Filomat 28:10 (2014), 2175–2184 DOI 10.2298/FIL1410175C



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Approximation of Viscosity Zero Points of Accretive Operators in a Banach Space

Sun Young Cho^a, Shin Min Kang^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Korea ^bDepartment of Mathematics and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Korea

Abstract. In this paper, zero points of *m*-accretive operators are investigated based on a viscosity iterative algorithm with double computational errors. Strong convergence theorems for zero points of *m*-accretive operators are established in a Banach space.

1. Introduction

Zero points of accretive operators have been investigated based on iterative algorithms recently. Interest in accretive operators stems mainly from their firm connection with equations of evolution is an important class of nonlinear operators. It is known that many physically significant problems can be modelled by initial value problems of the form

$$x'(t) + Ax(t) = 0, \quad x(0) = x_0, \tag{1.1}$$

where *A* is an accretive operator in an appropriate Banach space. Typical examples where such evolution equations occur can be found in the heat, wave or Schrödinger equations. If x(t) is dependent of *t*, then (1.1) is reduced to

$$Au = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

whose solutions correspond to the equilibrium points of the system (1.1). Consequently, considerable research efforts have been devoted, especially within the past 40 years or so, to methods for finding approximate solutions of the equation (1.2). An early fundamental result in the theory of accretive operators, due to Browder [1], states that the initial value problem (1.1) is solvable if *A* is locally Lipschitz and accretive on *E*.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide some necessary preliminaries. In Section 3, we study zero points of *m*-accretive operators based on a viscosity iterative algorithm. Strong convergence theorems for zero points of *m*-accretive operators are established in a Banach space. It is proved that the zero point is also a solution to some variational inequality.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H06; Secondary 47H09, 47H10

Keywords. accretive operator; fixed point; nonexpansive mapping; iterative algorithm; zero point.

Received: 27 September 2013; Accepted: 25 November 2013

Communicated by Ljubomir Ćirić

 $^{{\}it Email~addresses:~ooly61@yahoo.co.kr;ooly61@qq.com} (Sun Young Cho), {\it smkang@gnu.ac.kr} (Shin Min Kang) (Sun Young Cho), {\it smkang@gnu.ac.kr} (Sun Young Cho), {\it smkang@gnu.ac.kr} (Shin Min Kang) (Sun You$

2. Preliminaries

In what follows, we always assume that *E* is a Banach space with the dual E^* . Let $U_E = \{x \in E : ||x|| = 1\}$. *E* is said to be *smooth* or said to be have a *Gâteaux differentiable norm* if the limit $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{||x+ty||-||x||}{t}$ exists for each $x, y \in U_E$. *E* is said to have a *uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm* if for each $y \in U_E$, the limit is attained uniformly for all $x \in U_E$. *E* is said to be *uniformly smooth* or said to have a *uniformly Fréchet differentiable norm* if the limit is attained uniformly for $x, y \in U_E$. Let *C* be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space *E* and *E** be the dual space of *E*. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the pairing between *E* and *E**. The normalized duality mapping $J : E \to 2^{E^*}$ is defined by

$$J(x) = \{ f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = ||x||^2 = ||f||^2 \}$$

for all $x \in E$. In the sequel, we use *j* to denote the single-valued normalized duality mapping. It is known that if the norm of *E* is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable, then the duality mapping *J* is single valued and uniformly norm to weak^{*} continuous on each bounded subset of *E*.

Recall that a closed convex subset *C* of a Banach space *E* is said to have *normal structure* if for each bounded closed convex subset *K* of *C* which contains at least two points, there exists an element *x* of *K* which is not a diametral point of *K*, i.e., $\sup\{||x - y|| : y \in K\} < d(K)$, where d(K) is the diameter of *K*. It is well known that a closed convex subset of uniformly convex Banach space has the normal structure and a compact convex subset of a Banach space has the normal structure; see [2] for more details.

