

$$S_{F,y} := \{v \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : v(t) \in F(t, y(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Let $X$ be a nonempty closed subset of a Banach space $E$ and $G : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$ be a multivalued operator with nonempty closed values. $G$ is lower semi-continuous (l.s.c.) if the set $\{y \in X : G(y) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ is open for any open set $B$ in $E$. Let $A$ be a subset of $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. $A$ is $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ measurable if $A$ belongs to the $\sigma-$algebra generated by all sets of the form $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is Lebesgue measurable in $[0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is Borel measurable in $\mathbb{R}$. A subset $\mathcal{A}$ of $L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ is decomposable if for all $u, v \in \mathcal{A}$ and measurable $\mathcal{J} \subset [0, 1] = J$, the function $u\chi_{\mathcal{J}} + v\chi_{J-\mathcal{J}} \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}$ stands for the characteristic function of $\mathcal{J}$.

**Definition 2.2.** *Let $Y$ be a separable metric space and let $N : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$ be a multivalued operator. We say $N$ has a property (BC) if $N$ is lower semi-continuous (l.s.c.) and has nonempty closed and decomposable values.*

Let $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ be a multivalued map with nonempty compact values. Define a multivalued operator $\mathcal{F} : C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$ associated with $F$ as

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \{w \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : w(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, 1]\},$$

which is called the Nemytskii operator associated with $F$.

**Definition 2.3.** *Let $F : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ be a multivalued function with nonempty compact values. We say F is of lower semi-continuous type (l.s.c. type) if its associated Nemytskii operator $\mathcal{F}$ is lower semi-continuous and has nonempty closed and decomposable values.*

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space induced from the normed space $(X; \|.\|)$. Consider $H_d : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ given by

$$H_d(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\},$$

where $d(A, b) = \inf_{a \in A} d(a; b)$ and $d(a, B) = \inf_{b \in B} d(a; b)$. Then $(P_{b,cl}(X), H_d)$ is a metric space and $(P_{cl}(X), H_d)$ is a generalized metric space (see [25]).

**Definition 2.4.** *A multivalued operator $N : X \to P_{cl}(X)$ is called:*

(a) *$\gamma$−Lipschitz if and only if there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$H_d(N(x), N(y)) \le \gamma d(x, y) \text{ for each } x, y \in X;$$

(b) *a contraction if and only if it is $\gamma$−Lipschitz with $\gamma < 1$.*

The following lemmas will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.5.** *(Nonlinear alternative for Kakutani maps)[26]. Let E be a Banach space, C a closed convex subset of E, U an open subset of C and $0 \in U$. Suppose that $F : \overline{U} \to \mathcal{P}_{c,cv}(C)$ is a upper semicontinuous compact map; here $\mathcal{P}_{c,cv}(C)$ denotes the family of nonempty, compact convex subsets of C. Then either*

(i) *F has a fixed point in $\overline{U}$, or*

(ii) *there is a $u \in \partial U$ and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ with $u \in \lambda F(u)$.*

**Lemma 2.6.** *([27]) Let X be a Banach space. Let $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}_{cp,c}(X)$ be an $L^1−$ Carathéodory multivalued map and let $\Theta$ be a linear continuous mapping from $L^1([0,1], X)$ to $C([0,1], X)$. Then the operator*

$$\Theta \circ S_F : C([0,1], X) \to P_{cp,c}(C([0,1], X)), \quad x \mapsto (\Theta \circ S_F)(x) = \Theta(S_{F,x})$$

*is a closed graph operator in $C([0,1], X) \times C([0,1], X)$.*

**Lemma 2.7.** *([28]) Let Y be a separable metric space and let $N : Y \to \mathcal{P}(L^1([0,1], \mathbb{R}))$ be a multivalued operator satisfying the property (BC). Then N has a continuous selection, that is, there exists a continuous function (single-valued) $g : Y \to L^1([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ such that $g(x) \in N(x)$ for every $x \in Y$.*

**Lemma 2.8.** *([29]) Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space. If $N : X \to P_{cl}(X)$ is a contraction, then $FixN \ne \emptyset$.*

Let us recall some basic definitions of fractional calculus ([1, 3]).

