Filomat 28:8 (2014), 1537–1541 DOI 10.2298/FIL1408537B



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# A New Theorem on the Absolute Riesz Summability Factors

## Hüseyin Bor<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>P. O. Box 121, TR-06502 Bahçelievler, Ankara, Turkey

**Abstract.** In [5], we proved a main theorem dealing with absolute Riesz summability factors of infinite series using a quasi- $\delta$ -power increasing sequence. In this paper, we generalize that theorem by using a general class of power increasing sequences instead of a quasi- $\delta$ -power increasing sequence. This theorem also includes some new and known results.

#### 1. Introduction

A positive sequence  $(b_n)$  is said to be an almost increasing sequence if there exists a positive increasing sequence  $(c_n)$  and two positive constants A and B such that  $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$  (see [1]). We write  $\mathcal{BV}_O = \mathcal{BV} \cap C_O$ , where  $C_O = \{x = (x_k) \in \Omega : \lim_k |x_k| = 0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{BV} = \{x = (x_k) \in \Omega : \sum_k |x_k - x_{k+1}| < \infty\}$  and  $\Omega$  being the space of all real-valued sequences. A positive sequence  $X = (X_n)$  is said to be a quasi-f-power increasing sequence if there exists a constant  $K = K(X, f) \geq 1$  such that  $Kf_nX_n \geq f_mX_m$  for all  $n \geq m \geq 1$ , where  $f = (f_n) = \{n^{\delta}(\log n)^{\sigma}, \sigma \geq 0, 0 < \delta < 1\}$  (see [10]). If we take  $\sigma = 0$ , then we get a quasi- $\delta$ -power increasing sequence (see [9]). Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series with partial sums  $(s_n)$ . We denote by  $u_n$  and  $t_n$  the nth (C, 1) means of the sequence  $(s_n)$  and  $(na_n)$ , respectively. The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|C, 1|_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , if (see [7])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} | u_n - u_{n-1} |^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} | t_n |^k < \infty.$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

Let  $(p_n)$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \to \infty \quad as \quad n \to \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, i \ge 1).$$

$$\tag{2}$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$V_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu s_\nu \tag{3}$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15, 40D15, 40F05, 40G99 46A45

*Keywords*. Sequence spaces; absolute summability; power increasing sequences; infinite series; Hölder inequality; Minkowski inequality

Received: 10 July 2013; Accepted: 10 September 2013

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email address: hbor33@gmail.com (Hüseyin Bor)

defines the sequence  $(V_n)$  of the Riesz mean or simply the  $(\bar{N}, p_n)$  mean of the sequence  $(s_n)$ , generated by the sequence of coefficients  $(p_n)$  (see [8]). The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_{k'}$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , if (see [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P_n/p_n)^{k-1} \mid V_n - V_{n-1} \mid^k < \infty.$$
(4)

In the special case  $p_n = 1$  for all values of n,  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$  summability reduces to  $|C, 1|_k$  summability. **2.Known result** 

In [5], we have proved the following theorem dealing with  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$  summability factors of infinite series. **Theorem 2.1** Let  $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}_O$  and let  $(X_n)$  be a quasi- $\delta$ -power increasing sequence for some  $\delta$  ( $0 < \delta < 1$ ) and let there be sequences ( $\beta_n$ ) and ( $\lambda_n$ ) such that

$$|\Delta\lambda_n| \le \beta_n, \tag{5}$$

$$\beta_n \to 0 \quad as \quad n \to \infty,$$
 (6)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \mid \Delta \beta_n \mid X_n < \infty, \tag{7}$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1).$$
(8)

If

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n} \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} = O(X_n) \quad as \quad n \to \infty$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

and  $(p_n)$  is a sequence such that

$$P_n = O(np_n),\tag{10}$$

$$P_n \Delta p_n = O(p_n p_{n+1}), \tag{11}$$

then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$  is summable  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$ .

It should be noted that if we take  $(X_n)$  as an almost increasing sequence, then we get a result which was proved in [4]. Also it should be remarked that we can take  $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$  instead of  $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}_O$  and it is sufficient to prove Theorem 2.1.

#### 3. The main result

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 2.1 by using a quasi-f-power increasing sequence instead of a quasi- $\delta$ -power increasing sequence. Now we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$  and let  $(X_n)$  be a quasi-f-power increasing sequence for some  $\delta$  ( $0 < \delta < 1$ ) and  $\sigma \ge 0$ . If the conditions (5)-(11) are satisfied, then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{p_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$  is summable  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$ .

It should be noted that if we take  $\sigma$ =0, then we get Theorem 2.1.

