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#### Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the existence of fixed points for integral type contractions in uniform spaces endowed with both a graph and an E-distance. We also give two sufficient conditions under which the fixed point is unique. Our main results generalize some recent metric fixed point theorems.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In [9], Branciari discussed the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for mappings from a complete metric space $(X, d)$ into itself satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type. The result therein is a generalization of the Banach contraction principle in metric spaces. In fact, Branciari considered mappings $T:(X, d) \rightarrow(X, d)$ satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{d(T x, T y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{d(x, y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \quad(x, y \in X)
$$

where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $\varphi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable function on $[0,+\infty)$ whose Lebesgueintegral is finite on each compact subset of $[0,+\infty)$, and satisfies $\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t>0$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. Recently, an integral version of Ćirić's contraction was given in [14].

In 2008, Jachymski [12] generalized the Banach contraction principle in metric spaces endowed with a graph. This idea was followed by the authors in uniform and modular spaces (see [3, 5-7]). In [1], the concept of an $E$-distance was introduced in uniform spaces as a generalization of a metric and a $w$-distance and then many different nonlinear contractions were generalized from metric to uniform spaces (see, e.g., [2, 4, 13]).

The aim of this paper is to study the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for integral type contractions in uniform spaces endowed with both a graph and an E-distance. Our results generalize Theorem 2.1 in [9] as well as Corollary 3.1 in [12] by replacing metric spaces with uniform spaces endowed with a graph and by considering a weaker contractive condition. We also prove an integral version of [12, Theorems 3.2 and 3.3].

We begin with notions in uniform spaces that are needed in this paper. For more detailed discussion, the reader is referred to, e.g., [15].

[^0]By a uniform space $(X, \mathcal{U})$, shortly denoted here by $X$, it is meant a nonempty set $X$ together with a uniformity $\mathcal{U}$. For instance, if $d$ is a metric on a nonempty set $X$, then it induces a uniformity, called the uniformity induced by the metric $d$, in which the members of $\mathcal{U}$ are all the supersets of the sets

$$
\{(x, y) \in X \times X: d(x, y)<\varepsilon\}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$.
It is well-known that a uniformity $\mathcal{U}$ on a nonempty set $X$ is separating if the intersection of all members of $\mathcal{U}$ is equal to the diagonal of the Cartesian product $X \times X$, that is, the set $\{(x, x): x \in X\}$ which is often denoted by $\Delta(X)$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is a separating uniformity on a nonempty set $X$, then the uniform space $X$ is said to be separated.

We next recall the definition of an $E$-distance on a uniform space $X$ as well as the notions of convergence, Cauchyness and completeness with $E$-distances.

Definition 1.1 ([1]). Let $X$ be a uniform space. A function $p: X \times X \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is called an $E$-distance on $X$ if
i) for each member $V$ of $\mathcal{U}$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $p(z, x) \leq \delta$ and $p(z, y) \leq \delta$ imply $(x, y) \in V$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;
ii) the triangular inequality holds for $p$, that is,

$$
p(x, y) \leq p(x, z)+p(z, y) \quad(x, y, z \in X)
$$

Let $p$ be an $E$-distance on a uniform space $X$. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to be $p$-convergent to a point $x \in X$, denoted by $x_{n} \xrightarrow{p} x$, if it satisfies the usual metric condition, that is, $p\left(x_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and similarly, $p$-Cauchy if it satisfies $p\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. The uniform space $X$ is called $p$-complete if every $p$-Cauchy sequence in $X$ is $p$-convergent to some point of $X$.

In the next lemma, an important property of $E$-distances in separated uniform spaces is formulated.
Lemma 1.2 ([1]). Let $p$ be an E-distance on a separated uniform space $X$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be two arbitrary sequences in $X$. If $x_{n} \xrightarrow{p} x$ and $x_{n} \xrightarrow{p} y$, then $x=y$. In particular, if $x, y \in X$ and $p(z, x)=p(z, y)=0$ for some $z \in X$, then $x=y$.

