Filomat 30:4 (2016), 977–983 DOI 10.2298/FIL1604977C



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

A Note on Hardy type Sums and Dedekind Sums

Elif Cetin

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Uludag University, 16059, Bursa, Turkey

Abstract. In [9], Cetin et al. defined a new special finite sum which is denoted by $C_1(h, k)$. In this paper, with the help of two-term polynomial relation, we will give the explicit values of the sum $C_1(h, k)$. We will see that for the odd values of *h* and *k*, this sum only depends on one variable. After that we will give many properties of this sum and connections with other well-known finite sums such as the Dedekind sums, the Hardy sums and the Simsek sums Y(h, k). By using the Fibonacci numbers and two-term polynomial relation, we will also give some relations for these sums.

1. Introduction

Finite arithmetic sums have great importance in analytic number theory, analysis and many other areas of mathematics. These sums including the greatest integer function [x], became more popular in the nineteenth century. So mathematicians need to know more about [x] and also related functions like the sawtooth function ((x)), where

 $((x)) = \begin{cases} x - [x] - 1/2 & \text{if } x \text{ is not an integer} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is an integer.} \end{cases}$

With the help of these functions, many finite arithmetic sums have been defined and studied. During this paper, the set of positive integers will be shown by \mathbb{N}^+ and the set of integers will be shown by \mathbb{Z} .

In the nineteenth century, Richard Dedekind defined the sums called Dedekind sums as follows:

$$s(h,k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\left(\frac{hj}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{j}{k} \right) \right),$$

where h is an integer, k is a positive integer. The basic introduction to the arithmetic properties of the Dedekind sum is [17]. Dedekind defined these sums with the help of the famous Dedekind eta function. Although Dedekind sums arise in the transformation formula for the eta function, they can be defined independently of the eta function. Dedekind sums have many interesting properties but most important

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11F20; Secondary 11C08

Keywords. Hardy Sums, Dedekind Sums, Two-term Relations, Greatest Integer Function, Y(h, k) Sums, $C_1(h, k)$ Sums.

Received: 02 July 2015; Accepted: 13 September 2015

Communicated by Gradimir Milovanović and Yilmaz Simsek

Email address: elifc2@gmail.com (Elif Cetin)

one is the reciprocity theorem: When *h* and *k* are coprime positive integers, the following reciprocity law holds [10]:

$$s(h,k) + s(k,h) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{h}{k} + \frac{k}{h} + \frac{1}{hk} \right).$$
⁽¹⁾

The first proof of (1) was given by Richard Dedekind in 1892 [10]. After R. Dedekind, Apostol [1] and many authors have given many different proofs [17]. By using contour integration, in 1905, Hardy, [12], gave another proof of the reciprocity theorem. In that work, Hardy also gave some finite arithmetical sums which are called Hardy sums. These Hardy sums are also related to the Dedekind sums and have many useful properties.

We are ready to recall the Hardy sums which are needed in the further sections: If *h* and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with k > 0, the Hardy sums are defined by

$$S(h,k) = \sum_{j \mod k} (-1)^{j+1+\lfloor \frac{h}{k} \rfloor},$$

$$s_1(h,k) = \sum_{j \mod k} (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{h}{k} \rfloor} \left(\left(\frac{j}{k} \right) \right),$$

$$s_2(h,k) = \sum_{j \mod k} (-1)^{j} \left(\left(\frac{j}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{jh}{k} \right) \right),$$

$$s_3(h,k) = \sum_{j \mod k} (-1)^{j} \left(\left(\frac{jh}{k} \right) \right),$$

$$s_4(h,k) = \sum_{j \mod k} (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{h}{k} \rfloor},$$

$$s_5(h,k) = \sum_{j \mod k} (-1)^{j+\lfloor \frac{h}{k} \rfloor} \left(\left(\frac{j}{k} \right) \right).$$
(2)