**Definition 2.9.** *For at least n-times continuously differentiable function $g : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, the Caputo derivative of fractional order q is defined as*

$$^cD^q g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-q-1} g^{(n)}(s) ds, \quad n-1 < q < n, n = [q]+1,$$

*where [q] denotes the integer part of the real number q.*

**Definition 2.10.** *The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order q is defined as*

$$I^q g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \frac{g(s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}} ds, \quad q > 0,$$

*provided the integral exists.*

The following lemma is useful to define the solution for the problem (1). We omit the proof of this lemma as it can easily be established by applying the standard arguments.

**Lemma 2.11.** *For any $y \in C[0,1]$, the unique solution of the linear fractional boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^q x(t) = y(t), \quad 1 < q \le 2, \ t \in [0,1], \\ x(0) = a \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} x(s) ds, \\ x(1) = b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x(s) ds, \quad 0 < \beta, \alpha < 1 \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

*is*

$$x(t) = I^q y(t) + (\nu_1 - \nu_4 t) I^{q+\beta} y(\eta) + (\nu_2 + \nu_3 t)(b I^{q+\alpha} y(\sigma) - I^q y(1)), \tag{3}$$

*where*

$$\nu_1 = \frac{a}{\nu}\left(1 - \frac{b\sigma^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}\right), \quad \nu_2 = \frac{a\eta^{\beta+1}}{\nu\Gamma(\beta+2)},$$

$$\nu_3 = \frac{1}{\nu}\left(1 - \frac{a\eta^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\right), \quad \nu_4 = \frac{a}{\nu}\left(1 - \frac{b\sigma^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right), \tag{4}$$

$$\nu = \left(1 - \frac{a\eta^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\right)\left(1 - \frac{b\sigma^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}\right) + \frac{a\eta^{\beta+1}}{\Gamma(\beta+2)}\left(1 - \frac{b\sigma^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right).$$

**Definition 2.12.** *A function $x \in AC^1([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the problem (1) if $x(0) = aI^\beta x(\eta)$, $x(1) = bI^\alpha x(\sigma)$, and there exists a function $f \in L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(t) \in F(t,x(t))$ a.e. on $[0,1]$ and*

$$x(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds + (\nu_1 - t\nu_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} f(s) ds$$

$$+ (\nu_2 + \nu_3 t)\left\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} f(s) ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s) ds \right\}, \quad t \in [0,1],$$

*where $\nu_1$, $\nu_2$, $\nu_3$ and $\nu_4$ are given by (4).*

*2.1. The Carathéodory case*

**Theorem 2.13.** *Assume that:*

$(H_1)$ $F : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ *is Carathéodory and has nonempty compact and convex values;*

$(H_2)$ *there exists a continuous nondecreasing function $\psi : [0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ and a function $p \in L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$\|F(t,x)\|_{\mathcal{P}} := \sup\{|y| : y \in F(t,x)\} \le p(t)\psi(\|x\|) \text{ for each } (t,x) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R};$$

($H_3$) *there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{M}{\psi(M)\left\{\dfrac{1 + |v_2| + |v_3|}{\Gamma(q)} + \dfrac{\eta^{q+\beta-1}(|v_1| + |v_4|)}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} + \dfrac{b\sigma^{q+\alpha-1}(|v_2| + |v_3|)}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)}\right\}\|p\|_{L^1}} > 1.$$

*Then the boundary value problem (1) has at least one solution on* $[0, 1]$.

**Proof.** Define the operator $\Omega_F : C([0,1], \mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{P}(C([0,1], \mathbb{R}))$ by

$$\Omega_F(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}) : \\ h(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle\int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s)ds + (v_1 - tv_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} f(s)ds \\ (v_2 + v_3 t)\left\{ b \displaystyle\int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} f(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s)ds \right\}, \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

for $f \in S_{F,x}$. We will show that $\Omega_F$ satisfies the assumptions of the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type. The proof consists of several steps. As a first step, we show that $\Omega_F$ *is convex for each* $x \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. This step is obvious since $S_{F,x}$ is convex ($F$ has convex values), and therefore we omit the proof.