Finally, we recall some concepts about graphs. For more details on graph theory, see, e.g., [8].
Let $X$ be a uniform space and consider a directed graph $G$ without any parallel edges such that the set $V(G)$ of its vertices is $X$, that is, $V(G)=X$ and the set $E(G)$ of its edges contains all loops, that is, $E(G) \supseteq \Delta(X)$. So the graph $G$ can be simply denoted by $G=(V(G), E(G))$. By $\widetilde{G}$, it is meant the undirected graph obtained from $G$ by ignoring the direction of the edges of $G$, that is,

$$
V(\widetilde{G})=X \quad \text { and } \quad E(\widetilde{G})=\{(x, y) \in X \times X: \text { either }(x, y) \text { or }(y, x) \text { belongs to } E(G)\} .
$$

A subgraph $H$ of $G$ is itself a directed graph such that $V(H)$ and $E(H)$ are contained in $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively, and $(x, y) \in E(H)$ implies $x, y \in V(H)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

We need also a few notions about connectivity of graphs. Suppose that $x$ and $y$ are two vertices in $V(G)$. A finite sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{N}$ consisting of $N+1$ vertices of $G$ is a path in $G$ from $x$ to $y$ if $x_{0}=x, x_{N}=y$ and $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right) \in E(G)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$. The graph $G$ is weakly connected if there exists a path in $\widetilde{G}$ between each two vertices of $\widetilde{G}$.

## 2. Main results

In this section, we consider the Euclidean metric on $[0,+\infty)$ and denote by $\lambda$ the Lebesgue measure on the Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $[0,+\infty)$. For a Borel set $E=[a, b]$, we will use the notation $\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t$ to show the Lebesgue integral of a function $\varphi$ on $E$. We employ a class $\Phi$ consisting of all functions $\varphi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ satisfying the following properties:
(Ф1) $\varphi$ is Lebesgue-integrable on $[0,+\infty)$;
(Ф2) The value of the Lebesgue integral $\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t$ is positive and finite for all $\varepsilon>0$.
The next lemma embodies some important properties of functions of the class $\Phi$ which we need in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\varphi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a function in the class $\Phi$ and $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Then the following statements hold:

1. If $\int_{0}^{a_{n}} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
2. If $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is monotone and converges to some $a \geq 0$, then $\int_{0}^{a_{n}} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow \int_{0}^{a} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. 1. Let $\int_{0}^{a_{n}} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow 0$ and suppose first on the contrary that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\infty$. Then $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ contains a subsequence $\left\{a_{n_{k}}\right\}$ which diverges to $\infty$. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, one may assume without loss of generality that $\left\{a_{n_{k}}\right\}$ is a nondecreasing subsequence of $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$. Because the sequence $\left\{\int_{0}^{a_{n_{k}}} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t\right\}$ of nonnegative numbers increases to zero, so $a_{n_{k}}=0$ for all $k \geq 1$. This is a contradiction and therefore the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.

Next, if $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\varepsilon>0$, then there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ of positive integers such that $a_{n_{k}} \rightarrow \varepsilon$. Pick an integer $k_{0}>0$ so that the strict inequality $a_{n_{k}}>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ holds for all $k \geq k_{0}$. Therefore,

$$
0<\int_{0}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \int_{0}^{a_{n_{k}}} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow 0
$$

which is again a contradiction. So $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$, and consequently,

$$
0 \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0
$$

that is, $a_{n} \rightarrow 0$.
2. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be nondecreasing. If for sufficiently large indices $n$ we have $a_{n}=a$, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, put $E_{n}=\left[0, a_{n}\right]$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then each $E_{n}$ is a Borel subset of $[0,+\infty)$ and we have $E_{1} \subseteq E_{2} \subseteq \cdots$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}=[0, a]$. Because the function $E \stackrel{\mu}{\longmapsto} \int_{E} \varphi \mathrm{~d} \lambda$ is a Borel measure on $[0,+\infty)$, using the continuity of $\mu$ from below we get

$$
\int_{0}^{a} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t=\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(E_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{a_{n}} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

A similar argument is true if $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is nonincreasing since each $E_{n}$ defined above is of finite $\mu$-measure by (Ф2).

Let $T$ be a mapping from a uniform space $X$ endowed with a graph $G$ into itself. We denote as usual the set of all fixed points for $T$ by $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$, and by $C_{T}$, we mean the set of all $x \in X$ such that $\left(T^{n} x, T^{m} x\right)$ is an edge of $\widetilde{G}$ for all $m, n \geq 0$. Clearly, $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \subseteq C_{T}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $p$ be an E-distance on a uniform space $X$ endowed with a graph $G$. We say that a mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is an integral type $p$-G-contraction if

IC 1) T preserves the egdes of $G$, that is, $(x, y) \in E(G)$ implies $(T x, T y) \in E(G)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
IC 2) there exists a $\varphi \in \Phi$ and a constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$ such that the contractive condition

$$
\int_{0}^{p(T x, T y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{p(x, y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$.