Let $T : C \to C$ be a mapping. In this paper, we use F(T) to denote the set of fixed points of T. Recall that T is said to be *contractive* if there exits a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le \alpha ||x - y||, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

For such a case, we also call *T* an α -contraction. *T* is said to be nonexpansive if

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le ||x - y||, \quad \forall x, y \in C$$

T is said to be *pseudocontractive* if there exists some $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \le ||x - y||^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

Let *D* be a nonempty subset of *C*. Let $Q : C \to D$. *Q* is said to be *contraction* if $Q^2 = Q$; *sunny* if for each $x \in C$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we have Q(tx + (1-t)Qx) = Qx; *sunny nonexpansive retractction* if *Q* sunny, nonexpansive, and contraction. *K* is said to be a *nonexpansive retract* of *C* if there exists a nonexpansive retraction from *C* onto *D*.

The following result, which was established in [3,4], describes a characterization of sunny nonexpansive retractions on a smooth Banach space.

Let *E* be a smooth Banach space and *C* be a nonempty subset of *E*. Let $Q : E \to C$ be a retraction and *j* be the normalized duality mapping on *E*. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) *Q* is sunny and nonexpansive;

- (2) $||Qx Qy||^2 \le \langle x y, j(Qx Qy) \rangle, \forall x, y \in E;$
- (3) $\langle x Qx, j(y Qx) \rangle \le 0, \forall x \in E, y \in C.$

Let *I* denote the identity operator on *E*. An operator $A \subset E \times E$ with domain $D(A) = \{z \in E : Az \neq \emptyset\}$ and range $R(A) = \bigcup \{Az : z \in D(A)\}$ is said to be *accretive* if for each $x_i \in D(A)$ and $y_i \in Ax_i$, i = 1, 2, there exists $j(x_1 - x_2) \in J(x_1 - x_2)$ such that $\langle y_1 - y_2, j(x_1 - x_2) \rangle \ge 0$. An accretive operator *A* is said to be *m*-accretive if R(I + rA) = E for all r > 0. In a real Hilbert space, an operator *A* is *m*-accretive if and only if *A* is maximal monotone. In this paper, we use $A^{-1}(0)$ to denote the set of zero points of *A*.

For an accretive operator *A*, we can define a nonexpansive single-valued mapping $J_r : R(I + rA) \rightarrow D(A)$ by $J_r = (I + rA)^{-1}$ for each r > 0, which is called the *resolvent* of *A*.

One of classical methods of studying the problem $0 \in Ax$, where $A \subset E \times E$ is an accretive operator, is the following:

$$x_0 \in E$$
, $x_{n+1} = J_{r_n} x_n$, $\forall n \ge 0$,

where $J_{r_n} = (I + r_n A)^{-1}$ and $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers.

The classical method was first proposed by Martinet [5] and generalized by Rockaffellar [6] and [7]. This method and its dual version in the context of convex programming have been extensively studied; see, for instance, [8-10] and the references therein. This method is known to yield as special cases decomposition methods such as the method of partial inverses, the Douglas-Rachford splitting method, and the alternating direction method of multipliers. Regularization methods recently have been investigated for treating zero points of accretive operators; for [11-25] and the references therein. However, as pointed in [26], the ideal form of the above method is often impractical since, in may cases, to solve the problem exactly is either impossible or the same difficult as the original inclusion problem. Therefore, one of the most interesting and important problems in the theory of accretive operators is to find an efficient iterative methods to compute approximately zeros of *A*.

In this paper, zero points of *m*-accretive operators are investigated based on a viscosity iterative algorithm with double computational errors. Strong convergence theorems for zero points of *m*-accretive operators are established in a reflexive Banach space.

In order to prove our main results, we also need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 [27] Let $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, and $\{c_n\}$ be three nonnegative real sequences satisfying

$$a_{n+1} \le (1-t_n)a_n + b_n + c_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$

where $\{t_n\}$ is a sequence in (0, 1). Assume that the following conditions are satisfied

(a) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n = \infty$ and $b_n = o(t_n)$;

(b) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.2 [28] Let *E* be a Banach space, and *A* an *m*-accretive operator. For $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$, and $x \in E$, we have

$$J_{\lambda}x = J_{\mu} \Big(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}x + \Big(1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\Big)J_{\lambda}x\Big)$$

where $J_{\lambda} = (I + \lambda A)^{-1}$ and $J_{\mu} = (I + \mu A)^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.3 [29] Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space E, and $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in (0, 1) with

$$0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1.$$

Suppose that $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n + \beta_n x_n$, $\forall n \ge 1$ and

