Filomat 27:7 (2013), 1173–1182 DOI 10.2298/FIL1307173A Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Common fixed point of *g*-approximative multivalued mapping in partially ordered metric space

Mujahid Abbas^a, Ali Erduran^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Lahore University of Management Sciences, 54792-Lahore, PAKISTAN. ^bKirikkale UniversityFaculty of Arts and Sciences Department of Mathematics,71450 Yahsihan / Kirikkale.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce g-approximative multivalued mappings. Based on this definition, we gave some new definitions. Further, common fixed point results for g-approximative multivalued mappings satisfying generalized contractive conditions are obtained in the setup of ordered metric spaces. Our results generalize Theorems 2.6-2.9 given in ([1]).

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Contractive conditions play an important role in proving the existence of fixed points of single as well as multivalued mappings. One of the simplest and most useful results in the fixed point theory is the Banach-Caccioppoli contraction mapping principle [2]. This principle has been generalized in different directions in different spaces by mathematicians over the years. In 1968 Kannan [3] proved a fixed point theorem for a map satisfying a contractive condition that did not require continuity at each point (see, e.g., [4] for a listing and comparison of many of these definitions). The concept of weak contractions in Hilbert spaces was defined by Alber and Guerre-Delabriere [5] in 1997. Weak inequalities of the above type have been used to establish fixed point results in a number of subsequent works, some of which are noted in [6].

The study of fixed points for multivalued contraction mappings using the Hausdorff metric was initiated by Nadler [7]. After this, fixed point theory has been developed further and applied to many disciplines to solve functional equations. Banach contraction principle has been extended in different directions either by using generalized contractions for multivalued mappings and hybrid pairs of single and multivalued mappings, or by using more general spaces. Dhage [8, 9] established hybrid fixed point theorems and obtained some applications of presented results. Hong and Shen [10] proved common fixed point results for generalized contractive multivalued operators in complete metric space. Also the monotone iterative technique is associated with several nonlinear problem [11]. This technique is also employed to prove the existence of fixed points for multivalued monotone operators (see, for example [12]). In [12], the problem of existence and approximation of coupled fixed points for mixed monotone multivalued operators were studied in ordered Banach spaces under the assumption that operators satisfy the condensing condition and upper demicontinuity.

Hong introduced the concepts of approximative values, comparable approximative values upper and lower comparable approximative values in [1]. These definition are very useful tool for proving existence

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C38, 15A15, Secondary 05A15, 15A18

Keywords. g-approximative multivalued mapping, ordered metric space.

Received: 12 March 2012; Accepted: 21 December 2012

Communicated by Vladimir Rakočević

Email addresses: mujahid@lums.edu.pk (Mujahid Abbas), ali.erduran1@yahoo.com (Ali Erduran)

of fixed point of multivalued operator in ordered metric space. Motivated by the work of [1], for a self map g on a ordered metric space, we introduce g-approximative multivalued mappings and obtain coincidence and common fixed point results for a hybrid pair of multivalued and single valued mappings. Concepts of g-comparable approximative, g-upper comparable approximative and g-lower comparable approximative multivalued mappings are introduced. Employing these definitions, common fixed point results for generalized contractive multivalued mappings in the framework of ordered metric spaces are obtained. Consequently, Theorem 2.6- 2.9 in ([1]) are generalized.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For $x \in X$ and $A \subseteq X$, we denote $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, A) : y \in A\}$. The class of all nonempty bounded and closed subsets of X is denoted by CB(X). Let H be the Hausdorff metric induced by the metric d on X, that is,

$$H(A,B) = \max\left\{\sup_{x\in A} d(x,B), \sup_{y\in B} d(y,A)\right\},\$$

for every $A, B \in CB(X)$.

Definition 1.1. Let *X* be a nonempty set. Then (X, \le, d) is called an ordered metric space iff: (i) *d* is a metric on *X* and (ii) \le is a partial order on *X*.

