



## Tauberian Theorems for Statistically $(C, 1)$ -Convergent Sequences of Fuzzy Numbers

Özer Talo<sup>a</sup>, Celal Çakan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Celal Bayar University, 45040 Manisa, Turkey

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics Education, Faculty of Education, İnönü University, 44280- Malatya, Turkey.

**Abstract.** In this paper, we have determined necessary and sufficient Tauberian conditions under which statistically convergence follows from statistically  $(C, 1)$ -convergence of sequences of fuzzy numbers. Our conditions are satisfied if a sequence of fuzzy numbers is statistically slowly oscillating. Also, under additional conditions it is proved that a bounded sequence of fuzzy numbers which is  $(C, 1)$ -level-convergent to its statistical limit superior is statistically convergent.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $K$  be a subset of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $K_n = \{k \leq n : k \in K\}$ , The natural density of  $K$  is given by

$$\delta(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |K_n|$$

if this limit exists, where  $|A|$  denotes the number of elements in  $A$ . The concept of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [9]. A sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of (real or complex) numbers is said to be *statistically convergent* to some number  $l$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - l| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write  $st\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = l$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  is  $(C, 1)$  convergent to  $\ell$  if  $\lim \sigma_n = \ell$ , where

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n x_k \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \tag{1}$$

is the first arithmetic mean, also called Cesàro mean (of first order). In this case we write  $(C, 1)\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = \ell$ .

The idea of statistical  $(C, 1)$ -convergence was introduced in [15] by Móricz. A sequence  $(x_k)$  is statistically  $(C, 1)$ -convergent to  $\ell$  if  $st\text{-}\lim \sigma_n = \ell$ . In addition to, necessary and sufficient conditions, under which  $st\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = \ell$  follows from  $st\text{-}\lim \sigma_n = \ell$  are presented in [15] by Moricz.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 40C05 ; Secondary 03E72, 46A45, 40J05

*Keywords.* Sequences of fuzzy numbers, Cesàro convergence, Tauberian theorems, statistical convergence

Received 05 June 2013; Revised 17 July 2013 Accepted: 17 July 2013

Communicated by Eberhard Malkowsky

*Email addresses:* ozertalo@hotmail.com, ozer.talo@cbu.edu.tr (Özer Talo), ccakan@inonu.edu.tr (Celal Çakan)

In this paper, our primary interest is to obtain the results in [15] for sequences of fuzzy numbers. We recall the basic definitions dealing with fuzzy numbers.

A *fuzzy number* is a fuzzy set on the real axis, i.e. a mapping  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which satisfies the following four conditions:

- (i)  $u$  is normal, i.e., there exists an  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u(x_0) = 1$ .
- (ii)  $u$  is fuzzy convex, i.e.  $u[\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y] \geq \min\{u(x), u(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .
- (iii)  $u$  is upper semi-continuous.
- (iv) The set  $[u]_0 := \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) > 0\}}$  is compact,

where  $\overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) > 0\}}$  denotes the closure of the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) > 0\}$  in the usual topology of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We denote the set of all fuzzy numbers on  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $E^1$  and called it as *the space of fuzzy numbers*.  $\alpha$ -level set  $[u]_\alpha$  of  $u \in E^1$  is defined by

$$[u]_\alpha := \begin{cases} \{x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) \geq \alpha\} & , \text{ if } 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) > \alpha\}} & , \text{ if } \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

The set  $[u]_\alpha$  is closed, bounded and non-empty interval for each  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  which is defined by  $[u]_\alpha := [u^-(\alpha), u^+(\alpha)]$ .  $\mathbb{R}$  can be embedded in  $E^1$ , since each  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  can be regarded as a fuzzy number  $\bar{r}$  defined by

$$\bar{r}(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x = r, \\ 0 & , \text{ if } x \neq r. \end{cases}$$