We also note that some authors have called Hardy sums as Hardy-Berndt sums. For $s_5(h, k)$, the below equality also holds true:

$$s_5(h,k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j(-1)^{j + \left[\frac{hj}{k}\right]}$$
(3)

when *h* and *k* are odd integers, [7]. Further, following equations will be necessary in the next section, [15]:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (-1)^{j+\left[\frac{cj}{b}\right]} \left(\frac{j}{b}\right) = s_5(c,b) - \frac{1}{2}S(c,b),$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{c-1} (-1)^{j+\left[\frac{bj}{c}\right]} \left(\frac{j}{c}\right) = s_5(b,c) - \frac{1}{2}S(b,c).$$
(4)

Reciprocity law for the $s_5(h, k)$ is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. Let h and k be coprime positive integers. If h and k are odd, then

$$s_5(h,k) + s_5(k,h) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2hk'}$$
(5)

(*cf.* [2], [4], [7], [11], [12], [24] and the references cited in each of these works).

The proof of the next reciprocity theorem was given by Hardy [12] and Berndt [6]:

Theorem 1.2. Let h and k be coprime positive integers. Then

$$S(h,k) + S(k,h) = 1 \quad if \quad h+k \text{ is odd.} \tag{6}$$

In the light of equation (6), Apostol [2] gave the below result:

Theorem 1.3. If both h and k are odd and (h, k) = 1, then

$$S(h,k) = S(k,h) = 0.$$
 (7)

In [21], Simsek gave the following new sums: Let *h* is an integer and *k* is a positive integer with (h, k) = 1. Then

$$Y(h,k) = 4k \sum_{j \mod k} (-1)^{j + \left\lfloor \frac{hj}{k} \right\rfloor} \left(\left(\frac{j}{k} \right) \right).$$

We observe that Y(h, k) sums are also related to the Hardy sums $s_5(h, k)$. That is

 $Y(h,k) = 4ks_5(h,k).$

The reciprocity law for this sum was given by Simsek in [21, p. 5, Theorem 4] as below:

$$hY(h,k) + kY(k,h) = 2hk - 2.$$
 (8)

Simsek gave two different proofs of this reciprocity law. Another proof of (8) was also given in [9]. The below theorem was given by Sitaramachandrarao in [24]:

Theorem 1.4. Let h and k be coprime positive integers. If k is an odd integer, then

$$2s_3(h,k) - s_4(k,h) = 1 - \frac{h}{k}.$$

In this paper we study the Hardy sums, the Simsek sums Y(h, k) and the Dedekind sums s(h, k) which are related to the symmetric pairs, [13], and the Fibonacci numbers. Before starting our results, we need some properties of the Fibonacci numbers which are given as follows: The Fibonacci numbers are defined by means of the following generating function [14]:

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x - x^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n x^n.$$
(9)

One can easily derive the following recurrence relation from (9):

$$F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}.$$

From (9), we also easily compute the first few Fibonacci numbers as follows: 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, ···

In [13], Meyer studied a special case of the Dedekind sums. In that paper, Meyer investigated the pairs of integers $\{h, k\}$ for which s(h, k) = s(k, h). Meyer defined that $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair if this property holds and he showed that $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair if and only if $h = F_{2n+1}$ and $k = F_{2n+3}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where F_m is the *m*-th Fibonacci number. In [13], Meyer proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.5. If (h, k) = 1 and $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair, then s(h, k) = 0.

In [9], Cetin et al. defined the sum $C_1(h, k)$ as follows:

$$C_1(h,k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\left(\frac{hj}{k} \right) \right) (-1)^{j + \left[\frac{hj}{k} \right]}$$

where h, k are positive integers with (h, k) = 1.