Definition 1.2. Let *X* be an ordered metric space. A mapping $g : X \to X$ is said to be (i) weakly *L*-idempotent if $gx \le g^2x$ for *x* in *X* (ii) weakly *R*-idempotent if $g^2x \le gx$ for *x* in *X*. For example, a mapping $g : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ given by $g(x) = x^2$ is weakly *R*-idempotent.

Definition 1.3. An ordered metric space is said to have a subsequential limit comparison property if for every nondecreasing sequence (nonincreasing sequence) $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \to x$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ with $x_{n_k} \le x$ ($x \le x_{n_k}$) respectively.

Definition 1.4. An ordered metric space is said to have a sequential limit comparison property if for every nondecreasing sequence (nonincreasing sequence) $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ implies that $x_n \leq x$ ($x \leq x_n$) respectively.

Let *X* be any nonempty set endowed with a partial order \leq and let $g : X \to X$ be a given mapping. We define the set $\Delta_q \subseteq X \times X$ by

$$\Delta_q = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : gx \le gy\}.$$

Note that for each $x \in X$, one has $(x, x) \in \Delta_g$. **Example 1.5.** Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be endowed with usual order \leq and g be a self map on X defined as g0 = 0, g1 = 2 and g2 = 1. Then the subset Δ_g of $X \times X$ is $\Delta_g = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$.

Definition 1.6. Let *X* be a metric space and $g : X \to X$. A subset *Y* of *X* is said to be *g*-approximative for some *x* in *X* if $Y \subset g(X)$ and the set

$$\Delta_{Y}^{g}(g(x)) = \{ y \in Y : d(g(x), y) = d(Y, g(x)) \}$$

is nonempty.

Definition 1.7. Let *X* be a partially ordered set. A mapping $F : X \to 2^X$ (collection of all nonempty subsets of *X*) is said to be:

(i) *g*-approximative multivalued mapping (in short *g*- AV multivalued mapping), if *Fx* is *g*-approximative for each $x \in X$, That is, $\Delta_{F_x}^g(g(x))$ is nonempty for each *x* in *X*.

- (ii) *g*-CAV multivalued mapping (*g*-comparable approximative multivalued mapping) if *F* is *g*-approximative and for each $z \in X$, there exists $g(y) \in \Delta_{F(z)}^{g}(g(z))$ such that gy is comparable to gz.
- (iii) *g*-UCAV(*g* upper comparable approximative multivalued mapping) if *F* is *g*-approximative and for each $z \in X$, there exists $g(y) \in \Delta_{F(z)}^{g}(g(z))$ such that $g(z) \leq g(y)$
- (iv) *g*-LCAV(*g* lower comparable approximative multivalued mapping) if *F* is *g*-approximative and for each $z \in X$, there exists $g(y) \in \Delta_{F(z)}^{g}(g(z))$ such that $g(y) \leq g(z)$.

If *F* is a single-valued, then *g*-UCAV (*g*-LCAV) means that $Fx \ge gx$ ($Fx \le gx$) for $x \in X$.

Definition 1.8. Let $g: X \longrightarrow X$ and $T: X \longrightarrow CB(X)$. A point x in X is said to be: (i) *fixed point of g* if g(x) = x: (ii) *fixed point of T* if $x \in T(x)$ (iii) *coincidence point of a pair* (g, T) if $gx \in Tx$: (iv) *common fixed point of a pair* (g, T) if $x = gx \in Tx$.

F(g), C(g, T) and F(g, T) denote set of all fixed points of g, set of all coincidence points of the pair (g, T) and the set of all common fixed points of the pair (g, T), respectively.

Definition 1.9. Let $f : X \longrightarrow X$, $T : X \longrightarrow CB(X)$, and $fTx \in CB(X)$. The pair (f, T) is called (1)*commuting* if Tfx = fTx for all $x \in X$ (2) *weakly compatible* [13] if they commute at their coincidence points, that is, fTx = Tfx whenever $x \in C(f, T)$; (3) (IT)– *commuting* at $x \in X$ if $fTx \subseteq Tfx$.

Definition 1.10. Let $T : X \longrightarrow CB(X)$. The map $f : X \longrightarrow X$, is said to be T-*weakly commuting* at $x \in X$ if $f^2x \in Tfx$.