Let  $u, v, w \in E^1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the operations addition and scalar multiplication are defined on  $E^1$  as

$$\begin{aligned} u + v = w & \iff [w]_\alpha = [u]_\alpha + [v]_\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in [0, 1] \\ & \iff w^-(\alpha) = u^-(\alpha) + v^-(\alpha) \text{ and } w^+(\alpha) = u^+(\alpha) + v^+(\alpha) \text{ for all } \alpha \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

$$[ku]_\alpha = k[u]_\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

**Lemma 1.1.** [6]

- (i) If  $\bar{0} \in E^1$  is neutral element with respect to  $+$ , i.e.,  $u + \bar{0} = \bar{0} + u = u$ , for all  $u \in E^1$ .
- (ii) With respect to  $\bar{0}$ , none of  $u \neq \bar{r}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  has opposite in  $E^1$ .
- (iii) For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a, b \geq 0$  or  $a, b \leq 0$ , and any  $u \in E^1$ , we have  $(a + b)u = au + bu$ .  
For general  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , the above property does not hold.
- (iv) For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $u, v \in E^1$ , we have  $a(u + v) = au + av$ .
- (v) For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $u \in E^1$ , we have  $a(bu) = (ab)u$ .

Let  $W$  be the set of all closed bounded intervals  $A$  of real numbers with endpoints  $\underline{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$ , i.e.,  $A := [\underline{A}, \bar{A}]$ . Define the relation  $d$  on  $W$  by

$$d(A, B) := \max\{|\underline{A} - \underline{B}|, |\bar{A} - \bar{B}|\}.$$

Then it can be easily observed that  $d$  is a metric on  $W$  and  $(W, d)$  is a complete metric space, (cf. Nanda [16]). Now, we may define the metric  $D$  on  $E^1$  by means of the Hausdorff metric  $d$  as follows

$$D(u, v) := \sup_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} d([u]_\alpha, [v]_\alpha) := \sup_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} \max\{|u^-(\alpha) - v^-(\alpha)|, |u^+(\alpha) - v^+(\alpha)|\}.$$

One can see that

$$D(u, \bar{0}) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} \max\{|u^-(\alpha)|, |u^+(\alpha)|\} = \max\{|u^-(0)|, |u^+(0)|\}. \tag{2}$$

Now, we may give:

**Proposition 1.2.** [6] Let  $u, v, w, z \in E^1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

- (i)  $(E^1, D)$  is a complete metric space.
- (ii)  $D(ku, kv) = |k|D(u, v)$ .
- (iii)  $D(u + v, w + v) = D(u, w)$ .
- (iv)  $D(u + v, w + z) \leq D(u, w) + D(v, z)$ .
- (v)  $|D(u, \bar{0}) - D(v, \bar{0})| \leq D(u, v) \leq D(u, \bar{0}) + D(v, \bar{0})$ .

One can extend the natural order relation on the real line to intervals as follows:

$$A \leq B \text{ if and only if } \underline{A} \leq \underline{B} \text{ and } \bar{A} \leq \bar{B}.$$

Also, the partial ordering relation on  $E^1$  is defined as follows:

$$u \leq v \iff [u]_\alpha \leq [v]_\alpha \iff u^-(\alpha) \leq v^-(\alpha) \text{ and } u^+(\alpha) \leq v^+(\alpha) \text{ for all } \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

We say that  $u < v$  if  $u \leq v$  and there exists  $\alpha_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that  $u^-(\alpha_0) < v^-(\alpha_0)$  or  $u^+(\alpha_0) < v^+(\alpha_0)$ . Two fuzzy numbers  $u$  and  $v$  are said to be incomparable if neither  $u \leq v$  nor  $v \leq u$  holds. In this case we use the notation  $u \not\sim v$ .

Following Matloka [14], we give some definitions concerning with the sequences of fuzzy numbers. Nanda [16] introduced Cauchy sequences of fuzzy numbers and showed that every Cauchy sequence of fuzzy numbers is convergent.

A sequence  $u = (u_k)$  of fuzzy numbers is a function  $u$  from the set  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set of natural numbers, into the set  $E^1$ . The fuzzy number  $u_k$  denotes the value of the function at  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and is called as the  $k^{\text{th}}$  term of the sequence. By  $w(F)$ , we denote the set of all sequences of fuzzy numbers.

A sequence  $(u_n) \in w(F)$  is said to be convergent to  $u \in E^1$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$D(u_n, u) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

By  $c(F)$ , we denote the set of all convergent sequences of fuzzy numbers.

A sequence  $u = (u_k)$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be Cauchy if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$D(u_k, u_m) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } k, m \geq n_0.$$

By  $C(F)$ , we denote the set of all Cauchy sequences of fuzzy numbers.

A sequence  $(u_n) \in \omega(F)$  is said to be bounded if the set of its terms is a bounded set. That is to say that a sequence  $(u_n) \in \omega(F)$  is said to be bounded if there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $D(u_n, \bar{0}) \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $\ell_\infty(F)$ , we denote the set of all bounded sequences of fuzzy numbers.