In the second step, we show that $\Omega_F$ *maps bounded sets (balls) into bounded sets in* $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. For a positive number $\rho$, let $B_\rho = \{x \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}) : \|x\| \le \rho\}$ be a bounded ball in $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. Then, for each $h \in \Omega_F(x)$, $x \in B_\rho$, there exists $f \in S_{F,x}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s)ds + (v_1 - tv_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} f(s)ds \\ &\quad + (v_2 + v_3 t)\left\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} f(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s)ds \right\}, \quad t \in [0,1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t)| &\le \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} |f(s)|ds + (|v_1| + |v_4|) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} |f(s)|ds \\ &\quad + (|v_2| + |v_3|)\left\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} |f(s)|ds + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} |f(s)|ds \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which, in view of ($H_2$), yields

$$\|h\| \le \psi(\rho)\left\{ \frac{1 + |v_2| + |v_3|}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\eta^{q+\beta-1}(|v_1| + |v_4|)}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} + \frac{b\sigma^{q+\alpha-1}(|v_2| + |v_3|)}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} \right\}\|p\|_{L^1}.$$

Now we show that $\Omega_F$ *maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of* $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. Let $t', t'' \in [0,1]$ with $t' < t''$ and $x \in B_\rho$. For each $h \in \Omega_F(x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t'') - h(t')| &\le \left| \psi(\rho) \int_0^{t'} \left[ \frac{(t''-s)^{q-1} - (t'-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \right] p(s)ds + \psi(\rho) \int_{t'}^{t''} \frac{(t''-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} p(s)ds \right| \\ &\quad + |v_4(t'' - t')|\psi(\rho) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} p(s)ds \\ &\quad + |v_3(t'' - t')|\psi(\rho)\left\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} p(s)ds + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} p(s)ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero independently of $x \in B_\rho$ as $t'' - t' \to 0$. As $\Omega_F$ satisfies the above three assumptions, therefore it follows by the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem that $\Omega_F : C([0,1], \mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{P}(C([0,1], \mathbb{R}))$ is completely continuous.

In our next step, we show that $\Omega_F$ *has a closed graph.* Let $x_n \to x_*, h_n \in \Omega_F(x_n)$ and $h_n \to h_*$. Then we need to show that $h_* \in \Omega_F(x_*)$. Associated with $h_n \in \Omega_F(x_n)$, there exists $f_n \in S_{F,x_n}$ such that for each $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$
h_n(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_n(s)ds + (v_1 - tv_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta - s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} f_n(s)ds
$$
$$
+ (v_2 + v_3 t) \left\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma - s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} f_n(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_n(s)ds \right\}.
$$

Thus it suffices to show that there exists $f_* \in S_{F,x_*}$ such that for each $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$
h_*(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s)ds + (v_1 - tv_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta - s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} f_*(s)ds
$$
$$
+ (v_2 + v_3 t) \left\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma - s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} f_*(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s)ds \right\}.
$$

Let us consider the linear operator $\Theta : L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \to C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$
f \mapsto \Theta(f)(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s)ds + (v_1 - tv_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta - s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} f(s)ds
$$
$$
+ (v_2 + v_3 t) \left\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma - s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} f(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s)ds \right\}.
$$

Observe that

$$
\|h_n(t) - h_*(t)\| = \left\| \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s))ds + (v_1 - tv_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta - s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s))ds \right.
$$
$$
\left. + (v_2 + v_3 t) \left\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma - s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s))ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} (f_n(s) - f_*(s))ds \right\} \right\|
$$
$$
\to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
$$

Thus, it follows by Lemma 2.6 that $\Theta \circ S_F$ is a closed graph operator. Further, we have $h_n(t) \in \Theta(S_{F,x_n})$. Since $x_n \to x_*$, therefore, we have

$$
h_*(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s)ds + (v_1 - tv_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta - s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} f_*(s)ds
$$
$$
+ (v_2 + v_3 t) \left\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma - s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} f_*(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f_*(s)ds \right\}, \quad t \in [0, 1],
$$

for some $f_* \in S_{F,x_*}$.