Definition 1.11. The map $f : X \to X$ is said to coincidently idempotent with respect to $T : X \to CB(X)$ if $f^2(x) = f(x)$ for x in C(f, T). The point x is called point of coincident idempotency.

Now we present an example of hybrid pair $\{f, T\}$ for which f is T- weakly commuting at some $x \in C(f, T)$. **Example 1.12.** Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with usual metric. Define $f : X \to X, T : X \to CB(X)$ by

$$fx = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le x < 1\\ x+1, & 1 \le x < \infty \end{cases}$$

and

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{x\}, & 0 \le x < 1\\ [1, x+2], & 1 \le x < \infty \end{cases}$$

It can be easily verified that f is T- weakly commuting at $x = 0 \in C(f, T)$. **Example 1.13.** Let X = R with usual metric. Define $f : X \to X, T : X \to CB(X)$ by

.

$$fx = \begin{cases} -1, & x \le 0\\ -\frac{2}{x}, & 0 < x \end{cases}$$

and

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{x\}, & x \le -1\\ [x,1], & -1 < x \le 1\\ [1,x], & 1 < x < \infty \end{cases}$$

Here $C(f, T) = \{-1\}$ and *f* is coincidently idempotent with respect to *T*.

Let $\alpha \in (0, +\infty]$. *F* denotes the class of mappings $f : [0, \alpha) \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy the following conditions:

(i) f(0) = 0 and f(t) > 0 for each $t \in (0, \alpha)$,

(ii) *f* is continuous,

(iii) f is nondecreasing on $[0, \alpha)$.

A mapping *f* is said to be sublinear if $f(t_1 + t_2) \le f(t_1) + f(t_2)$, whenever $t_1, t_2, t_1 + t_2 \in (0, \alpha)$. We define $F_s = \{f : [0, \alpha) \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is sublinear and } f \in F\}$.

 Ψ denotes the family of mappings $\psi : [0, \alpha) \to [0, +\infty)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) $\psi(t) < t$ for each $t \in (0, \alpha)$,
- (b) ψ is nondecreasing and right upper semi-continuous,
- (c) For each $t \in (0, \alpha)$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi^n(t) = 0$.

By means for the functions f and ψ given in F and Ψ respectively, a generalized contractive condition was defined in [9]. Let Φ denotes the class of mappings $\psi : [0, \alpha) \to [0, +\infty)$ for which $\psi(t) < t$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$ for each t in $(0, \alpha)$.

Definition 1.14. For two subset *A*, *B* of *X*, we say $A \leq_1 B$ if for each $x \in X$, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $x \leq y$ and $A \leq B$ if each $x \in A$, $y \in B$ implies that $x \leq y$.

A multivalued mapping $F : X \to 2^X$ is said to be *g*-nondecreasing (*g*-nonincreasing) if $gx \le gy$ implies that $Fx \le_1 Fy$ ($Fy \le_1 Fx$) for all $x, y \in X$. *F* is said to be *g*-monotone if *F* is *g*-nondecreasing or *g*-nonincreasing. Moreover in what follows (X, \le) will be a partially ordered set such that there exists a complete metric *d* on *X*. Let $D = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in X\}$. Set $\alpha = d$ if $d = \infty$ and $\alpha > d$ if $d < \infty$.

2. Common fixed point theorems

In this section we obtain common fixed point theorems.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that *g* be a nondecreasing self map on *X* and $F : X \to 2^X$ is *g*-UCAV and the following holds

$$f(H(Fx, Fy)) \le \psi\left(f(M_g(x, y))\right) \tag{1}$$

for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_q$, where $f \in F_s$ and $\psi \in \Phi$ and

$$M_g(x,y) = \max\left\{d(gx,gy), d(gx,Fx), d(gy,Fy), \frac{d(gx,Fy) + d(gy,Fx)}{2}\right\}.$$

If *X* has a limit comparison property and g(X) is closed, then *F* and *g* have a coincidence point *x* in *X*. Moreover *F* and *g* have common fixed point if one of the following conditions holds:

(i) Pair (*F*, *g*) is *IT* – commuting at some $x \in C(F, g)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n x = u$, for some $u \in X$ and *g* is continuous at *u*.