The level convergence of a sequence of fuzzy numbers given by Fang and Huang [8], as follows: A sequence  $(u_n)$  is level-converges to  $\mu \in E^1$ , written as  $(I) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \mu$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n^-(\alpha) = \mu^-(\alpha) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n^+(\alpha) = \mu^+(\alpha)$$

for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Obviously,  $u_n \rightarrow \mu$  implies that  $(I) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \mu$  and the converse is not true in general.

Statistical convergence of sequences of fuzzy numbers was introduced by Nuray and Savaş [17]. A sequence  $(u_k : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be *statistically convergent* to some fuzzy number  $\mu_0$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} |\{k \leq n : D(u_k, \mu_0) \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write

$$\text{st-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k = \mu_0. \tag{3}$$

The statistical boundedness of a sequence of fuzzy numbers was introduced and studied by Aytar and Pehlivan [4]. The sequence  $u = (u_k)$  is said to be statistically bounded if there exists a real number  $M$  such that the set

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : D(u_k, \bar{0}) > M\}$$

has natural density zero.

Aytar et al.[2] defined the concept of statistical limit superior and limit inferior of statistically bounded sequences of fuzzy numbers. Given  $u = (u_k) \in w(F)$ , define the following sets:

$$A_u = \{\mu \in E^1 : \delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k < \mu\}) \neq 0\},$$

$$\bar{A}_u = \{\mu \in E^1 : \delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k > \mu\}) = 1\},$$

$$B_u = \{\mu \in E^1 : \delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k > \mu\}) \neq 0\},$$

$$\bar{B}_u = \{\mu \in E^1 : \delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k < \mu\}) = 1\}.$$

If  $u = (u_k)$  is a statistically bounded sequence of fuzzy numbers, then, the notions  $st - \lim \inf$  and  $st - \lim \sup$  is defined as follows:

$$\text{st-}\lim \inf u_k = \inf A_u = \sup \bar{A}_u,$$

$$\text{st-}\lim \sup u_k = \sup B_u = \inf \bar{B}_u.$$

**Lemma 1.3.** [2] Let  $u = (u_k)$  be a statistically bounded sequence of fuzzy numbers,  $v = st - \lim \inf u_k$  and  $\mu = st - \lim \sup u_k$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k < v - \bar{\varepsilon}\}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k > \mu + \bar{\varepsilon}\}) = 0.$$

Basic results on statistical convergence of sequences of fuzzy numbers may be found in [3–5, 13, 18].

The statistical Cesàro convergence of a sequence of fuzzy numbers has been defined in [1]. We say that  $(u_k)$  is statistically Cesàro convergent (written statistically (C,1)-convergent) to a fuzzy number  $\mu_0$  if

$$\text{st-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \mu_0. \tag{4}$$

Kwon [13] proved that if a sequence  $(u_k)$  is bounded, then

$$\text{st-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k = \mu_0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \text{st-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \mu_0.$$

**Example 1.4.** Let  $(u_k) = (u_0, v_0, u_0, v_0, \dots)$  where

$$u_0(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2-t}{2} & , \text{ if } t \in [0, 2] \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_0(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2+t}{2} & , \text{ if } t \in [-2, 0] \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\alpha$ -level set of arithmetic means  $\sigma_n$  of  $(u_k)$

$$[\sigma_{2n}]_\alpha = \left[ \frac{2n}{2n+1}(\alpha - 1), \frac{2(n+1)}{2n+1}(1 - \alpha) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad [\sigma_{2n-1}]_\alpha = [\alpha - 1, 1 - \alpha].$$

So,  $(\sigma_n)$  is convergent to  $w_0 = (u_0 + v_0)/2$  and hence it is statistically (C,1)-convergent to  $w_0$ . But  $(u_n)$  is not statistically convergent.

Our goal is to find (so-called Tauberian) conditions under which the converse implication holds.

## 2. The Main Results

Firstly we need two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(u_k)$  be a sequence of fuzzy numbers which is statistically  $(C, 1)$ -convergent to a fuzzy number  $\mu_0$ . Then for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,*

$$\text{st-}\lim_n \sigma_{\lambda_n} = \mu_0 \tag{5}$$

where by  $\lambda_n$  we denote the integral part of the product  $\lambda n$ , in symbol  $\lambda_n := [\lambda n]$ .