Now, we give some examples of integral type $p$-G-contractions.
Example 2.3. Let $p$ be an E-distance on a uniform space $X$ endowed with a graph $G$ and $x_{0}$ be a point in $X$ such that $p\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)=0$. Since $E(G)$ contains the loop $\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$, it follows that the constant mapping $T=x_{0}$ preserves the edges of $G$, and since $p\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)=0$, (IC2) holds trivially for any arbitrary $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Therefore, $T$ is an integral type $p$-G-contraction. In particular, each constant mapping on $X$ is an integral type $p$ - $G$-contraction if and only if $p(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in X$.

Example 2.4. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a mapping satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{d(T x, T y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{d(x, y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \quad(x, y \in X)
$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. If we consider $X$ as a uniform space with the uniformity induced by the metric $d$, then $T$ is an integral type $d$ - $G_{0}$-contraction, where $G_{0}$ is the complete graph with the vertices set $X$, that is, $V\left(G_{0}\right)=X$ and $E\left(G_{0}\right)=X \times X$. The existence and uniqueness of fixed point for these kind of contractions were considered by Branciari in [9].

Example 2.5. Let $\leq$ and $p$ be a partial order and an E-distance on a uniform space $X$, respectively, and consider the poset graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ by

$$
V\left(G_{1}\right)=X \quad \text { and } \quad E\left(G_{1}\right)=\{(x, y) \in X \times X: x \leq y\}
$$

and

$$
V\left(G_{2}\right)=X \quad \text { and } \quad E\left(G_{2}\right)=\{(x, y) \in X \times X: x \leq y \vee y \leq x\} .
$$

Then integral type $p$ - $G_{1}$-contractions are precisely the ordered integral type $p$-contractions, that is, nondecreasing mappings $T: X \rightarrow X$ which satisfy (IC2) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$ and for some $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. And integral type $p-G_{2}$-contractions are those mappings $T: X \rightarrow X$ which are order preserving and satisfy (IC2) for all comparable $x, y \in X$ and for some $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$.

Remark 2.6. Let $T$ be a mapping from an arbitrary uniform space $X$ into itself. If $X$ is endowed with the complete graph $G_{0}$, then the set $C_{T}$ coincides with $X$.

If $\leq$ is a partial order on $X$ and $X$ is endowed with either $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$, then a point $x \in X$ belongs to $C_{T}$ if and only if $T^{n} x$ is comparable to $T^{m} x$ for all $m, n \geq 0$. In particular, if $T$ is monotone, then each $x \in X$ satisfying $x \leq T x$ or $T x \leq x$ belongs to $C_{T}$.

Example 2.7. Let $p$ be any arbitrary E-distance on a uniform space $X$ endowed with a graph $G$ and define a function $\varphi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ by the rule $\varphi(t)=t^{\beta}$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $\beta \geq 0$ is constant. It is clear that $\varphi$ is Lebesgueintegrable on $[0,+\infty)$ and $\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t=\frac{\varepsilon^{1+\beta}}{1+\beta}$ which is positive and finite for all $\varepsilon>0$, that is, $\varphi \in \Phi$. Now, let a mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy $p(T x, T y) \leq \alpha p(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$, where $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Then $T$ satisfies (IC2) for the function $\varphi$ defined as above and the number $\alpha^{1+\beta} \in(0,1)$. In fact, if $x, y \in X$ and $(x, y) \in E(G)$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{p(T x, T y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t=\frac{p(T x, T y)^{1+\beta}}{1+\beta} \leq \alpha^{1+\beta} \cdot \frac{p(x, y)^{1+\beta}}{1+\beta}=\alpha^{1+\beta} \int_{0}^{p(x, y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Therefore, our contraction generalizes Banach's contraction with E-distances in uncountably many ways. In particular, if $T$ is a Banach G-p-contraction (i.e., the Banach contraction in uniform spaces endowed with an E-distance and a graph), then $T$ is an integral type $p-G$-contraction for uncountably many functions $\varphi \in \Phi$.