Finally, we show there exists an open set $U \subseteq C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ with $x \notin \Omega_F(x)$ for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and all $x \in \partial U$. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in \lambda \Omega_F(x)$. Then there exists $f \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ with $f \in S_{F,x}$ such that, for $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$
|x(t)| = \left| \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s)ds + (v_1 - tv_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta - s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} f(s)ds \right.
$$
$$
\left. + (v_2 + v_3 t) \left\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma - s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} f(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s)ds \right\} \right|
$$
$$
\leq \psi(\|x\|) \left\{ \frac{1 + |v_2| + |v_3|}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\eta^{q+\beta-1}(|v_1| + |v_4|)}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} + \frac{b\sigma^{q+\alpha-1}(|v_2| + |v_3|)}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} \right\} \|p\|_{L^1}.
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\frac{\|x\|}{\psi(\|x\|) \left\{ \dfrac{1 + |v_2| + |v_3|}{\Gamma(q)} + \dfrac{\eta^{q+\beta-1}(|v_1| + |v_4|)}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} + \dfrac{b\sigma^{q+\alpha-1}(|v_2| + |v_3|)}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} \right\} \|p\|_{L^1}} \leq 1.
$$

In view of ($H_3$), there exists $M$ such that $\|x\| \neq M$. Let us set

$$U = \{x \in C([0,1],\mathbb{R}) : \|x\| < M\}.$$

Note that the operator $\Omega_F : \overline{U} \to \mathcal{P}(C([0,1],\mathbb{R}))$ is upper semicontinuous and completely continuous. From the choice of $U$, there is no $x \in \partial U$ such that $x \in \lambda\Omega_F(x)$ for some $\lambda \in (0,1)$. Consequently, by the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type (Lemma 2.5), we deduce that $\Omega_F$ has a fixed point $x \in \overline{U}$ which is a solution of the problem (1). This completes the proof. □

### 2.2. The lower semicontinuous case

As a next result, we study the case when $F$ is not necessarily convex valued. Our strategy to deal with this problem is based on the nonlinear alternative of Leray Schauder type together with the selection theorem of Bressan and Colombo [30] for lower semi-continuous maps with decomposable values.

**Theorem 2.14.** *Assume that ($H_2$), ($H_3$) and the following condition holds:*

($H_4$) $F : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ *is a nonempty compact-valued multivalued map such that*

    (a) $(t,x) \longmapsto F(t,x)$ *is $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ measurable,*

    (b) $x \longmapsto F(t,x)$ *is lower semicontinuous for each $t \in [0,1]$;*

*Then the boundary value problem (1) has at least one solution on $[0,1]$.*

**Proof.** It follows from ($H_2$) and ($H_4$) that $F$ is of l.s.c. type. Then from Lemma 2.7, there exists a continuous function $f : C([0,1],\mathbb{R}) \to L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x) \in \mathcal{F}(x)$ for all $x \in C([0,1],\mathbb{R})$.

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^cD^q x(t) = f(x(t)), & t \in [0,1], \\ x(0) = aI^\beta x(\eta), & x(1) = bI^\alpha x(\sigma), \quad 0 < \beta, \alpha \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Observe that if $x \in C^2([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (5), then $x$ is a solution to the problem (1). In order to transform the problem (5) into a fixed point problem, we define the operator $\overline{\Omega_F}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Omega_F}x(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s)ds + (v_1 - tv_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} f(x(s))ds \\ &\quad + (v_2 + v_3 t)\Big\{ b\int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} f(x(s))ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(x(s))ds \Big\}, \ t \in [0,1]. \end{aligned}$$

It can easily be shown that $\overline{\Omega_F}$ is continuous and completely continuous. The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.13. So we omit it. This completes the proof. □

### 2.3. The Lipschitz case

Now we prove the existence of solutions for the problem (1) with a nonconvex valued right hand side by applying a fixed point theorem for multivalued map due to Covitz and Nadler [29].