*Proof.* Case  $\lambda > 1$ . For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\{n \leq N : D(\sigma_{\lambda_n}, \mu_0) \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{n \leq \lambda_N : D(\sigma_n, \mu_0) \geq \varepsilon\},$$

whence we find

$$\frac{1}{N+1} \left| \{n \leq N : D(\sigma_{\lambda_n}, \mu_0) \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_N+1} \left| \{n \leq \lambda_N : D(\sigma_n, \mu_0) \geq \varepsilon\} \right|.$$

Now, (5) follows from the statistical convergence of  $(\sigma_n)$  to  $\mu_0$ .

Case  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . We claim that the same term  $\sigma_m$  can not occur more than  $1 + \lambda^{-1}$  times in the sequence  $\{\sigma_{\lambda_n} : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . In fact, if for some integers  $k$  and  $t$ , we have

$$m = \lambda_k = \lambda_{k+1} = \dots = \lambda_{k+t-1} < \lambda_{k+t}$$

or equivalently

$$m \leq \lambda k < \lambda(k+1) < \dots < \lambda(k+t-1) < m+1 \leq \lambda(k+t)$$

then

$$m + \lambda(t-1) \leq \lambda(k+t-1) < m+1$$

whence  $\lambda(t-1) < 1$ , that is  $t < 1 + \lambda^{-1}$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N+1} \left| \{n \leq N : D(\sigma_{\lambda_n}, \mu_0) \geq \varepsilon\} \right| &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \frac{\lambda_N+1}{N+1} \frac{1}{\lambda_N+1} \left| \{n \leq \lambda_N : D(\sigma_n, \mu_0) \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2(\lambda+1)}{\lambda_N+1} \left| \{n \leq \lambda_N : D(\sigma_n, \mu_0) \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

provided  $(\lambda_N+1)/(N+1) \leq 2\lambda$ , which is the case if  $N$  is large enough. So, (5) follows from the statistical convergence of  $(\sigma_n)$  to  $\mu_0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(u_k)$  be a sequence of fuzzy numbers which is statistically  $(C, 1)$ -convergent to a fuzzy number  $\mu_0$ . Then, for every  $\lambda > 1$ ,*

$$\text{st-}\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n - n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} u_k = \mu_0 \tag{6}$$

and for every  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,

$$\text{st-}\lim_n \frac{1}{n - \lambda_n} \sum_{k=\lambda_n+1}^n u_k = \mu_0.$$

*Proof.* Case  $\lambda > 1$ . If  $\lambda > 1$  and  $n$  is large enough in the sense that  $\lambda_n > n$ , then

$$D\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n - n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} u_k, \mu_0\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} D(\sigma_{\lambda_n}, \sigma_n) + D(\sigma_n, \mu_0) \tag{7}$$

Now (6) follows from (7), Lemma 2.1, the statistical convergence of  $(\sigma_n)$  and the fact that for large enough  $n$

$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} = \frac{\lambda n}{\lambda n - n} < \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} < \frac{\lambda n + 1}{\lambda n - n - 1} \leq \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda - 1}. \tag{8}$$

Case  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . This time, we use the following inequality:

$$D\left(\frac{1}{n - \lambda_n} \sum_{k=\lambda_n+1}^n u_k, \mu_0\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{n - \lambda_n} D(\sigma_{\lambda_n}, \sigma_n) + D(\sigma_n, \mu_0)$$

provided  $n$  is large enough in the sense that  $\lambda_n < n$ ; and the following inequality for large enough  $n$ ,

$$\frac{\lambda_n + 1}{n - \lambda_n} \leq \frac{2\lambda}{1 - \lambda}. \tag{9}$$

□

Now we are ready to give our main results.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(u_k)$  be a sequence of fuzzy numbers which is statistically  $(C, 1)$ -convergent to a fuzzy number  $\mu_0$ . Then  $(u_k)$  is statistically convergent to  $\mu_0$  if and only if one of the following two conditions holds: For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\inf_{\lambda > 1} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N + 1} \left| \left\{ n \leq N : D\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n - n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} u_k, u_n\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0 \tag{10}$$

or

$$\inf_{0 < \lambda < 1} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N + 1} \left| \left\{ n \leq N : D\left(\frac{1}{n - \lambda_n} \sum_{k=\lambda_n+1}^n u_k, u_n\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0. \tag{11}$$

*Proof.* The necessity follows from Lemma 2.2.