To prove the existence of a fixed point for an integral type $p-\widetilde{G}$-contraction, we need the following two lemmas:

Lemma 2.8. Let $p$ be an E-distance on a uniform space $X$ endowed with a graph $G$ and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an integral type $p-G$-contraction. Then $p\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$.
Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$. According to Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that $\int_{0}^{p\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow$ 0 , where $\varphi \in \Phi$ is as in (IC2). To this end, note that because $T$ preserves the edges of $G$, we have ( $\left.T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right) \in E(G)$ for all $n \geq 0$, and so by (IC2), we find

$$
\int_{0}^{p\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{p\left(T^{n-1} x, T^{n-1} y\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \cdots \leq \alpha^{n} \int_{0}^{p(x, y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ is as in (IC2). Since, by ( $\Phi 2$ ), $\int_{0}^{p(x, y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t$ is finite (even possibly zero), it follows immediately that $\int_{0}^{p\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow 0$.
Lemma 2.9. Let $p$ be an E-distance on a uniform space $X$ endowed with a graph $G$ and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an integral type $p-\widetilde{G}$-contraction. Then the sequence $\left\{T^{n} x\right\}$ is $p$-Cauchy for all $x \in C_{T}$.
Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $\left\{T^{n} x\right\}$ is not $p$-Cauchy for some $x \in C_{T}$. Then there exist an $\varepsilon>0$ and positive integers $m_{k}$ and $n_{k}$ such that

$$
m_{k}>n_{k} \geq k \quad \text { and } \quad p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right) \geq \varepsilon \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

If the integer $n_{k}$ is kept fixed for sufficiently large indices $k$ (say, $k \geq k_{0}$ ), then using Lemma 2.8, one may assume without loss of generality that $m_{k}>n_{k}$ is the smallest integer with $p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right) \geq \varepsilon$, that is,

$$
p\left(T^{m_{k}-1} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right)<\varepsilon \quad\left(k \geq k_{0}\right)
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon & \leq p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right) \\
& \leq p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{m_{k}-1} x\right)+p\left(T^{m_{k}-1} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right) \\
& <p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{m_{k}-1} x\right)+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $k \geq k_{0}$. Since $x \in C_{T}$, it follows that $(T x, x) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ and by Lemma 2.8, we have $p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{m_{k}-1} x\right) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ yields $p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right) \rightarrow \varepsilon$. On the other hand, we have

$$
p\left(T^{m_{k}+1} x, T^{n_{k}+1} x\right) \leq p\left(T^{m_{k}+1} x, T^{m_{k}} x\right)+p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right)+p\left(T^{n_{k}} x, T^{n_{k}+1} x\right)
$$

for all $k \geq 1$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, since $(T x, x),(x, T x) \in E(\widetilde{G})$, it follows by Lemma 2.8 that

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} p\left(T^{m_{k}+1} x, T^{n_{k}+1} x\right) \leq \varepsilon
$$

Moreover, the inequality

$$
p\left(T^{m_{k}+1} x, T^{n_{k}+1} x\right) \geq p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right)-p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{m_{k}+1} x\right)-p\left(T^{n_{k}+1} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right)
$$

holds for all $k \geq 1$. Thus, similarly we have

$$
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} p\left(T^{m_{k}+1} x, T^{n_{k}+1} x\right) \geq \varepsilon
$$

Hence, $p\left(T^{m_{k}+1} x, T^{n_{k}+1} x\right) \rightarrow \varepsilon$. By passing to two subsequences with the same choice function if necessary, one may assume without loss of generality that both $\left\{p\left(T^{m_{k}} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{p\left(T^{m_{k}+1} x, T^{n_{k}+1} x\right)\right\}$ are monotone. Therefore, using Lemma 2.1 twice, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{p\left(T^{m_{k}+1} x, T^{n_{k}+1} x\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \alpha \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{p\left(T^{\left.m_{k} x, T^{n_{k}} x\right)}\right.} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t=\alpha \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$ are as in (IC2). Therefore, $\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, the sequence $\left\{T^{n} x\right\}$ is $p$-Cauchy for all $x \in C_{T}$.

In 1971 Ćirić [10] introduced the following two notions (see also [11]).
Definition 2.10 ([10]). Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an operator. The operator $T$ is said to be orbitally continuous if $T^{n_{i}} x \rightarrow p$, then $T\left(T^{n_{i}} x\right) \rightarrow T p$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.11 ([10]). Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an operator. The metric space $(X, d)$ is said to be T-orbitally complete if any Cauchy sequence of the form $\left\{T^{n_{i}} x\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, x \in X$, converges in $X$.

Jachymski [12, Definition 2.4] generalized these notions by introducing the notion of an orbitally Gcontinuous mapping in metric spaces endowed with a graph $G$.