We require the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

**Lemma 3. 2 ([3])** If the conditions (10) and (11) are satisfied, then  $\Delta(P_n/p_nn^2) = O(1/n^2)$ .

**Lemma 3. 3 ([6])** Except for the condition  $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$  under the conditions on  $(X_n)$ ,  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  as expressed in the statement of the theorem, we have the following;

$$nX_n\beta_n = O(1),\tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty.$$
<sup>(13)</sup>

**4.** Proof of Theorem 3.1 Let  $(T_n)$  be the sequence of  $(\bar{N}, p_n)$  mean of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$ . Then, by definition, we have

$$T_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=1}^n p_v \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{a_r P_r \lambda_r}{r p_r} = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=1}^n (P_n - P_{v-1}) \frac{a_v P_v \lambda_v}{v p_v}.$$
 (14)

Then, for  $n \ge 1$  we have that

$$T_n - T_{n-1} = \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{P_{v-1} P_v a_v \lambda_v}{v p_v}$$
$$= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{P_{v-1} P_v a_v v \lambda_v}{v^2 p_v}.$$

Using Abel's transformation, we get that

$$\begin{split} T_n - T_{n-1} &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta \left( \frac{P_{v-1} P_v \lambda_v}{v^2 p_v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^{v} ra_r + \frac{\lambda_n}{n^2} \sum_{v=1}^{n} va_v \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v}{p_v} (v+1) t_v p_v \frac{\lambda_v}{v^2} \\ &+ \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v P_v \Delta \lambda_v (v+1) \frac{t_v}{v^2 p_v} - \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v \lambda_{v+1} (v+1) t_v \Delta (P_v / v^2 p_v) \\ &+ \lambda_n t_n (n+1) / n^2 \\ &= T_{n,1} + T_{n,2} + T_{n,3} + T_{n,4}. \end{split}$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, by Minkowski's inequality it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad for \quad r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$
(15)

When k > 1, we can apply Hölder's inequality with indices k and k', where  $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$ , and so we get that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v}{p_v} p_v |t_v|| \lambda_v |\frac{1}{v} \right\}^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^k p_v |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{v^k} \frac{1}{v^k} \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^k p_v |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{v^k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^k |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| p_v |t_v|^k \frac{1}{P_v} \frac{1}{v^k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1} |\lambda_v|| t_v|^k \frac{1}{v^k} \end{split}$$

1539

Hüseyin Bor / Filomat 28:8 (2014), 1537–1541

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} |\lambda_{v}| \frac{|t_{v}|^{k}}{v}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_{v}| \sum_{r=1}^{v} \frac{|t_{r}|^{k}}{r}$$

$$+ O(1) |\lambda_{m}| \sum_{v=1}^{m} \frac{|t_{v}|^{k}}{v}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_{v}| X_{v} + O(1) |\lambda_{m}| X_{m}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_{v} X_{v} + O(1) |\lambda_{m}| X_{m} = O(1)$$

as  $m \to \infty$ , by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. Now, by using (10), we have that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{n+1} \left(\frac{p_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \mid T_{n,2} \mid^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{p_n p_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{p_v}{p_v} \mid \Delta \lambda_v \mid p_v \mid t_v \mid \right\}^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{p_n p_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{p_v}{p_v}\right)^k (\beta_v)^k \mid t_v \mid^k p_v \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{1}{p_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{p_v}{p_v}\right)^k (\beta_v)^k \mid t_v \mid^k p_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{p_n p_{n-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{p_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1} (\beta_v)^k \mid t_v \mid^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{p_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1} (v\beta_v)^{k-1} v\beta_v \frac{1}{v^k} \mid t_v \mid^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{k-1} v\beta_v \frac{1}{v^k} \mid t_v \mid^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v\beta_v \frac{1}{v^k} \frac{t_v}{v} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v\beta_v \frac{1}{v^k} |t_v \mid^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v\beta_v \frac{1}{v^k} \frac{t_v}{v} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v\beta_v \frac{1}{v^k} \frac{t_v}{v} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m 1 \left( v + 1 \right) \Delta \beta_v - \beta_v \mid X_v + O(1) m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \mid \Delta \beta_v \mid X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1) m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) as m \to \infty, \end{split}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3. 3. The other parts of the proof can be done similar as in [5] by using Lemma 3. 2 and Lemma 3. 3 and therefore we omitted it. If we take  $p_n = 1$  for all values of n, then we get a new result dealing with  $|C_r 1|_k$  summability factors of infinite series.

### References

- N. K. Bari and S. B. Stečkin, Best approximation and differential properties of two conjugate functions, Trudy. Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 5 (1956) 483-522 (in Russian)
- [2] H. Bor, On two summability methods, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos Soc. 97 (1985) 147-149
- [3] H. Bor, Absolute summability factors for infinite series, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 19 (1988) 664-671
- [4] H. Bor, A note on absolute Riesz summability factors, Math. Inequal. Appl. 10 (2007) 619-625
- [5] H. Bor, A theorem on the absolute summability factors, Math. Notes 86 (2009) 463-468
- [6] H. Bor, A new application of generalized power increasing sequences, Filomat 26 (2012) 631-635
- [7] T. M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, Proc. London Math. Soc. 7 (1957) 113-141
- [8] G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, (1949)
- [9] L. Leindler, A new application of quasi power increasing sequences, Publ. Math. Debrecen 58 (2001) 791-796
- [10] W. T. Sulaiman, Extension on absolute summability factors of infinite series, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322 (2006) 1224-1230