(ii) Pair (*F*, *g*) is *IT* – commuting at some $x \in C(F, g)$ and $g^2x = gx$.

(iii) g is F – weakly commuting at some C(F, g) and g is coincidently idempotent with respect to T.

(iv) *g* is continuous at *x* for some $x \in C(F, g)$ for some $u \in X$; $\lim g^n u = x$.

(v) g(C(q, F)) is singleton subset of C(q, F).

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. If $gx_0 \in Fx_0$, then the result is proved. If not, then we proceed as follows: As *F* is *g*-UCAV, $Fx_0 \subset g(X)$, $\Delta_{F(x_0)}^g(g(x_0))$ is nonempty so there exists $gx_1 \in Fx_0$ with $gx_1 \neq gx_0$ such that $d(gx_1, gx_0) = d(Fx_0, gx_0)$ for some $x_1 \in X$ and $gx_1 \geq gx_0$. Similarly, there exists $gx_2 \in Fx_1$ with $gx_1 \neq gx_2$ such that

 $d(gx_1, gx_2) = d(Fx_1, gx_1)$ for some $x_2 \in X$, and $gx_2 \ge gx_1$. We continue to construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ for which either $gx_{n-1} \in Fx_{n-1}$ or there exists $gx_n \in Fx_{n-1}$ with $gx_n \ne gx_{n-1}$ and $gx_n \ge gx_{n-1}$ such that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) = d(Fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}), \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \cdots$$
(2)

for some x_n in *X*. On the other hand,

$$d(Fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) = \sup_{x \in Fx_{n-2}} d(x, Fx_{n-1}) \le H(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_{n-2}),$$
(3)

implies that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \le H(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_{n-2}), \text{ for } n = 2, 3, \cdots.$$
(4)

Since f is nondecreasing, then we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} f(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})) & \leq & f(H(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_{n-2})) \\ & \leq & \psi(f(M_g(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}))), \end{array}$$

in which

$$M_{g}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) = \max\left\{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2}), d(Fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}), d(Fx_{n-2}, gx_{n-2}), \frac{d(Fx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1}) + d(Fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2})}{2}\right\}$$

= $\max\left\{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2}), d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2}), \frac{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + d(gx_n, gx_{n-2})}{2}\right\}$
= $\max\left\{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2}), d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})\right\}.$

If $d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) > d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})) &\leq f(H(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_{n-2})) \\ &\leq \psi(f(M_g(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}))) \\ &\leq \psi(f(\max\{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2}), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)\})) \\ &\leq \psi(f(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}))) \\ &< f(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})), \end{aligned}$$

a contraction. So we have $d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2}) \ge d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)$. This yields

$$f(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})) \le \psi(f(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2})))$$

Repeating this process, we have

$$f(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})) \leq \psi(f(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2})))$$

$$\leq \psi^2(f(d(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-3})))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq \psi^{n-1}(f(dgx_0, gx_1)).$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, n > m$, we obtain

$$d(gx_n, gx_m) \leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} d(gx_i, gx_{i+1}).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} f(d(gx_n, gx_m)) &\leq & f(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + \dots + d(gx_{m+1}, gx_m)) \\ &\leq & f(d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})) + \dots + f(d(gx_{m+1}, gx_m)) \\ &\leq & \psi^{n-1}(f(d(gx_0, gx_1))) + \dots + \psi^m(f(d(gx_0, gx_1))) \\ &\leq & \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \psi^i(f(d(gx_0, gx_1))). \end{aligned}$$

On taking limit as $n, m \to \infty$ and using $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$, it follows that $\{g(x_n)\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete and g(X) is closed so we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = gx$ for some x in X. Now we prove that d(Fx, gx) = 0. Suppose that this is not true, then d(Fx, gx) > 0. For large enough *n*, we claim that the following equation holds

$$M_g(x, x_{n+1}) = \max\left\{ d(gx, gx_{n+1}), d(Fx, gx), d(Fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}), \frac{d(Fx, gx_{n+1}) + d(Fx_{n+1}, gx)}{2} \right\}$$