2. A New Special Finite Sum and Its Properties

As we mentioned above, in [9], Cetin et al. defined the sum $C_1(h, k)$. Now, in this paper, we will give its explicit values when h and k are odd numbers with k > 0. We will see that the explicit values of the sum $C_1(h, k)$ depend on only one variable. After that, we will give the reciprocity law for the sum $C_1(h, k)$. The sum $C_1(h, k)$ has relations with the reciprocity laws of the Hardy sums $s_3(h, k)$, $s_4(h, k)$ and $s_5(h, k)$, the Dedekind sum s(h, k) and the Simsek sum Y(h, k). So we will give those relations. Berndt and Dieter [5] showed that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(k-1)} \left[\frac{hj}{k}\right] + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(h-1)} \left[\frac{kj}{h}\right] = (h-1)(k-1),$$
(10)

where *h* and *k* are odd, distinct primes. This relation plays very important role in the proof of Gauss' law of quadratic reciprocity. We will give the equation 10 in terms of the sum $C_1(h, k)$. Finally, we will give relations between s(h, k) and $C_1(h, k)$ with the help of the Fibonacci numbers and in the light of that, we will give a new equality for $C_1(h, k)$ which depends on the Fibonacci numbers. Similarly we will show how can we write the reciprocity laws of the Hardy sum $s_5(h, k)$ and the Simsek sum Y(h, k) when *h* and *k* are special Fibonacci numbers.

Many authors in many papers studied two and three term relations because they are related to the Dedekind sums, the Hardy sums and many other finite sums. In [15], [5], [3] and [19] new theorems on three-term relations for the Hardy sums were found by applying derivative operator to the three-term polynomial relation. In [9], we also deeply study about two and three term relations and we gave a new proof of the reciprocity law of the sum Y(h, k). Now in this paper, we will use two-term polynomial relation again. So we remind it as a corollary below:

Corollary 2.1. (Two-term polynomial relation) If a, b, and c are pairwise coprime positive integers, then

$$(u-1)\sum_{x=1}^{a-1} u^{x-1} v^{\left[\frac{bx}{a}\right]} + (v-1)\sum_{y=1}^{b-1} v^{y-1} u^{\left[\frac{ay}{b}\right]} = u^{a-1} v^{b-1} - 1.$$
(11)

Equation (11) is originally due to Berndt and Dieter [5]. We now give explicit values of the sum $C_1(h, k)$ by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2. If (h, k) = 1, h and k are odd integers with k > 0, then we have

$$C_1(h,k) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}.$$
(12)

Proof. We are motivated by the two-term polynomial relation for this theorem. We consider the identity (11). We know that when we take the partial derivative of (11) with respect to u, and substitute u = v = -1, then we have

$$\sum_{x=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{x + \left[\frac{kx}{h}\right]} - 2\sum_{x=1}^{h-1} x(-1)^{x + \left[\frac{kx}{h}\right]} - 2\sum_{y=1}^{k-1} \left[\frac{hy}{k}\right] (-1)^{y + \left[\frac{hy}{k}\right]} = (h-1)(-1)^{h+k-1}.$$

After some elementary calculations we have,

$$-\sum_{x=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{x+\left[\frac{kx}{h}\right]-1} - 2\sum_{x=1}^{h-1} x(-1)^{x+\left[\frac{kx}{h}\right]} - 2h\sum_{y=1}^{k-1} \frac{y}{k} (-1)^{y+\left[\frac{hy}{k}\right]} + 2\sum_{y=1}^{h-1} \left(\left(\frac{hy}{k}\right) \right) (-1)^{y+\left[\frac{hy}{k}\right]} - \sum_{y=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{y+\left[\frac{hy}{k}\right]-1} = 1 - h.$$

By using (4), (3) and Theorem 1.3, we have

$$-2h(s_5(k,h) + s_5(h,k)) + 2C_1(h,k) = 1 - h.$$

Finally from (5), we have

$$C_1(h,k) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}.$$

Now we give the reciprocity law for the sum $C_1(h, k)$:

Theorem 2.3 (Reciprocity law for the sum $C_1(h, k)$ **for odd case).** *If* (h, k) = 1, *h* and *k* are positive odd integers, then we have

$$C_1(h,k) + C_1(k,h) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{h} + \frac{1}{k}\right).$$

Proof. By using Theorem 2.2, we have

$$C_1(h,k) + C_1(k,h) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right)$$
$$= 1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{h} + \frac{1}{k}\right).$$

Thus we get the desired result. \Box

Corollary 2.4. Let a, h, k be odd positive integers with (a, hk) = 1. Then we have

 $s_5(h,k) + s_5(k,h) = C_1(a,hk).$ (13)

Proof. It can be obtained easily from (5) and Theorem 2.2. \Box

Corollary 2.5. Let a, h, k be odd positive integers with (a, hk) = 1. Then we have

$$hY(h,k) + kY(k,h) = 4hkC_1(a,hk).$$
 (14)

Proof. It can be obtained directly from Theorem 2.2 and (8). \Box

Corollary 2.6. Let a, h, k be odd positive integers with (a, hk) = 1. Then we have

$$s(h,k) + s(k,h) = -\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{h}{k} + \frac{k}{h} - 2C_1(a,hk) \right).$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.2, we can write

$$1 - 2C_1(h,k) = \frac{1}{k}.$$

So we can obtain the equalities below:

$$\frac{h}{k} = h - 2hC_1(h, k),$$

$$\frac{k}{h} = k - 2kC_1(k, h),$$

$$\frac{1}{hk} = 1 - 2C_1(a, hk).$$
(15)

If we put (15) into (1) and make some easy calculations, desired result can be obtained. \Box

Corollary 2.7. Let h, k be odd positive integers with (h, k) = 1, then we have

 $2s_3(h,k) - s_4(k,h) = 1 - h + 2hC_1(h,k).$

Proof. It can be directly obtained from Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 2.2. \Box

Theorem 2.8. Let h and k be distinct odd primes. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(k-1)} \left[\frac{hj}{k}\right] + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(h-1)} \left[\frac{kj}{h}\right] = 4hkC_1(h,k)C_1(k,h).$$

Proof. After some elementary calculations, we get

$$2kC_1(h,k) = k - 1,$$

and

$$2hC_1(k,h) = h - 1$$

from Theorem 2.2. If we put these equations into (10), then we have the desired result. \Box

Now we can prove the main theorem by means of all the results above:

Theorem 2.9. Let $a, h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with k > 0 and $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair. If (h, k) = 1, $h = F_{6n-1}$ and $k = F_{6n+1}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where F_m is the m-th Fibonacci number, then

$$s(h,k) = -\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{h}{k} + \frac{k}{h} - 2C_1(a,hk) \right).$$
(16)

Proof. We know from Corollary 2.6 that

$$s(h,k) + s(k,h) = -\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{h}{k} + \frac{k}{h} - 2C_1(a,hk) \right)$$

where $a, h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with k > 0, (h, k) = 1, h and k are odd numbers. We also know from [13] that if $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair, then

$$s(h,k) = s(k,h).$$

If we add these two equations side by side, then we have the desired result. \Box

Corollary 2.10. Let $a, h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with k > 0 and $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair. If (h, k) = 1, $h = F_{6n-1}$ and $k = F_{6n+1}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where F_m is the m-th Fibonacci number, then

$$C_1(a,hk) = \frac{h}{2k} + \frac{k}{2h} - 1 \tag{17}$$

Proof. It can be found from (16) and Theorem 1.5. \Box

Corollary 2.11. Let $a, h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with k > 0 and $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair. If (h, k) = 1, $h = F_{6n-1}$ and $k = F_{6n+1}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where F_m is the *m*-th Fibonacci number, then

$$s_5(h,k) + s_5(k,h) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{h}{k} + \frac{k}{h} - 2 \right),$$