Sufficiency. Assume that conditions (4) and one of (10) and (11) are satisfied. In order to prove (3), it is enough to prove that

$$\text{st-}\lim_n D(u_n, \sigma_n) = 0.$$

First, we consider the case  $\lambda > 1$ . Since

$$D(\sigma_n, u_n) \leq D\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n - n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} u_k, u_n\right) + \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} D(\sigma_{\lambda_n}, \sigma_n)$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{n \leq N : D(\sigma_n, u_n) \geq \varepsilon\} &\subseteq \left\{ n \leq N : \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} D(\sigma_{\lambda_n}, \sigma_n) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ n \leq N : D\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n - n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} u_k, u_n\right) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Given any  $\delta > 0$ , by (10) there exists some  $\lambda > 1$  such that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N + 1} \left| \left\{ n \leq N : D\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n - n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} u_k, u_n\right) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| \leq \delta. \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1 and (8), we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \left| \left\{ n \leq N : \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} D(\sigma_{\lambda_n}, \sigma_n) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| = 0. \tag{14}$$

Combining (12) with (14) we get that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \left| \left\{ n \leq N : D(u_n, \sigma_n) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \leq \delta$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \left| \left\{ n \leq N : D(u_n, \sigma_n) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0.$$

Secondly, we consider the case  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Since

$$D(\sigma_n, u_n) \leq D\left(\frac{1}{n - \lambda_n} \sum_{k=\lambda_n+1}^n u_k, u_n\right) + \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{n - \lambda_n} D(\sigma_{\lambda_n}, \sigma_n)$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ n \leq N : D(\sigma_n, u_n) \geq \varepsilon \right\} &\subseteq \left\{ n \leq N : \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{n - \lambda_n} D(\sigma_{\lambda_n}, \sigma_n) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ n \leq N : D\left(\frac{1}{n - \lambda_n} \sum_{k=\lambda_n+1}^n u_k, u_n\right) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Given any  $\delta > 0$ , by (11) there exist some  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \left| \left\{ n \leq N : D\left(\frac{1}{n - \lambda_n} \sum_{k=\lambda_n+1}^n u_k, u_n\right) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| \leq \delta.$$

Using a similar argument as in the case  $\lambda > 1$ , by Lemma 2.1 and condition (9), we conclude that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \left| \left\{ n \leq N : D(u_n, \sigma_n) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0.$$

□

A sequence  $(u_k)$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be *statistically slowly oscillating* if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\inf_{\lambda > 1} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \left| \left\{ n \leq N : \max_{n < k \leq \lambda_n} D(u_k, u_n) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0 \tag{15}$$

or equivalently,

$$\inf_{0 < \lambda < 1} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \left| \left\{ n \leq N : \max_{\lambda_n < k \leq n} D(u_k, u_n) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0. \tag{16}$$

**Example 2.4.** The sequence  $(u_k)$  where

$$u_k(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{t}{1 + \log(k+1)}, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 + \log(k+1), \\ \bar{0}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is statistically slowly oscillating. Because for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$  and  $\lambda = 10^\varepsilon$  such that for all  $n_0 < n < k \leq \lambda_n$

$$D(u_k, u_n) = |\log(k+1) - \log(n+1)| = \log \frac{k+1}{n+1} < \log \lambda = \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, for  $n_0 < N$  the set

$$\left\{ n_0 < n \leq N : \max_{n < k \leq \lambda_n} D(u_k, u_n) \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

is empty. Consequently, condition (15) is satisfied.

The conditions (15) and (16) clearly imply the conditions (10) and (11), respectively. This gives rise to the following corollary of Theorem 2.3.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $(u_k)$  be a statistically slowly oscillating sequence of fuzzy numbers. Then*

$$\text{st-}\lim_n \sigma_n = \mu_0 \text{ implies st-}\lim_n u_n = \mu_0. \tag{17}$$

Condition (15) is satisfied if there exists a constant  $H$  such that

$$kD(u_k, u_{k-1}) \leq H \tag{18}$$

for all large enough  $k$ , say  $k > N_1$ . In fact, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , chose  $1 < \lambda < 1 + \varepsilon/H$ . Since  $N_1 < n < k \leq \lambda_n$ , by (18) we have

$$D(u_k, u_n) \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^k D(u_j, u_{j-1}) \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^k \frac{H}{j} \leq H \left( \frac{k-n}{n} \right) = H \left( \frac{k}{n} - 1 \right) < H(\lambda - 1) < \varepsilon.$$

But, for  $N_1 < N$ , the set

$$\left\{ N_1 < n \leq N : \max_{n < k \leq \lambda_n} D(u_k, u_n) \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

is empty. Consequently, condition (15) is satisfied.