= $d(Fx, gx).$

Indeed, since $\lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = gx$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) = 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} [d(Fx, gx_{n+1}) + d(Fx_{n+1}, gx)] \\ \leq & \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[d(Fx, gx) + d(gx, gx_{n+1}) + d(Fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx) \right] \\ = & \frac{1}{2} d(Fx, gx). \end{split}$$

So there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M_g(x, x_{n+1}) = d(Fx, gx)$ for every $n > n_0$. Note that

$$f(d(Fx, gx_{n+2})) \le f(H(Fx, Fx_{n+1})) \le \psi(f(M_g(x, x_{n+1}))),$$

which on taking limit as $n \to \infty$ gives

$$f(d(Fx, gx)) \le \psi(f(d(Fx, gx))) < f(d(Fx, gx)),$$

a contradiction. So d(Fx, gx) = 0, we have $gx \in Fx$. Suppose now that (i) holds. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} g^n x = u$ where $u \in X$. Since g is continuous at u, so we have that u is fixed points of g. By given assumption, $g^n x \in C(F, g^{n-1})$ for all $n \ge 1$ and $g^n x \in F(g^{n-1}x)$. Now we prove that d(Fu, gu) = 0. Suppose that this is not true, then d(Fu, gu) > 0. Using (1), since f is nondecreasing and sublinear, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(d(gu, Fu)) &\leq f(d(gu, g^{n}x)) + f(d(g^{n}x, Fu)) \\
&\leq f(d(gu, g^{n}x)) + f(H(F(g^{n-1}x), F(u))) \\
&\leq f(d(gu, g^{n}x)) + \psi(f(M_{g}(g^{n-1}x, u)).
\end{aligned}$$
(5)

Where

$$M_{g}(g^{n-1}x, u) = \max\left\{d(g^{n}x, gu), d(Fg^{n-1}x, g^{n}x), d(Fu, gu), \frac{d(Fu, g^{n}x) + d(Fg^{n-1}, gu)}{2}\right\}$$
$$= \max\left\{d(g^{n}x, gu), d(g^{n}x, g^{n}x), d(Fu, gu), \frac{d(Fu, g^{n}x) + d(g^{n}x, gu)}{2}\right\}.$$

On taking limit as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$M_q(g^{n-1}x, u) = d(Fu, gu)$$

which further implies

$$f(d(gu, Fu)) \leq f(d(gu, g^n x)) + \psi(f(d(Fu, gu)))$$

$$< f(d(gu, q^n x)) + f(d(Fu, gu))$$

On taking limit as $n \to \infty$,

$$f(d(gu, Fu)) < f(d(Fu, gu))$$

(4)

a contradiction, so d(gu, Fu) = 0 and hence $gu \in Fu$. Consequently $u = gu \in Fu$. Hence u is a common fixed point of F and g. Suppose now that (ii) holds. As $x \in C(F, g)$, so $g^2x \in gFx \subset Fgx$. Now $gx = g^2x \in Fgx$ implies that that gx is a common fixed point of F and g. Suppose now that (iii) holds. The result is obvious. Suppose that (iv) holds. As $x \in C(g, F)$ and for some $u \in X$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n u = x$. By the continuity of g at x, we get $x = gx \in Fx$. Hence x is common fixed point of F and g. Finally, suppose that (v) holds. Let $g(C(F, g)) = \{x\}$. Then $\{x\} = \{gx\} = Fx$. Hence x is common fixed point of F and g. \Box

Similarly, we have following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that *g* be a nondecreasing self map on *X* and $F : X \to 2^X$ is *g*-LCAV and the following holds

$$f(H(Fx,Fy)) \le \psi\left(f(M_g(x,y))\right)$$

for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_q$, where $f \in F_s$ and $\psi \in \Phi$ and

$$M_g(x, y) = \max\left\{d(gx, gy), d(gx, Fx), d(gy, Fy), \frac{d(gx, Fy) + d(gy, Fx)}{2}\right\}$$