 $\limsup_{n \to \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \le 0.$

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||y_n - x_n|| = 0.$

Lemma 2.4 [30] Let *E* a real reflexive Banach space with the uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and the normal structure, and C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let $S : C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point, and $T : C \to C$ be a fixed contraction with the coefficient $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{x_t\}$ be a sequence generated by the following $x_t = tTx_t + (1-t)Sx_t$, where $t \in (0, 1)$. Then $\{x_t\}$ converges strongly as $t \to 0$ to a fixed point x^* of T, which is the unique solution in F(T) to the following variational inequality $\langle Tx^* - x^*, j(x^* - p) \rangle \ge 0, \forall p \in F(S)$.

3. Main Results

Now, we are in a position to state our main result.

Theorem 3.1. Let *E* be a real reflexive Banach space with the uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and let A be an *m*-accretive operators in *E*. Assume that $C := \overline{D(A)}$ is convex and has the normal structure. Let $T : C \to C$ be a fixed

 α -contraction. Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\alpha_n'\}, \{\beta_n'\}$ and $\{\gamma_n'\}$ be real number sequences in (0, 1). Let Q_C be the sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner: $x_0 \in C$ and

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \alpha_n' x_n + \beta_n' J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1}) + \gamma_n' Q_C(f_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T x_n + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n y_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{e_n\}$ is a sequence in E, $\{f_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in E, $\{r_n\}$ is a positive real numbers sequence, and $J_{r_n} = (I + r_n A)^{-1}$. Assume that $A^{-1}(0)$ is not empty and the above control sequences satisfy the following restrictions: (a) $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = \alpha_n' + \beta'_n + \gamma'_n = 1;$

- (a) $\alpha_n + p_n + \gamma_n = \alpha_n^* + \beta_n^* + \gamma_n = 1;$ (b) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha'_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty;$ (c) $0 < \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf_{n\to\infty} \beta_n \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{n\to\infty} \beta_n < 1;$ (d) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||e_n|| < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma'_n < \infty;$ (e) $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\beta'_n \beta'_{n+1}| = 0;$ (f) $r_n \ge \epsilon$ for each $n \ge 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} |r_n r_{n+1}| = 0.$

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to \bar{x} , which is the unique solution to the following variational inequality

 $\langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x}, j(p - \bar{x}) \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall p \in A^{-1}(0).$

Proof. First, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Fixing $p \in A^{-1}(0)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_0 - p\| &= \|\alpha_0'(x_0 - p) + \beta_0'(J_{r_0}(x_0 + e_1) - p) + \gamma_0'(Q_C(f_0) - p)\| \\ &\leq \alpha_0'\|x_0 - p\| + \beta_0'\|J_{r_0}(x_0 + e_1) - p\| + \gamma_0'\|Q_C(f_0) - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_0')\|x_0 - p\| + \|e_1\| + \gamma_0'\|f_0 - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1 - p|| &\leq \alpha_0 ||Tx_0 - p|| + \beta_0 ||x_0 - p|| + \gamma_0 ||y_0 - p|| \\ &\leq \alpha_0 \alpha ||x_0 - p|| + \alpha_0 ||Tp - p|| + \beta_0 ||x_0 - p|| + \gamma_0 ||y_0 - p|| \\ &\leq \alpha_0 \alpha ||x_0 - p|| + \alpha_0 ||Tp - p|| + \beta_0 ||x_0 - p|| + (1 - \gamma'_0) \gamma_0 ||x_0 - p|| \\ &+ ||e_1|| + \gamma_0 \gamma'_0 ||f_0 - p|| \\ &\leq \left(1 - \alpha_0 (1 - \alpha)\right) ||x_0 - p|| + \alpha_0 ||Tp - p|| + ||e_1|| + \gamma'_0 ||f_0 - p||. \end{aligned}$$

Put $M_1 = \max\{||x_0 - p||, \frac{||T_p - p||}{1 - \alpha}\} < \infty$. Next, we prove that

$$||x_n - p|| \le M_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n ||e_i|| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \gamma'_i ||f_i||.$$
(3.1)