**Remark 2.6.** *Kwon [13] proved that if condition (18) is satisfied, then implication (17) holds as well as*

$$\text{st-}\lim_n u_n = \mu_0 \text{ implies } \lim_n u_n = \mu_0.$$

**Definition 2.7.**  $(u_k)$  is Cesàro level-convergent (written (C,1)-level-convergent) to a fuzzy number  $\mu_0$ , if  $(l) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \mu_0$  i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^-(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n u_k^-(\alpha) = \mu_0^-(\alpha), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^+(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n u_k^+(\alpha) = \mu_0^+(\alpha)$$

for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

Note that level-convergence implies (C,1)-level-convergence. But the converse is not true in general.

**Example 2.8.** [21] Let

$$v_n^+(\alpha) = 1, \quad v_n^-(\alpha) = \begin{cases} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})^{1/(n+1)}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_0^+(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mu_0^-(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

There exists a unique fuzzy number  $v_n \in E^1$  and a unique fuzzy number  $u_0 \in E^1$  such that  $[v_n]_\alpha = [v_n^-(\alpha), v_n^+(\alpha)]$  and  $[\mu_0]_\alpha = [\mu_0^-(\alpha), \mu_0^+(\alpha)]$  for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $u = (u_n) = (v_0, \bar{0}, v_1, \bar{0}, v_2, \dots)$ . Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^+(\alpha) = \mu_0^+(\alpha), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^-(\alpha) = \mu_0^-(\alpha)$$

$(u_n)$  is (C,1)-level-convergent to  $\mu_0$  but  $(u_n)$  is not level-convergent to  $\mu_0$ . Furthermore, since  $D(\sigma_n, \mu_0) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $(u_n)$  is not (C,1) convergent to  $\mu_0$ .



In [11, Theorem 5] Fridy and Orhan proved that a sequence of real numbers which is  $(C, 1)$ -convergent to its statistical limit superior is statistically convergent. The next theorem is an analogue of that result for sequences of fuzzy numbers.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $(u_k)$  be a bounded sequence of fuzzy numbers. Assume that  $(u_k)$  is  $(C, 1)$ -level-convergent to  $\mu = st - \lim \sup u_k$  and there is a number  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ ,*

$$\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k \neq \mu - \bar{\varepsilon}\}) = 0, \quad \delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k \neq \mu + \bar{\varepsilon}\}) = 0.$$

*Then  $(u_k)$  is statistically convergent to  $\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu = st - \lim \sup u_k$ , then

$$\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k > \mu + \bar{\varepsilon}\}) = 0$$

for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Suppose that  $(u_n)$  is not statistically convergent to  $\mu$ . Then, there is a  $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  such that

$$\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k < \mu - \bar{\varepsilon}_1\}) \neq 0.$$

Define  $m = \mu - \bar{\varepsilon}_1$ ,  $B = \sup_n u_n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} K' &= \{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k < m\} \\ K'' &= \{k \in \mathbb{N} : m \leq u_k \leq \mu + \bar{\varepsilon}\} \\ K''' &= \{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k > \mu + \bar{\varepsilon}\} \cup \{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k \neq m\} \cup \{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k \neq \mu + \bar{\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta(K''') = 0$ ,  $\delta(K') \neq 0$  and  $\delta(K'') = 1 - \delta(K')$  there are infinitely many  $n$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n+1} |K'_n| \geq d > 0$$

and for each such  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k \in K'_n} u_k + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k \in K''_n} u_k + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k \in K'''_n} u_k \\ &< \frac{m}{n+1} |K'_n| + \frac{\mu + \bar{\varepsilon}}{n+1} |K''_n| + \frac{B}{n+1} |K'''_n|. \end{aligned}$$