**Theorem 2.15.** *Assume that the following conditions hold:*

($H_5$) $F : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to P_{cp}(\mathbb{R})$ *is such that $F(\cdot,x) : [0,1] \to P_{cp}(\mathbb{R})$ is measurable for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

($H_6$) $H_d(F(t,x),F(t,\bar{x})) \leq m(t)|x - \bar{x}|$ *for almost all $t \in [0,1]$ and $x, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $m \in L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $d(0,F(t,0)) \leq m(t)$ for almost all $t \in [0,1]$.*

*Then the boundary value problem (1) has at least one solution on* $[0,1]$ *if*

$$\left\{\frac{1+|\nu_2|+|\nu_3|}{\Gamma(q)}+\frac{\eta^{q+\beta-1}(|\nu_1|+|\nu_4|)}{\Gamma(q+\beta)}+\frac{b\sigma^{q+\alpha-1}(|\nu_2|+|\nu_3|)}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)}\right\}\|m\|_{L^1}<1.$$

**Proof.** Observe that the set $S_{F,x}$ is nonempty for each $x \in C([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ by the assumption $(H_5)$, so $F$ has a measurable selection (see Theorem III.6 [31]). Now we show that the operator $\Omega_F$, defined in the beginning of proof of Theorem 2.13, satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.8. To show that $\Omega_F(x) \in P_{cl}((C([0,1],\mathbb{R}))$ for each $x \in C([0,1],\mathbb{R})$, let $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0} \in \Omega_F(x)$ be such that $u_n \to u$ $(n \to \infty)$ in $C([0,1],\mathbb{R})$. Then $u \in C([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ and there exists $v_n \in S_{F,x_n}$ such that, for each $t \in [0,1]$,

$$u_n(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}v_n(s)ds + (\nu_1 - t\nu_4)\int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)}v_n(s)ds$$
$$+(\nu_2 + \nu_3 t)\left\{b\int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)}v_n(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}v_n(s)ds\right\}, \quad t \in [0,1].$$

As $F$ has compact values, we pass onto a subsequence to obtain that $v_n$ converges to $v$ in $L^1([0,1],\mathbb{R})$. Thus, $v \in S_{F,x}$ and for each $t \in [0,1]$,

$$u_n(t) \to u(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}v(s)ds + (\nu_1 - t\nu_4)\int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)}v(s)ds$$
$$+(\nu_2 + \nu_3 t)\left\{b\int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)}v(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}v(s)ds\right\}, \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Hence, $u \in \Omega(x)$.

Next we show that there exists $\gamma < 1$ such that

$$H_d(\Omega_F(x), \Omega_F(\bar{x})) \leq \gamma\|x - \bar{x}\| \text{ for each } x, \bar{x} \in C([0,1],\mathbb{R}).$$

Let $x, \bar{x} \in C([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ and $h_1 \in \Omega(x)$. Then there exists $v_1(t) \in F(t,x(t))$ such that, for each $t \in [0,1]$,

$$h_1(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}v_1(s)ds + (\nu_1 - t\nu_4)\int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)}v_1(s)ds$$
$$+(\nu_2 + \nu_3 t)\left\{b\int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)}v_1(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}v_1(s)ds\right\}.$$

By $(H_6)$, we have

$$H_d(F(t,x), F(t,\bar{x})) \leq m(t)|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)|.$$

So, there exists $w \in F(t, \bar{x}(t))$ such that

$$|v_1(t) - w| \leq m(t)|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)|, \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Define $U : [0,1] \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$U(t) = \{w \in \mathbb{R} : |v_1(t) - w| \leq m(t)|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)|\}.$$

Since the multivalued operator $U(t) \cap F(t, \bar{x}(t))$ is measurable (Proposition III.4 [31]), there exists a function $v_2(t)$ which is a measurable selection for $U$. So $v_2(t) \in F(t, \bar{x}(t))$ and for each $t \in [0,1]$, we have $|v_1(t) - v_2(t)| \leq m(t)|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)|$.

