Filomat 30:7 (2016), 1779–1787 DOI 10.2298/FIL1607779C



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

The Incomplete Srivastava's Triple Hypergeometric Functions γ^H_B and Γ^H_B

Junesang Choi^a, Rakesh K. Parmar^b, Purnima Chopra^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Dongguk University, Gyeongju 780-714, Republic of Korea ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Government College of Engineering and Technology, Bikaner-334004, Rajasthan State, India ^cDepartment of Mathematics, Marudhar Engineering College, Bikaner-334004, Rajasthan State, India

Abstract. Recently Srivastava *et al.* [26] introduced the incomplete Pochhammer symbols by means of the incomplete gamma functions $\gamma(s, x)$ and $\Gamma(s, x)$, and defined incomplete hypergeometric functions whose a number of interesting and fundamental properties and characteristics have been investigated. Further, Çetinkaya [6] introduced the incomplete second Appell hypergeometric functions and studied many interesting and fundamental properties and characteristics. In this paper, motivated by the above-mentioned works, we introduce two incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric functions γ_B^H and Γ_B^H by using the incomplete Pochhammer symbols and investigate certain properties, for example, their various integral representations, derivative formula, reduction formula and recurrence relation. Various (known or new) special cases and consequences of the results presented here are also considered.

1. Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z}^- , and \mathbb{C} denote the sets of positive integers, negative integers, complex numbers, respectively, and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_0^- := \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \{0\}$.

The familiar *incomplete Gamma functions* $\gamma(s, x)$ and $\Gamma(s, x)$ defined by

$$\gamma(s,x) := \int_0^x t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt \qquad (\Re(s) > 0; \ x \ge 0)$$
(1)

and

$$\Gamma(s,x) := \int_{x}^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt \qquad (x \ge 0; \ \Re(s) > 0 \text{ when } x = 0)$$
(2)

Functions; Whittaker function; Bessel and modified Bessel functions; Incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric Functions

Received: 24 September 2014; Accepted: 27 November 2014

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33B15, 33B20, 33C05, 33C15, 33C20; Secondary 33B99, 33C99, 60B99 Keywords. Gamma functions; Incomplete gamma function; Pochhammer symbol; Incomplete Pochhammer symbol; Incomplete generalized hypergeometric functions; Appell function; Incomplete second Appell function; Srivastava's triple hypergeometric

Communicated by Prof. H.M. Srivastava

The first-named author was supported, in part, by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2010-0011005). This work was also supported by Dongguk University Research Fund. The second-named author was supported, in part, by TEQIP-II.

Email addresses: junesang@mail.dongguk.ac.kr (Junesang Choi), rakeshparmar27@gmail.com (Rakesh K. Parmar), purnimachopra05@gmail.com (Purnima Chopra)

respectively, satisfy the following decomposition formula:

$$\gamma(s, x) + \Gamma(s, x) := \Gamma(s) \qquad (\Re(s) > 0). \tag{3}$$

These functions play an important role in the study of the analytic solutions of a variety of problems in diverse areas of science and engineering (see, *e.g.*, [1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 27–29, 31, 37, 38, 40]).

Recently Srivastava *et al.* [26] introduced and studied in a rather systematic manner the following two families of generalized incomplete hypergeometric functions:

$${}_{p}\gamma_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}(\alpha_{1},x),\alpha_{2},\cdots,\alpha_{p};\\\beta_{1},\cdots,\beta_{q};\end{array}\right]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha_{1};x)_{n}(\alpha_{2})_{n}\cdots(\alpha_{p})_{n}}{(\beta_{1})_{n}\cdots(\beta_{q})_{n}}\frac{z^{n}}{n!}$$
(4)

and

$${}_{p}\Gamma_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}(\alpha_{1},x),\alpha_{2},\cdots,\alpha_{p};\\\beta_{1},\cdots,\beta_{q};\end{array}\right]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{[\alpha_{1};x]_{n}(\alpha_{2})_{n}\cdots(\alpha_{p})_{n}}{(\beta_{1})_{n}\cdots(\beta_{q})_{n}}\frac{z^{n}}{n!},$$
(5)