So there is an  $\alpha_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^-(\alpha_0) &< \frac{m^-(\alpha_0)}{n+1} |K'_n| + \frac{\mu^-(\alpha_0) + \varepsilon}{n+1} |K''_n| + \frac{B^-(\alpha_0)}{n+1} |K'''_n| \\ &= m^-(\alpha_0) \frac{|K'_n|}{n+1} + (\mu^-(\alpha_0) + \varepsilon) \left(1 - \frac{|K'_n|}{n+1}\right) + o(1) \\ &\leq \mu^-(\alpha_0) - d(\mu^-(\alpha_0) - m^-(\alpha_0)) + \varepsilon(1-d) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  is arbitrary it follows that

$$\liminf \sigma_n^-(\alpha_0) \leq \mu^-(\alpha_0) - d(\mu^-(\alpha_0) - m^-(\alpha_0)) < \mu^-(\alpha_0).$$

Hence,  $(u_n)$  is not  $(C, 1)$ -level-convergent to  $\mu$  and this completes the proof. Note that the result can be found also by using the following inequality

$$\sigma_n^+(\alpha_0) < \frac{m^+(\alpha_0)}{n+1} |K'_n| + \frac{\mu^+(\alpha_0) + \varepsilon}{n+1} |K''_n| + \frac{B^+(\alpha_0)}{n+1} |K'''_n|.$$

□

The following is a dual result for  $st - \lim \inf$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $(u_k)$  be a bounded sequence of fuzzy numbers. Assume that  $(u_k)$  is  $(C, 1)$ -level-convergent to  $v = st - \liminf u_k$  and there is a number  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ ,

$$\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k \prec v - \bar{\varepsilon}\}) = 0, \quad \delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k \prec v + \bar{\varepsilon}\}) = 0.$$

Then,  $(u_k)$  is statistically convergent to  $v$ .

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] Y. Altin, M. Mursaleen, H. Altinok, Statistical summability  $(C, 1)$  for sequences of fuzzy real numbers and a Tauberian theorem, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* 21 (2010) 379–384.
- [2] S. Aytar, M. Mammadov, S. Pehlivan, Statistical limit inferior and limit superior for sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 157(7) (2006) 976–985.
- [3] S. Aytar, S. Pehlivan, Statistical cluster and extreme limit points of sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Information Sciences* 177(16) (2007) 3290–3296.
- [4] S. Aytar, S. Pehlivan, Statistically monotonic and statistically bounded sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Information Sciences* 176 (2006) 734–744.
- [5] S. Aytar, Statistical limit points of sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Information Sciences* 165 (2004) 129–138.
- [6] B. Bede, S.G. Gal, Almost periodic fuzzy number valued functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 147 (2004) 385–403.
- [7] J. Boos, *Classical and Modern Methods in Summability*, Oxford University Press Inc. New York, 2000.
- [8] J.-X. Fang, H. Huang, On the level convergence of a sequence of fuzzy numbers, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 147 (2004) 417–415.
- [9] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 2 (1951) 241–244.
- [10] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis* 5 (1985) 301–313.
- [11] J. A. Fridy, C. Orhan, Statistical limit superior and limit inferior, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 125(12) (1997) 3625–3631.
- [12] R. Goetschel, W. Voxman, Elementary fuzzy calculus, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 18 (1986) 31–43.
- [13] J. S. Kwon, On statistical and  $p$ -Cesàro convergence of fuzzy numbers, *The Korean Journal of Computational & Applied Mathematics* 7 (2000) 195–203.
- [14] M. Matloka, Sequence of fuzzy numbers, *BUSEFAL* 28 (1986) 28–37.
- [15] F. Móricz, Tauberian conditions, under which statistical convergence follows from statistical summability  $(C, 1)$ , *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 275 (2002) 277–287.
- [16] S. Nanda, On sequence of fuzzy numbers, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 33 (1989) 123–126.
- [17] F. Nuray, E. Savaş, Statistical convergence of fuzzy numbers, *Mathematica Slovaca* 45(3) (1995) 269–273.
- [18] E. Savaş, On statistical convergent sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Information Sciences* 137 (2001) 277–282.
- [19] P. V. Subrahmanyam, Cesàro summability of fuzzy real numbers, *Journal of Analysis* 7 (1999) 159–168.
- [20] Ö. Talo, C. Çakan, On the Cesàro convergence of sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 25(4) (2012) 676–681.
- [21] C. -X. Wu, G. -X. Wang, Convergence of fuzzy numbers and fixed point theorems for increasing fuzzy mappings and application, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 130 (2002), 283–290.