For each $t \in [0,1]$, let us define

$$h_2(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}v_2(s)ds + (\nu_1 - t\nu_4)\int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)}v_2(s)ds$$
$$+(\nu_2 + \nu_3 t)\left\{b\int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma-s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)}v_2(s)ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}v_2(s)ds\right\}, \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Thus, for $t \in [0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|h_1(t) - h_2(t)| \quad = \quad & \left| \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} [v_1(s) - v_2(s)] ds + (\nu_1 - t\nu_4) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta - s)^{q+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} [v_1(s) - v_2(s)] ds \right. \\
& + \left. (\nu_2 + \nu_3 t) \Big\{ b \int_0^\sigma \frac{(\sigma - s)^{q+\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} [v_1(s) - v_2(s)] ds - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} [v_1(s) - v_2(s)] ds \Big\} \right|.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\|h_1 - h_2\| \quad \leq \quad \Big\{ \frac{1 + |\nu_2| + |\nu_3|}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\eta^{q+\beta-1}(|\nu_1| + |\nu_4|)}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} + \frac{b\sigma^{q+\alpha-1}(|\nu_2| + |\nu_3|)}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} \Big\} \|m\|_{L^1} \|x - \bar{x}\|.
$$

Analogously, interchanging the roles of $x$ and $\bar{x}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_d(\Omega_F(x), \Omega_F(\bar{x})) \quad &\leq \quad \gamma \|x - \bar{x}\| \\
&\leq \quad \Big\{ \frac{1 + |\nu_2| + |\nu_3|}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\eta^{q+\beta-1}(|\nu_1| + |\nu_4|)}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} + \frac{b\sigma^{q+\alpha-1}(|\nu_2| + |\nu_3|)}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} \Big\} \|m\|_{L^1} \|x - \bar{x}\|.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Omega_F$ is a contraction, it follows by Lemma 2.8 that $\Omega_F$ has a fixed point $x$ which is a solution of (1). This completes the proof. $\quad\square$

**Example 2.16.** *Consider the following fractional boundary value problem*

$$
\begin{cases}
{}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} x(t) \in F(t, x(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
x(0) = I^{1/3} x(1/2), \quad x(1) = 2I^{2/3} x(3/4).
\end{cases}
\tag{6}
$$

*Here, $q = 3/2$, $a = 1$, $b = 2$, $\beta = 1/3$, $\alpha = 2/3$, $\eta = 1/2$, $\sigma = 3/4$ and $F : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is a multivalued map given by*

$$
x \to F(t, x) = \left[ \frac{|x|^3}{|x|^3 + 3} + \frac{3}{4} t^3 + \frac{7}{15}, \frac{|x|}{|x| + 1} + t + 1 \right].
$$

*For $f \in F$, we have*

$$
|f| \leq \max \left( \frac{|x|^3}{|x|^3 + 3} + \frac{3}{4} t^3 + \frac{7}{15}, \frac{|x|}{|x| + 1} + t + 1 \right) \leq 3, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

*Thus,*

$$
\|F(t, x)\|_{\mathcal{P}} := \sup\{|y| : y \in F(t, x)\} \leq 3 = p(t)\psi(\|x\|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
$$

*with $p(t) = 1$, $\psi(\|x\|) = 3$. Furthermore, by the condition*

$$
\frac{M}{\psi(M) \Big\{ \dfrac{1 + |\nu_2| + |\nu_3|}{\Gamma(q)} + \dfrac{\eta^{q+\beta-1}(|\nu_1| + |\nu_4|)}{\Gamma(q+\beta)} + \dfrac{b\sigma^{q+\alpha-1}(|\nu_2| + |\nu_3|)}{\Gamma(q+\alpha)} \Big\} \|p\|_{L^1}} > 1,
$$

*we find that $M > M_1$ with $M_1 \simeq 21.138414$. Clearly, all the conditions of Theorem 2.13 are satisfied. So there exists at least one solution of the problem (6) on $[0,1]$.*

**Remark 2.17.** *By fixing the parameters involved in the problem at hand, we can obtain some special results. For instance, by taking $\beta = 1 = \alpha$, our results correspond to the ones studied in [32]. Secondly, we obtain the results presented in [33] if we take $q = 2$, $\beta = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ in the results of this paper.*