If *X* has sequential limit comparison property and g(X) is closed, then *F* and *g* have a coincidence point *x* in *X*. Moreover *F* and *g* have common fixed point if any one of conditions (i)-(v) holds as in Theorem 2.1. **Example 2.3.** Let $X = \{0\} \cup [1, \infty)$ with usual metric. Define $g : X \to X$, $F : X \to 2^X$ by

$$gx = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0\\ x + 1, & 1 \le x < \infty \end{cases}$$

and

$$Fx = \begin{cases} \{x\}, & x = 0\\ [1, x + 2], & 1 \le x < \infty \end{cases}$$

We can see that function of *F* and *g* are satisfy condition of Theorem 2. It is clear that *F* is *g*-UCAV, also g(X) is closed and *X* has a property of limit comparison. we can see easly that *g* is *F*- weakly commuting at x = 0. Besides, *g* is concidently idempotent with respect to *F* at x = 0. In this case, These functions satisfy condition of (iii) in Theorem 2.1. Also we can define f(t) = t, $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$, then $f \in F_s$ and $\psi \in \Psi$. If x = y = 0, we have gx = gy = 0 and $Fx = \{x\}$, $Fy = \{y\}$

$$f(H(Fx, Fy)) = H(Fx, Fy) = \max\left\{\sup_{z \in \{x\}} \{d(z, \{y\})\}, \sup_{t \in \{y\}} \{d(\{x\}, t)\}\right\}$$
$$= \max\left\{\sup_{z \in \{0\}} \inf_{t \in \{0\}} d(z, t), \sup_{p \in \{0\}} \inf_{k \in \{0\}} d(p, k)\right\} = 0$$
$$= \frac{\max\left\{d(gx, gy), d(gx, Fx), d(gy, Fy), \frac{d(gx, Fy) + d(gy, Fx)}{2}\right\}}{2}$$
$$= \psi(f(M_g(x, y))).$$

if $x = 0, y \in [1, \infty)$, we have gx = 0, gy = y + 1 and $Fx = \{x\}, Fy = [1, y + 2]$

$$f(H(Fx, Fy)) = H(Fx, Fy) = \max\left\{\sup_{z \in \{0\}} d(z, [1, y + 2]), \sup_{t \in [1, y+2]} d(\{0\}, t)\right\}$$
$$= 1$$

also since x < y then we have

$$M_g(x, y) = \max\left\{y + 1, x, 1, \frac{y - x}{2}\right\} = y + 1.$$

So we satisfy contractive condition. Finally, If $x, y \in [1, \infty)$, we have gx = x + 1, gy = y + 1 and Fx = [1, x + 2], Fy = [1, y + 2] and we can see easly that the contractive condition is satisfied. Hence, satisfy all condition of Theorem 2.1. It is clear that $0 = x = gx \in Fx$ that is, x = 0 is common fixed point of F and g.

Corollary 2.4. Suppose that *g* be a nondecreasing self map on *X* and $F : X \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ are self mappings which satisfy

$$f(d(Fx, Fy)) \le \psi(f(M_q(x, y)))$$

for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_q$, where $f \in F_s$, $\psi \in \Phi$ and

$$M_g(x,y) = \max\left\{d(gx,gy), d(Fx,gx), d(Fy,gy), \frac{d(Fx,gy) + d(Fy,gx)}{2}\right\}.$$

Then *F*, *g* have a unique coincidence point $x \in X$. Moreover *F* and *g* have unique common fixed point if any one of conditions (i)-(v) holds as in Theorem 2.1.