and

$$hY(h,k) + kY(k,h) = 2h^2 + 2k^2 - 4hk.$$

Proof. It can be easily obtained from (17), (13) and (14). \Box

References

- [1] Tom M. Apostol, Modular functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory, Springer-Verlag (1976).
- [2] Tom M. Apostol and T. H. Vu, Elementary proofs of Berndt's reciprocity laws, Pasific J. Math. 98 (1982), 17-23.
- [3] M. Beck, Geometric proofs of polynomial reciprocity laws of Carlitz, Berndt, and Dieter, M. Beck, in Diophantine analysis and related fields, Sem. Math. Sci. 35 (2006), 11-18.
- [4] B. C. Berndt, Analytic Eisenstein series, Theta-functions, and series relations in the spirit of Ramanujan, J. Reine Angew. Math. 303/304 (1978), 332-150.
- [5] B. C. Berndt and U. Dieter, Sums involving the greatest integer function and Riemann Stieltwes integration, J. Reine Angew. Math. 337 (1982), 208-220.
- [6] Bruce C. Berndt and Ronald J. Evans, Problem E2758, American Math. Monthly 86(1979), 128, and 87(1980), 404.
- [7] B. C. Berndt and L. A. Goldberg, Analytic properties of arithmetic sums arising in the theory of the classical Theta-functions, SIAM. J. Math. Anal. 15 (1984), 143-150.
- [8] L. Carlitz, Some polynomials associated with Dedekind sums, Acta Math. Sci. Hungar, 26 (1975), 311-319.
- [9] E. Cetin, Y. Simsek and I. N. Cangul, Some special finite sums related to the three-term polynomial relations and their applications, Adv. Difference. Equ. 283 (2014), 1-18.
- [10] R. Dedekind, Erläuterungen zu den Fragmenten XXVIII, in Collected works of Bernhard Riemann, Dover Publ., New York (1953), 466-478.
- [11] L. A. Goldberg, Transformation of Theta-functions and analogues of Dedekind sums, Thesis, University of Illinois Urbana (1981).
- [12] G. H. Hardy, On certain series of discontinues functions connected with the modular functions, Quart. J. Math. 36 (1905), 93-123.
- [13] J. L. Meyer, Symmetric Arguments in the Dedekind Sum, Department of Mathematics, 215 Carnegie Library, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13244, Submitted July 2002-Final Revision September 2002.
- [14] I. Mezo, Several Generating Functions for Second-Order Recurrence Sequences, Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 12 (2009), Article 09.3.7.
- [15] M. R. Pettet and R. Sitaramachandraro, Three-Term relations for Hardy sums, J. Number Theory 25 (1989), 328-339.
- [16] H. Rademacher, Generalization of the reciprocity formula for Dedekind sums, Duke Math. J. 21 (1954), 391-397.
- [17] H. Rademacher, E. Grosswald, Dedekind sums, Carus Mathematical Monographs, The Mathematical Association of America (1972).
- [18] Y. Simsek, On Generalized Hardy Sums *s*₅(*h*, *k*), Ukrainian Math. J. 56 (10) (2004), 1434-1440.
- [19] Y. Simsek, Theorems on Three-Term relations for Hardy sums, Turkish J. Math. 22 (1998), 153-162.
- [20] Y. Simsek, A note on Dedekind sums, Bull. Cal. Math. Soci. 85 (1993), 567-572.
- [21] Y. Simsek, On Analytic properties and character analogs of Hardy Sums, Taiwanese J. Math. 13 (2009), 253-268.
- [22] Y. Simsek, Relations between theta-functions Hardy sums Eisenstein series and Lambert series in the transformation formula of $\log \eta_{q,h}(z)$, J. Number Theory 99 (2003), 338-360.
- [23] Y. Simsek, Special Functions Related To Dedekind-Type Dc-Sums And Their Applications, Russian J. Math. Phys. 4(2010), 495-508.
- [24] R. Sitaramachandrarao, Dedekind and Hardy sums, Acta Arith. XLVIII (1978).