Now we shall generalize the above notion of orbitally continuity to orbitally $p$ - $G$-continuity.
Definition 2.12. Let $p$ be an E-distance on a uniform space $X$ endowed with a graph $G$ and $T$ be a mapping from $X$ into itself. We say that
i) $T$ is orbitally $p$-G-continuous on $X$ if for all $x, y \in X$ and all sequences $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ of positive integers with $\left(T^{a_{n}} x, T^{a_{n+1}} x\right) \in E(G)$ for $n=1,2, \ldots, T^{a_{n}} x \xrightarrow{p} y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, implies $T\left(T^{a_{n}} x\right) \xrightarrow{p}$ Ty as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
ii) $T$ is a p-Picard operator if $T$ has a unique fixed point $u \in X$ and $T^{n} x \xrightarrow{p}$ u for all $x \in X$.
iii) $T$ is a weakly $p$-Picard operator if $\left\{T^{n} x\right\}$ is $p$-convergent to a fixed point of $T$ for all $x \in X$.

Example 2.13. Let $X$ be any arbitrary uniform space with more than one point equipped with an $E$-distance $p$. Choose a nonempty proper subset $A$ of $X$ and pick $a$ and $b$ from $A$ and $A^{c}$, respectively. Then the mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ defined by $T x=a$ if $x \in A$, and $T x=b$ if $x \notin A$ is a weakly $p$-Picard operator which fails to be $p$-Picard. In fact, we have $\operatorname{Fix}(T)=\{a, b\}$. Therefore, a weakly p-Picard operator is not necessarily p-Picard.

Now, we are ready to prove our main theorems. The first result guarantees the existence of a fixed point when an integral type $p$ - $\widetilde{G}$-contraction is orbitally $p-\widetilde{G}$-continuous on $X$ or the triple $(X, p, G)$ has a certain property.

Theorem 2.14. Let $p$ be an E-distance on a separated uniform space $X$ endowed with a graph $G$ such that $X$ is $p$-complete, and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an integral type $p-\widetilde{G}$-contraction. Then $\left.T\right|_{C_{T}}$ is a weakly $p$-Picard operator if one of the following statements holds:
i) $T$ is orbitally $p-\widetilde{G}$-continuous on $X$;
ii) The triple $(X, p, G)$ satisfies the following property:
(*) If a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is $p$-convergent to an $x \in X$ and satisfies $\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $n \geq 1$, then there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left(x_{n_{k}}, x\right) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $k \geq 1$.

In particular, having been held (i) or (ii), $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $C_{T} \neq \emptyset$.
Proof. If $C_{T}=\emptyset$, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, note first that since $T$ preserves the edges of $\bar{G}$, it follows that $C_{T}$ is $T$-invariant, that is, $T$ maps $C_{T}$ into itself. Now, let $x \in C_{T}$ be given. Then $\left(T^{n} x, T^{n+1} x\right) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $n \geq 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.9, the sequence $\left\{T^{n} x\right\}$ is $p$-Cauchy in $X$, and because $X$ is $p$-complete, there exists a $u \in X$ (depends on $x$ ) such that $T^{n} x \xrightarrow{p} u$.

To prove the existence of a fixed point for $T$, suppose first that $T$ is orbitally $p-\widetilde{G}$-continuous. Then $T^{n+1} x \xrightarrow{p} T u$ and because $X$ is separated, Lemma 1.2 ensures that $T u=u$, that is, $u$ is a fixed point for $T$.

On the other hand, if Property ( $*$ ) holds, then $\left\{T^{n} x\right\}$ contains a subsequence $\left\{T^{n_{k}} x\right\}$ such that $\left(T^{n_{k}} x, u\right) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $k \geq 1$. Since $p\left(T^{n_{k}} x, u\right) \rightarrow 0$, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, one may assume without loss of generality that $\left\{p\left(T^{n_{k}} x, u\right)\right\}$ is monotone. Hence by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{p\left(T^{n_{k}+1} x, T u\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{p\left(T^{n_{k}} x, u\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty,
$$

where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ is as in (IC2). Using Lemma 2.1 once more, one obtains $p\left(T^{n_{k}+1} x, T u\right) \rightarrow 0$ and since $X$ is separated, Lemma 1.2 guarantees that $T u=u$, that is, $u$ is a fixed point for $T$.