Proof. Theorem 2.1 ensures the existence of coincidence point. To prove the uniqueness, let *y* be another coincidence point of *F* and *g*. If $x \neq y$, then d(gx, gy) > 0. Thus,

$$M_g(x,y) = \max\left\{d(gx,gy), d(Fx,gx), d(Fy,gy), \frac{d(Fx,gy) + d(Fy,gx)}{2}\right\} = d(gx,gy).$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} f(d(gx,gy)) &= f(d(Fx,Fy)) \leq \psi(f(M_g(x,y))) \\ &= \psi(f(d(gx,gy))) \\ &< f(d(gx,gy)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, therefore d(gx, gy) = 0. The results follows. \Box

Theorem 2.5. Suppose that *g* be a nondecreasing self map on *X* and $F : X \to 2^X$ is *g*-AV and the following holds

$$f(H(Fx, Fy)) \le \psi(f(M_g(x, y)))$$

for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_q$, where $f \in F_s$ and $\psi \in \Phi$ and

$$M_g(x,y) = \max\left\{d(gx,gy), d(gx,Fx), d(gy,Fy), \frac{d(gx,Fy) + d(gy,Fx)}{2}\right\}.$$

If g(X) is closed and there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\{gx_0\} \leq Fx_0$, then F and g have a coincidence point $x \in X$. Further, an iterative sequence $\{gx_n\}$ with $gx_n \in Fx_{n-1}$ converges to gx, where $x \in C(F, g)$. Moreover F and g have common fixed point if any one of conditions (i)-(v) holds as in Theorem 2.1.

1180

Proof. If $qx_0 \in Fx_0$, then the proof is finished. Otherwise, for any $gx \in Fx_0$ one has $gx \ge gx_0$. As F has *g*-approximative mutlivalued map, for $x_1 \in X$, there exists $gx_1 \in Fx_0$ with $gx_1 \ge gx_0$ and

$$d(gx_0, gx_1) = d(Fx_0, gx_0).$$

Similarly, for $x_2 \in X$, there exists $qx_2 \in Fx_1$ with $qx_2 \ge qx_1$ and

$$d(gx_1, gx_2) = d(Fx_1, gx_1).$$

We continue the process of constructing a sequence $\{gx_n\}$ such that for $x_n \in X$, one obtaines $gx_n \in Fx_{n-1}$ with $qx_n \ge qx_{n-1}$ such that

$$d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) = d(Fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1})$$
 $n = 1, 2, \cdots$

On the other hand, we have

$$d(Fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) = \sup_{x \in Fx_{n-2}} d(x, Fx_{n-1}) \le H(Fx_{n-2}, Fx_{n-1}),$$

So,

$$d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \le H(Fx_{n-2}, Fx_{n-1})$$
 for $n = 2, 3, \cdots$.

The rest of this proof is the same as that of Theorem 2.1. \Box

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that *g* be a nondecreasing self map on *X*, $F : X \to 2^X$ is *g*-CAV and the following holds

 $f(H(Fx, Fy)) \le \psi\left(f(M_g(x, y))\right)$

for any $(x, y) \in \Delta_q$, where $f \in F_s$ and $\psi \in \Phi$ and

$$M_g(x,y) = \max\left\{d(gx,gy), d(gx,Fx), d(gy,Fy), \frac{d(gx,Fy) + d(gy,Fx)}{2}\right\}.$$

If *X* has a subsequential limit comparison property and g(X) is closed, then *F* and *g* have coincidence point. Moreover *F* and *q* have common fixed point if any one of conditions (i)-(v) holds as in Theorem 2.1.

Proof. Following similar arguments to those given in Theorem 2, and F is g-CAV, we obtain a sequence $\{gx_n\}$ whose consecutive terms are comparable, satisfy (2) and (4) and following hold:

$$gx_{n+1} \in Fx_n, \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = gx.$$

Since X has subsequential limit comparison property so $\{qx_n\}$ has subsequence $\{qx_n\}$ whose every term is comparable to *gx*. Now we prove $gx \in Fx$. Obviously,

$$d(gx_{n_{k}+2}, Fx) \leq d(gx_{n_{k}+2}, gx_{n_{k}+1}) + d(gx_{n_{k}+1}, Fx)$$

$$\leq d(gx_{n_{k}+2}, gx_{n_{k}+1}) + \sup_{t \in Fx_{n_{k}}} d(t, Fx)$$

$$\leq d(gx_{n_{k}+2}, gx_{n_{k}+1}) + H(Fx_{n_{k}}, Fx)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists k_0 such that

$$f(d(gx_{n_k+2},gx_{n_k+1})) < \varepsilon$$

for all $k > k_0$. As

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}f(d(gx_{n_k+2},gx_{n_k+1}))=0.$$