It is easy to see that (3.1) holds for n = 1. We assume that the result holds for some n. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} ||y_n - p|| &= ||\alpha_n'(x_n - p) + \beta_n'(J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1}) - p) + \gamma_n'(Q_C(f_n) - p)|| \\ &\leq \alpha_n'||x_n - p|| + \beta_n'||J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1}) - p|| + \gamma_n'||Q_C(f_n) - p|| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n')||x_n - p|| + ||e_{n+1}|| + \gamma_n'||f_n - p||. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \alpha_n \|Tx_n - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|y_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \alpha \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|Tp - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|y_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \alpha \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|Tp - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \gamma'_n)\gamma_n \|x_n - p\| \\ &+ \|e_{n+1}\| + \gamma_n \gamma'_n \|f_n - p\| \\ &\leq \left(1 - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha)\right) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|Tp - p\| + \|e_{n+1}\| + \gamma'_n \|f_n - p\| \\ &\leq M_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \|e_i\| + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma'_i \|f_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Next, we show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0.$$
(3.2)

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{split} \|J_{r_{n}}(x_{n} + e_{n+1}) - J_{r_{n+1}}(x_{n+1} + e_{n+2})\| \\ &= \left\|J_{r_{n+1}}\left(\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_{n}}(x_{n} + e_{n+1}) + \left(1 - \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_{n}}\right)J_{r_{n}}(x_{n} + e_{n+1})\right) - J_{r_{n+1}}(x_{n+1} + e_{n+2})\right\| \\ &\leq \left\|\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_{n}}\left((x_{n} + e_{n+1}) - (x_{n+1} + e_{n+2})\right) + \left(1 - \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_{n}}\right)\left(J_{r_{n}}(x_{n} + e_{n+1}) - (x_{n+1} + e_{n+2})\right)\right\| \\ &= \left\|\left((x_{n} + e_{n+1}) - (x_{n+1} + e_{n+2})\right) + \left(1 - \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_{n}}\right)\left(J_{r_{n}}(x_{n} + e_{n+1}) - (x_{n} + e_{n+1})\right)\right\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n} - x_{n+1}\| + \|e_{n+1}\| + \|e_{n+2}\| + \frac{M_{2}}{\epsilon}|r_{n+1} - r_{n}|, \end{split}$$
(3.3)

where M_2 is an appropriate constant such that

$$M_2 \ge \sup_{n \ge 1} \{ \|J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1}) - (x_n + e_{n+1}) \| \}.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{split} y_{n+1} &= y_n \\ &= \alpha'_{n+1}(x_{n+1} - x_n) + x_n(\alpha'_{n+1} - \alpha'_n) \\ &+ \beta'_{n+1} \Big(J_{r_{n+1}}(x_{n+1} + e_{n+2}) - J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1}) \Big) + J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1}) (\beta'_{n+1} - \beta'_n) \\ &+ \gamma'_{n+1} (Q_C(f_{n+1}) - Q_C(f_n)) + Q_C(f_n) (\gamma'_{n+1} - \gamma'_n), \end{split}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \\ &\leq \alpha'_{n+1} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_n\| \|\alpha'_{n+1} - \alpha'_n\| \\ &+ \beta'_{n+1} \|J_{r_{n+1}}(x_{n+1} + e_{n+2}) - J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1})\| + \|J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1})\| \|\beta'_{n+1} - \beta'_n\| \\ &+ \gamma'_{n+1} \|Q_C(f_{n+1}) - Q_C(f_n)\| + \|Q_C(f_n)\| |\gamma'_{n+1} - \gamma'_n|. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4)$$

Substituting (3.3) into (3.4), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_n\| \|\alpha'_{n+1} - \alpha'_n\| \\ &+ \|e_{n+1}\| + \|e_{n+2}\| + \frac{M_2}{\varepsilon} |r_{n+1} - r_n| \\ &+ \|J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1})\| \beta'_{n+1} - \beta'_n| \\ &+ \gamma'_{n+1} \|Q_C(f_{n+1}) - Q_C(f_n)\| + \|Q_C(f_n)\| |\gamma'_{n+1} - \gamma'_n|. \end{aligned}$$
(3.5)

Put $g_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - \beta_n x_n}{1 - \beta_n}$. That is,