Finally, $u \in \operatorname{Fix}(T) \subseteq C_{T}$, and so $\left.T\right|_{C_{T}}$ is a weakly $p$-Picard operator.
Setting $G=G_{0}$ in Theorem 2.14, we have the following result, which is a generalization of [9, Theorem 2.1] to uniform spaces equipped with an $E$-distance.

Corollary 2.15. Let $p$ be an E-distance on a separated uniform space $X$ such that $X$ is $p$-complete. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy

$$
\int_{0}^{p(T x, T y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{p(x, y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \quad(x, y \in X)
$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Then $T$ is a $p$-Picard operator.
Proof. By Theorem 2.14, the mapping $T$ is a weakly $p$-Picard operator. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that $T$ has a unique fixed point. To this end, let $x$ and $y$ be two fixed points for $T$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{p(x, y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{p(T x, T y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{p(x, y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

which is impossible unless $p(x, y)=0$. Similarly, one can show that $p(x, x)=0$ and since $X$ is separated, it follows by Lemma 1.2 that $x=y$.

Because $\widetilde{G_{1}}=\widetilde{G_{2}}=G_{2}$, setting $G=G_{1}$ or $G=G_{2}$ in Theorem 2.14, we obtain the ordered version of Branciari's result as follows:

Corollary 2.16. Let $p$ be an E-distance on a partially ordered separated uniform space $X$ such that $X$ is $p$-complete and a mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy

$$
\int_{0}^{p(T x, T y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{p(x, y)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

for all comparable elements $x$ and $y$ of $X$, where $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Assume that there exists an $x \in X$ such that $T^{m} x$ and $T^{n} x$ are comparable for all $m, n \geq 0$. Then $T$ is a weakly $p$-Picard operator if one of the following statements holds:

- T is orbitally $p-G_{2}$-continuous on $X$;
- X satisfies the following property:

If a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ with successive comparable terms is $p$-convergent to an $x \in X$, then $x$ is comparable to $x_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Next, we are going to prove two theorems on uniqueness of the fixed points for integral type $p-\widetilde{G}$ contractions.

Theorem 2.17. Let $p$ be an E-distance on a separated uniform space $X$ endowed with a graph $G$ such that $X$ is $p$-complete, and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an integral type $p$ - $\widetilde{G}$-contraction such that the function $\varphi$ in (IC2) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a+b} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \int_{0}^{a} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{b} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b \geq 0$. If $G$ is weakly connected and $C_{T}$ is nonempty, then there exists a unique $u \in X$ such that $T^{n} x \xrightarrow{p} u$ for all $x \in X$. In particular, $T$ is a p-Picard operator if and only if $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ is nonempty.

Proof. Let $x$ and $y$ be two arbitrary elements of $X$. Since $G$ is weakly connected, there exists a path $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{N}$ in $\widetilde{G}$ from $x$ to $y$. Since $T$ preserves the edges of $\widetilde{G}$, it follows that $\left(T^{n} x_{i-1}, T^{n} x_{i}\right) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $i=1, \ldots, N$. Therefore, by (1) and (IC2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{p\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t & \leq \int_{0}^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p\left(T^{n} x_{i-1}, T^{n} x_{i}\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{p\left(T^{n} x_{i-1}, T^{n} x_{i}\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{p\left(T^{n-1} x_{i-1}, T^{n-1} x_{i}\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \vdots \\
& \leq \alpha^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{p\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.19. Theorem 2.18 guarantees that in a separated uniform space $X$ endowed with a graph $G$ and an $E$ distance $p$, if $(x, y) \in E(G)$, then both $x$ and $y$ cannot be a fixed point for any integral type $p-G$-contraction $T$. In other words, each weakly connected component of $G$ intersects $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ in at most one point. So in partially ordered separated uniform spaces equipped with an E-distance $p$, no ordered integral type $p$-contraction has two comparable fixed points.

Remark 2.20. Since the Riemann integral (proper and improper) is subsumed in the Lebesgue integral, it follows that one may replace Lebesgue-integrability with Riemann-integrability of $\varphi$ on $[0,+\infty)$ in ( $\Phi 1$ ), where the value of the integral on $[0,+\infty)$ is allowed to be $\infty$. Facing with Riemann integrals, we should assume that the function $\varphi$ is bounded. Therefore, all of the results of this paper can be restated and reproved for Riemann integrals instead of Lebesgue integrals. A similar remark holds for Riemann-Stieltjes integrable functions with respect to any fixed nondecreasing function on $[0,+\infty)$.
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