Since gx_{n_k} is comparable to gx for each k, therefore

$$f(d(gx_{n_k+2}, Fx)) \leq f(d(gx_{n_k+2}, gx_{n_k+1}) + H(Fx_{n_k}, Fx))$$

$$\leq f(d(gx_{n_k+2}, gx_{n_k+1})) + f(H(Fx_{n_k}, Fx))$$

$$\leq \psi(f(M_g(x_{n_k}, x))) + \varepsilon.$$

$$< f(M_g(x_{n_k}, x))) + \varepsilon$$

Note that *f* is continuous and $\lim d(gx_{n_k}, Fx) = d(gx, Fx)$, we obtain by letting $k \to \infty$,

$$f(d(gx,Fx)) < f(d(gx,Fx)) + \varepsilon.$$

This implies that d(gx, Fx) = 0, so we have $gx \in Fx$. By the similar arguments in Theorem 2, we can show the existence of a common fixed point. \Box

References

- [1] S. H. Hong, Fixed points of multivalued operators in ordered metric spaces with applications, Nonlinear Anal., 72, 3929-3942 (2010).
- [2] S. Banach, Surles operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs application aux equations integraes, Fund. Math. 3, 133-181. (in French) (1922).
- [3] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. soc. 60, 71-76 (1968).
- [4] B. E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 26, 257-290 (1977)...
- [5] Y. I. Alber, S. G. Delabriere, Principle of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert sapces, new results in operator theory, I. Gohberg, Yu Lyubich (Eds.), Advances and Appl., vol. 98, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, pp. 7-22 (1997).
- [6] J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, Fixed point theoremsfor weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets, Nonlinear Anal. 71, 3403-3410 (2009).
- [7] S. B. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math. 20(2), 475-488(1969).
- [8] B. C. Dhage, Hybrid fixed point theory for strictly monotone increasing multivalued mappings with applications, Comput Math Appl. 53, 803–824 (2007).
- [9] B. C. Dhage, A general multivalued hybrid fixed point theorem and perturbed differential inclusions, Nonlinear Anal TMA. 64, 2747–2772 (2006).
- [10] M. Shen, S. H. Hong, Common fixed points for generalized contractive multivalued operators in complete metric spaces, Applied Math. Letters 22, 1864-1869 (2009).
- [11] G. S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham, A. S. Vatsala, Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations, Pitman, New York, (1985).
- [12] S. S. Chang, Y. H. Ma, Coupled fixed points for mixed monotone condensing operators and an existence theorem of the solutions for a class of function equations arising in dynamic programming, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 160, 468–479 (1991).
- [13] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29 (1998), 227-238.
- [14] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. Ei-Gebeily, D. O'Regan, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Anal. 87, 109-116 (2008)
 [15] A. Aliouchhe, Common fixed point theorems of Gregus type for weakly compatible mappings satisfying generalized contractive condition,
- J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341, 707-719 (2008). [16] R. Caccioppoli, Un teorema generale sull'esistenza di elementi uniti in una transformazione funzionale, Rend. Accad. dei. Lincei 11,
- 794-799. (in Italia) (1930).
- [17] S. H. Hong, Fixed points of discontinuous multivalued increasing operators in Banach spaces with applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 282, 151–162 (2003).
- [18] N. B. Huy, N. H. Khanh, Note of fixed point for multivalued increasing operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 250, 368–371 (2000).
- [19] D. Klim, D. Wardowski, Fixed point theorems for set-valued contraction in complete metric space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 334, 132-139 (2007).
- [20] V. Lakshminkantham, L. Ciric, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 70, 4341-4349 (2009).
- [21] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodriguez-Lopez, Applications of contractive- like mapping princibles to fuzzy equations, Rev. Mat. Complut. 19, 361-383 (2006).
- [22] D. O'Regan, A. Petruşel, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341, 1241-1252 (2008).
- [23] B. E. Rhoades, Some theorems on weakly contractive mps, Nonlinear Anal. 47, 2683-2693 (2001).