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)g_n + \beta_n x_n, \quad n \ge 0.$$
(3.6)

Now, we compute $||g_{n+1} - g_n||$. Note that

$$g_{n+1} - g_n = \frac{\alpha_{n+1}Tx_{n+1} + \gamma_{n+1}y_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_nTx_n + \gamma_ny_n}{1 - \beta_n}$$

= $\frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}}Tx_{n+1} + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}}y_{n+1} - \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n}Tx_n - \frac{\gamma_n}{1 - \beta_n}y_n$
= $\frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}}(Tx_{n+1} - y_{n+1}) + y_{n+1} - \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n}(Tx_n - y_n) - y_n.$

This yields that

$$\|g_{n+1} - g_n\| \le \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|Tx_{n+1} - y_{n+1}\| + \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|Tx_n - y_n\|.$$
(3.7)

Substituting (3.5) into (3.7), we find that

$$\begin{split} \|g_{n+1} - g_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|Tx_{n+1} - y_{n+1}\| + \|x_n\| \|\alpha'_{n+1} - \alpha'_n\| \\ &+ \|e_{n+1}\| + \|e_{n+2}\| + \frac{M_2}{\epsilon} |r_{n+1} - r_n| + \|J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1})\| |\beta'_{n+1} - \beta'_n| \\ &+ \gamma'_{n+1} \|Q_C(f_{n+1}) - Q_C(f_n)\| + \|Q_C(f_n)\| \|\gamma'_{n+1} - \gamma'_n\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|Tx_n - y_n\|. \end{split}$$

From the restrictions (b)-(f), we see that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(\|g_{n+1} - g_n\| - \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \right) \le 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|g_n-x_n\|=0.$

 $x_{n+1} - x_n = (1 - \beta_n)(g_n - x_n).$

In view of (3.6), we have

Hence, we find that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = 0.$ (3.8)

Notice that

$$y_n - x_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n + \alpha_n (x_n - f(x_n))}{\gamma_n}.$$

In view of the restrictions (b) and (c), we find from (3.8) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0.$$
(3.9)

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1})\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \|y_n - J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1})\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1})\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - y_n\| \\ &+ \alpha'_n \|x_n - J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1})\| + \gamma'_n \|Q_C(f_n) - J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1})\|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the restrictions (b) and (d), we find from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1})\| = 0.$$
(3.10)

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - J_{r_n} x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - J_{r_n} (x_n + e_{n+1})\| + \|J_{r_n} (x_n + e_{n+1}) - J_{r_n} x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - J_{r_n} (x_n + e_{n+1})\| + \|e_{n+1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||e_n|| < \infty$, we see from (3.10) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n-J_{r_n}x_n\|=0.$$

2180

Take a fixed number *r* such that $\epsilon > r > 0$. In view of Lemma 2.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_{r_n} x_n - J_r x_n\| &= \|J_r \Big(\frac{r}{r_n} x_n + (1 - \frac{r}{r_n}) J_{r_n} x_n \Big) - J_r x_n \| \\ &\leq \|(1 - \frac{r}{r_n}) (J_{r_n} x_n - x_n)\| \\ &\leq \|J_{r_n} x_n - x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$
(3.11)

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} ||x_n - J_r x_n|| &\leq ||x_n - J_{r_n} x_n|| + ||J_{r_n} x_n - J_r x_n|| \\ &\leq 2||x_n - J_{r_n} x_n||. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.11), we see that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - J_r x_n\| = 0.$$
(3.12)

Next, we claim that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle \bar{x} - T\bar{x}, j(x_n - \bar{x}) \rangle \leq 0$, where $\bar{x} = \lim_{t\to 0} z_t$, and z_t solves the fixed point equation $z_t = tTz_t + (1-t)J_rz_t$, $\forall t \in (0, 1)$, from which it follows that

$$||z_t - x_n|| = ||(1 - t)(J_r z_t - x_n) + t(T z_t - x_n)||.$$

For any $t \in (0, 1)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} ||z_t - x_n||^2 &= (1 - t)\langle J_r z_t - x_n, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle + t\langle T z_t - x_n, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle \\ &= (1 - t) \Big(\langle J_r z_t - J_r x_n, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle + \langle J_r x_n - x_n, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle \Big) \\ &+ t\langle T z_t - z_t, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle + t\langle z_t - x_n, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - t) \Big(||z_t - x_n||^2 + ||J_r x_n - x_n|| ||z_t - x_n|| \Big) \\ &+ t\langle T z_t - z_t, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle + t ||z_t - x_n||^2 \\ &\leq ||z_t - x_n||^2 + ||J_r x_n - x_n|| ||z_t - x_n|| + t\langle T z_t - z_t, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\langle z_t - Tz_t, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{t} ||J_t x_n - x_n|| ||z_t - x_n|| \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$