where, in terms of the incomplete Gamma functions $\gamma(s, x)$ and $\Gamma(s, x)$ defined by (1) and (2), the *incomplete* Pochhammer symbols $(\lambda; x)_{\nu}$ and $[\lambda; x]_{\nu}$ $(\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}; x \ge 0)$ are defined as follows:

$$(\lambda; x)_{\nu} := \frac{\gamma(\lambda + \nu, x)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \qquad (\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}; \ x \ge 0)$$
(6)

and

$$[\lambda; x]_{\nu} := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \nu, x)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \qquad (\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}; \ x \ge 0)$$
(7)

so that, obviously, these incomplete Pochhammer symbols $(\lambda; x)_{\nu}$ and $(\lambda; x)_{\nu}$ satisfy the following decomposition relation:

$$(\lambda; x)_{\nu} + [\lambda; x]_{\nu} := (\lambda)_{\nu} \qquad (\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}; \ x \ge 0),$$
(8)

where $(\lambda)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined (for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$) by (see [28, p. 2 and p. 5]):

$$(\lambda)_{n} := \begin{cases} 1 & (n=0) \\ \lambda(\lambda+1)\dots(\lambda+n-1) & (n\in\mathbb{N}) \end{cases}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \quad \left(\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-}\right) \end{cases}$$
(9)

and $\Gamma(\lambda)$ is the familiar Gamma function.

Remark 1. The argument $x \ge 0$ in the definitions (1) and (2), (4) and (5), (6) and (7), and elsewhere in this paper, is *independent* of the argument $z \in \mathbb{C}$ which occurs in the definitions (4), (5) and (10), and also in the results presented here.

As already pointed out by Srivastava et al. [26, Remark 7], since

$$|(\lambda; x)_n| \leq |(\lambda)_n|$$
 and $|[\lambda; x]_n| \leq |(\lambda)_n|$ $(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; x \geq 0)$

the precise *sufficient* conditions under which the infinite series in definitions (4) and (5) would converge absolutely can be derived from those that are well-documented in the case of the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_{p}F_{q}$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$) (see [17, p. 72-73] and [30, p. 20]; see also [3, 5, 12] and [19]). Indeed, in their special case when x = 0, both ${}_{p}\gamma_{q}$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$) and ${}_{p}\Gamma_{q}$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$) would reduce immediately to the extensivelyinvestigated generalized hypergeometric function ${}_{p}F_{q}$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$). Furthermore, it is easy to see from the definitions (4) and (5), we have the following decomposition formula:

$${}_{p}\gamma_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}(\alpha_{1},x),\alpha_{2},\ldots,\alpha_{p};\\\beta_{1},\ldots,\beta_{q};\end{array}\right]+{}_{p}\Gamma_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}(\alpha_{1},x),\alpha_{2},\ldots,\alpha_{p};\\\beta_{1},\cdots,\beta_{q};\end{array}\right]={}_{p}F_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\ldots,\alpha_{p};\\\beta_{1},\ldots,\beta_{q};\end{array}\right]$$
(10)

in terms of the familiar generalized hypergeometric function $_{p}F_{q}$.

More recently, Çetinkaya [6] introduced and studied various properties of the following two families of the incomplete second Appell hypergeometric functions γ_2 and Γ_2 :

$$\gamma_2[(\alpha, x), \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2; x_1, x_2] = \sum_{m, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha; x)_{m+p}(\beta_1)_m(\beta_2)_p}{(\gamma_1)_m(\gamma_2)_p} \frac{x_1^m}{m!} \frac{x_2^p}{p!}$$
(11)

and

$$\Gamma_{2}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}; x_{1}, x_{2}] = \sum_{m, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha; x]_{m+p}(\beta_{1})_{m}(\beta_{2})_{p}}{(\gamma_{1})_{m}(\gamma_{2})_{p}} \frac{x_{1}^{m}}{m!} \frac{x_{2}^{p}}{p!}.$$
(12)

Motivated essentially by the demonstrated potential for applications of these families of incomplete hypergeometric functions $_{p}\gamma_{q}$ and $_{p}\Gamma_{q}$, and the incomplete second Appell hypergeometric functions γ_{2} and Γ_{2} in many diverse areas of mathematical, physical, engineering and statistical sciences (see, for details, [6, 26] and the references cited therein), here, we aim at investigating, in a rather systematic manner, two families of incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric functions γ_{B}^{H} and Γ_{B}^{H} to present various representations and formulas, for example, integral representations, derivative formula and certain integral representations involving Whittaker function, Bessel and modified Bessel functions. A reduction formula and a recurrence relation of the incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric functions are also considered. For various other investigations involving generalizations of the hypergeometric function $_{p}F_{q}$ of *p* numerator and *q* denominator parameters, which were motivated essentially by the pioneering work of Srivastava *et al.* [26], the interested reader may be referred to several recent papers on the subject (see, for example, [13, 25, 32–36] *and* the references cited in each of these papers).