## References

[1] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
[2] G.M. Zaslavsky, Hamiltonian Chaos and Fractional Dynamics, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005.
[3] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
[4] R.L. Magin, Fractional Calculus in Bioengineering, Begell House Publisher, Inc., Connecticut, 2006.
[5] J. Sabatier, O.P. Agrawal, J.A.T. Machado (Eds.), Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering, Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.
[6] D. Baleanu, K. Diethelm, E. Scalas, J.J. Trujillo, Fractional calculus models and numerical methods. Series on Complexity, Nonlinearity and Chaos, World Scientific, Boston, 2012.
[7] J. Henderson and A. Ouahab, Fractional functional differential inclusions with finite delay, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009), 2091-2105.
[8] J. Henderson and A. Ouahab, Impulsive differential inclusions with fractional order, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010), no. 3, 1191-1226.
[9] R.P. Agarwal, B. Andrade, C. Cuevas, Weighted pseudo-almost periodic solutions of a class of semilinear fractional differential equations, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 11 (2010), 3532-3554.
[10] S. Hamani, M. Benchohra, J.R. Graef, Existence results for boundary value problems with nonlinear fractional differential inclusions and integral conditions, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2010, No. 20, 16 pp.
[11] K. Balachandran, J.J. Trujillo, The nonlocal Cauchy problem for nonlinear fractional integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010) 4587-4593.
[12] A. Cernea, On the existence of solutions for nonconvex fractional hyperbolic differential inclusions, Commun. Math. Anal. 9 (2010), no. 1, 109-120.
[13] Z.B. Bai, On positive solutions of a nonlocal fractional boundary value problem, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010), 916-924.
[14] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, Some existence results for boundary value problems for fractional differential inclusions with non-separated boundary conditions, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2010, No. 71, 1-17.
[15] D. Baleanu, O.G. Mustafa, On the global existence of solutions to a class of fractional differential equations, Comp. Math. Appl. 59 (2010), 1835-1841.
[16] B. Ahmad, J.J. Nieto, Riemann-Liouville fractional integro-differential equations with fractional nonlocal integral boundary conditions, Bound. Value Probl. 2011:36, (2011), 9 pages.
[17] J.R. Wang, X.Z. Li, W. Wei, On controllability for fractional differential inclusions in Banach spaces, Opuscula Math. 32 (2012), 341-356.
[18] A. Cernea, A note on the existence of solutions for some boundary value problems of fractional differential inclusions, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 15, No 2 (2012), 183-194.
[19] A. Aghajani, Y. Jalilian, J.J. Trujillo, On the existence of solutions of fractional integro-differential equations, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 15, No 2 (2012), 44-69.
[20] B. Ahmad, J.J. Nieto, Anti-periodic fractional boundary value problem with nonlinear term depending on lower order derivative, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 15 (2012), 451-462.
[21] A. Guezane-Lakoud, R. Khaldi, Solvability of a fractional boundary value problem with fractional integral condition, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 2692-2700.
[22] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, Fractional differential inclusions with fractional separated boundary conditions, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 15 (2012), 362-382.
[23] K. Deimling, Multivalued Differential Equations, Walter De Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1992.
[24] Sh. Hu, N. Papageorgiou, Handbook of Multivalued Analysis, Theory I, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1997.
[25] M. Kisielewicz, Differential Inclusions and Optimal Control, Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1991.
[26] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, Fixed Point Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
[27] A. Lasota, Z. Opial, An application of the Kakutani-Ky Fan theorem in the theory of ordinary differential equations, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 13 (1965), 781-786.
[28] M. Frigon, Théorèmes d'existence de solutions d'inclusions différentielles, Topological Methods in Differential Equations and Inclusions (edited by A. Granas and M. Frigon), NATO ASI Series C, Vol. 472, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, (1995), 51-87.
[29] H. Covitz, S.B. Nadler Jr., Multivalued contraction mappings in generalized metric spaces, Israel J. Math. 8 (1970), 5-11.
[30] A. Bressan, G. Colombo, Extensions and selections of maps with decomposable values, Studia Math. 90 (1988), 69-86.
[31] C. Castaing, M. Valadier, Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 580, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.
[32] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, Boundary value problems for fractional differential inclusions with four-point integral boundary conditions, Surv. Math. Appl. 6 (2011), 175-194.
[33] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, A study of Second order differential inclusions with four-point integral boundary conditions, Dissc. Math. Diff. Incl. Contr. Optim. 31 (2011) 137-156.