In view of (3.12), we see that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle z_t - Tz_t, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle \le 0.$$
(3.13)

Since $z_t \to \bar{x}$, as $t \to 0$ and the fact that j is strong to weak^{*} uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of E, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x}, j(x_n - \bar{x}) \rangle - \langle z_t - Tz_t, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x}, j(x_n - \bar{x}) \rangle - \langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x}, j(x_n - z_t) \rangle| \\ &+ |\langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x}, j(x_n - z_t) \rangle - \langle z_t - Tz_t, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x}, j(x_n - \bar{x}) - j(x_n - z_t) \rangle| + |\langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x} + z_t - Tz_t, J(x_n - z_t) \rangle| \\ &\leq ||T\bar{x} - \bar{x}|| ||j(x_n - \bar{x}) - j(x_n - z_t)|| + ||T\bar{x} - \bar{x} + z_t - Tz_t||||x_n - z_t|| \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\forall t \in (0, \lambda)$ the following inequality holds

$$\langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x}, j(x_n - \bar{x}) \rangle \leq \langle z_t - Tz_t, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle + \epsilon.$$

This implies that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x}, j(x_n - \bar{x}) \rangle \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle z_t - Tz_t, j(z_t - x_n) \rangle + \epsilon$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary and (3.13), we see that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x}, j(x_n - \bar{x}) \rangle \leq 0$. This implies that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle T\bar{x} - \bar{x}, j(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle \le 0.$$
(3.14)

Finally, we show that $x_n \to \bar{x}$ as $n \to \infty$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - \bar{x}\| &\leq \alpha'_n \|x_n - \bar{x}\| + \beta'_n \|J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1}) - \bar{x}\| + \gamma'_n \|Q_C f_n - \bar{x}\| \\ &\leq (\alpha'_n + \beta'_n) \|x_n - \bar{x}\| + \|e_{n+1}\| + \gamma'_n \|f_n - \bar{x}\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - \bar{x}\| + \|e_{n+1}\| + \gamma'_n M_2, \end{aligned}$$
(3.15)

where $M_2 = \sup_{n \ge 1} ||f_n - \bar{x}||$. Put $\delta_n = ||e_{n+1}|| + \gamma'_n M_2$. In view of the restriction (d), we see that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$. Notice that $||x_{n+1} - \bar{x}||^2 < \alpha_n \langle Tx_n - \bar{x}, i(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle + \beta_n ||x_n - \bar{x}|| ||x_{n+1} - \bar{x}||$

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+1} - \bar{x}||^2 &\leq \alpha_n \langle Tx_n - \bar{x}, j(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle + \beta_n ||x_n - \bar{x}|| ||x_{n+1} - \bar{x}|| \\ &+ \gamma_n ||y_n - \bar{x}|| ||x_{n+1} - \bar{x}|| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \langle Tx_n - \bar{x}, j(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle + \frac{\beta_n}{2} (||x_n - \bar{x}||^2 + ||x_{n+1} - \bar{x}||^2) \\ &+ \frac{\gamma_n}{2} (||y_n - \bar{x}||^2 + ||x_{n+1} - \bar{x}||^2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} ||x_{n+1} - \bar{x}||^2 &\leq 2\alpha_n \langle Tx_n - \bar{x}, j(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle + \beta_n ||x_n - \bar{x}||^2 + \gamma_n ||y_n - \bar{x}||^2 \\ &\leq 2\alpha_n \langle Tx_n - \bar{x}, j(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle + \beta_n ||x_n - \bar{x}||^2 + \gamma_n ||x_n - \bar{x}||^2 \\ &+ \gamma_n \delta_n^2 + 2\gamma_n \delta_n ||x_n - \bar{x}|| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) ||x_n - \bar{x}||^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle Tx_n - \bar{x}, j(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle + \delta_n M_3, \end{aligned}$$
(3.16)

where M_3 is an appropriate constant such that $M_3 \ge \sup_{n\ge 0} \{\delta_n + 2 ||x_n - \bar{x}||\}$. Let $\mu_n = \max\{\langle Tx_n - \bar{x}, j(x_{n+1} - \bar{x})\rangle, 0\}$. Next, we show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n = 0$. Indeed, from (3.14), for any give $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer n_1 such that

$$\langle Tx_n - \bar{x}, j(x_{n+1} - \bar{x}) \rangle < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \ge n_1.$$

This implies that $0 \le \mu_n < \epsilon$, $\forall n \ge n_1$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we see that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n = 0$. It follows from (3.16) that

$$||x_{n+1} - \bar{x}||^2 \le (1 - \alpha_n)||x_n - \bar{x}||^2 + 2\alpha_n\mu_n + \delta_n M_3.$$

Put $a_n = ||x_n - \bar{x}||^2$, $t_n = \alpha_n$, $b_n = 2\alpha_n\mu_n$ and $c_n = \delta_nM_3$ for every $n \ge 0$. In view of Lemma 2.1, we find the desired conclusion. \Box

If the mapping *T* maps every point in *C* to a fixed element, then we have the following results.

Corollary 3.2. Let *E* be a real reflexive Banach space with the uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and A be an *m*-accretive operators in *E*. Assume that $C := \overline{D(A)}$ is convex and has the normal structure. Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\alpha_n'\}, \{\beta_n'\}$ and $\{\gamma_n'\}$ be real number sequences in (0, 1). Let Q_C be the sunny nonexpansive retraction from *E* onto *C* and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, \\ y_n = \alpha_n' x_n + \beta_n' J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1}) + \gamma_n' Q_C(f_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n y_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

where *u* is a fixed element in *C*, $\{e_n\}$ is a sequence in *E*, $\{f_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in *E*, $\{r_n\}$ is a positive real numbers sequence, and $J_{r_n} = (I + r_n A)^{-1}$. Assume that $A^{-1}(0)$ is not empty and the above control sequences satisfy the following restrictions:

(a) $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = \alpha_n' + \beta'_n + \gamma'_n = 1;$

- (b) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha'_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$;
- (c) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1;$
- (d) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||e_n|| < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma'_n < \infty$; (e) $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\beta'_n \beta'_{n+1}| = 0$;
- (f) $r_n \ge \epsilon$ for each $n \ge 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} |r_n r_{n+1}| = 0$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to \bar{x} , which is the unique solution to the following variational inequality

$$\langle u - Q_{A^{-1}(0)}u, j(p - Q_{A^{-1}(0)}u) \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall p \in A^{-1}(0).$$

If $\gamma'_n = 0$, then Corollary 3.2 is reduced to the following.

Corollary 3.3. Let *E* be a real reflexive Banach space with the uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and let A be an m-accretive operators in E. Assume that $C := \overline{D(A)}$ is convex and has the normal structure. Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\gamma_$ $\{\alpha_n'\}$, and $\{\beta_n'\}$ be real number sequences in (0, 1). Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, \\ y_n = \alpha_n' x_n + \beta_n' J_{r_n}(x_n + e_{n+1}), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n y_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

where u is a fixed element in C, $\{e_n\}$ is a sequence in E, $\{r_n\}$ is a positive real numbers sequence, and $J_{r_n} = (I + r_n A)^{-1}$. Assume that $A^{-1}(0)$ is not empty and the above control sequences satisfy the following restrictions:

- (a) $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = \alpha_n' + \beta'_n = 1;$
- (b) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha'_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$;
- (c) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1;$
- (d) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||e_n|| < \infty;$
- (e) $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\beta'_n \beta'_{n+1}| = 0;$

(f) $r_n \ge \epsilon$ for each $n \ge 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} |r_n - r_{n+1}| = 0$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to \bar{x} , which is the unique solution to the following variational inequality

$$\langle u - Q_{A^{-1}(0)}u, j(p - Q_{A^{-1}(0)}u) \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall p \in A^{-1}(0).$$

References

- [1] V. Barbu, Nonlinear Semigroups and differential equations in Banach space, Noordhoff, 1976.
- [2] D.P. Bertsekas, Constrained Optimizaton and Lagrange Multiplier Methods, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [3] F.E. Browder, Nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967) 875–882.
- [4] R.E. Bruck, Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach spaces, Pacific J. Math. 47 (1973) 341–355.
- [5] S.Y. Cho, Strong convergence of an iterative algorithm for sums of two monotone operators, J. Fixed Point Theory 2013 (2013) Article ID 6.
- [6] S.Y. Cho, S.M. Kang, Zero point theorems for *m*-accretive operators in a Banach space, Fixed Point Theory 13 (2012) 49-58.
- [7] S.Y. Cho, S.M. Kang, Approximaton of common solutions of variational inequalities via strict psuedocontractions, Acta. Math. Sci. 32 (2012) 1607-1618.
- [8] S.Y. Cho, S.M. Kang, Approximation of fixed points of pseudocontraction semigroups based on a viscosity iterative process, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011) 224-228.
- [9] S.Y. Cho, X. Qin, S.M. Kang, Iterative processes for common fixed points of two different families of mappings with applications, J. Global Optim. 57 (2013) 1429-1446.
- [10] I. Cioranescu, Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990.
- [11] J. Eckstein, Approximate iterations in Bregman-function-based proximal algorithms, Math. Programing, 83 (1998) 113-123.
- [12] O. Guler, On the convergence of the proximal point algorithm for convex programs, SIAM J. Control Optim. 29 (1991) 403-419.
- [13] Y. Hao, Zero theorems of accretive operators, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 34 (2011) 103-112.
- [14] L. Hu, L. Liu, A new iterative algorithm for common solutions of a finite family of accretive operators, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009) 2344-2351
- [15] J.S. Jung, Strong convergence of viscosity approximation methods for finding zeros of accretive operators in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010) 449-459.
- [16] K.C. Kiwiel, Proximal minimization methods with generalized Bregman functions, SIAM J. Control Optim. 35 (1997) 1142-1168.

- [17] L. Liu, Ishikawa-type and Mann-type iterative processes with errors for constructing solutions of nonlinear equations involving m-accretive operators in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 34 (1998) 307-317.
- [18] H. Luo, Y. Wang, Iterative approximation for the common solutions of a infinite variational inequality system for inverse-strongly accretive mappings, J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2 (2012) 1660-1670.
- [19] B. Martinet, Determination approchée d'un point fix d'une application pseudo-contractante, C. R. Acae. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B, 274 (1972) 163-165.
- [20] C.H. Morales, On the range of sums of accretive and continuous operators in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 19 (1992) 1-9.
- [21] S. Reich, Strong convergence theorems for resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 75 (1980) 287–292.
- [22] R.T. Rockafellar, Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm, SIAM J. Control Optim. 14 (1976) 877-898.
- [23] R.T. Rockafellar, Augmented Lagrangians and applications of the proximal point algorithm in convex programming, Math. Oper. Res. 1 (1976) 97-116,
- [24] T. Suzuki, Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Mann's type sequences for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups without Bochne integrals, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 305 (2005) 227–239.
- [25] X. Qin, Y. Su, Approximation of a zero point of accretive operator in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 329 (2007) 415-424.
- [26] X. Qin, S.M. Kang, Y.J. Cho, Approximating zeros of monotone operators by proximal point algorithms, J. Global Optim. 46 (2010) 75-87.
- [27] M. Wen, C. Hu, Strong convergence of an new iterative method for a zero of accretive operator and nonexpansive mapping, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012 (2012) Article ID 98.
- [28] X. Qin, S.Y. Cho, L. Wang, Iterative algorithms with errors for zero points of m-accretive operators, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, 2013:148.
- [29] S. Yang, Zero theorems of accretive operators in reflexive Banach spaces, J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal. 2013 (2013) Article ID 2.
- [30] H. Zegeye, N. Shahzad, Strong convergence theorem for a common point of solution of variational inequality and fixed point problem, Adv. Fixed Point Theory 2 (2012) 374-397.