2. The Incomplete Srivastava's Triple Hypergeometric Functions

In terms of the incomplete Pochhammer symbol $(\lambda; x)_v$ and $[\lambda; x]_v$ defined by (6) and (7), we introduce two families of incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric functions γ_B^H and Γ_B^H as follows: For $\alpha, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$,

$$\gamma_B^H[(\alpha, x), \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3; x_1, x_2, x_3] = \sum_{m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha; x)_{m+p}(\beta_1)_{m+n}(\beta_2)_{n+p}}{(\gamma_1)_m(\gamma_2)_n(\gamma_3)_p} \frac{x_1^m}{m!} \frac{x_2^n}{n!} \frac{x_3^p}{p!}$$
(13)
$$\left(x \ge 0; \ |x_1| < r, \ |x_2| < s, \ |x_3| < t, \ r+s+t+2 \ \sqrt{rst} = 1 \ \text{when} \ x = 0\right)$$

and

$$\Gamma_B^H[(\alpha, x), \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3; x_1, x_2, x_3] = \sum_{m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha; x]_{m+p}(\beta_1)_{m+n}(\beta_2)_{n+p}}{(\gamma_1)_m(\gamma_2)_n(\gamma_3)_p} \frac{x_1^m}{m!} \frac{x_2^n}{n!} \frac{x_3^p}{n!} \frac{x_3^p}{p!}$$
(14)

$$(x \ge 0; |x_1| < r, |x_2| < s, |x_3| < t, r+s+t+2 \sqrt{rst} = 1 \text{ when } x = 0)$$

In view of (8), these incomplete families of Srivastava's triple hypergeometric functions satisfy the following decomposition formula:

$$\gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] + \Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}]$$

$$= H_{B}[\alpha, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}],$$
(15)

where *H_B* is the familiar Srivastava's triple hypergeometric functions (see, for details, [20–24, 29, 30]).

Theorem 1. The incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric functions γ_B^H and Γ_B^H satisfy the following system of partial differential equations:

$$\left[\theta(\theta + \gamma_1 - 1) - x_1(\theta + \psi + \alpha)(\theta + \phi + \beta_1) \right] u = 0$$

$$\left[\phi(\phi + \gamma_2 - 1) - x_2(\theta + \phi + \beta_1)(\phi + \psi + \beta_2) \right] u = 0$$

$$\left[\psi(\psi + \gamma_3 - 1) - x_3(\theta + \psi + \alpha)(\phi + \psi + \beta_2) \right] u = 0,$$

$$(16)$$

where $\theta = x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, $\phi = x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$ and $\psi = x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$ and

$$u = u(x_1, x_2, x_3) := \gamma_B^H[(\alpha, x), \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3; x_1, x_2, x_3] + \Gamma_B^H[(\alpha, x), \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3; x_1, x_2, x_3].$$

Proof. In light of (15), it is easy to prove (16) since H_B satisfies the same system of partial differential equations as in [20]. \Box

Remark 2. It is interesting to note that the special cases of (13) and (14) when $x_2 = 0$ reduce to the known incomplete families of the second Appell hypergeometric functions (11) and (12). Also, the special cases of (13) and (14) when $x_2 = 0$ and $x_3 = 0$ or $x_1 = 0$ are seen to yield the known incomplete families of Gauss hypergeometric functions [26].

In view of the formula (15), it is sufficient to discuss the properties and characteristics of the incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric functions Γ_{R}^{H} .

3. Integral Representations of Γ_{R}^{H}

In this section, we present certain integral representations of the incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric functions by applying (2) and (7). We also obtain various integral representations involving Whittaker function, Bessel and modified Bessel functions.

Theorem 2. The following integral representation for Γ_B^H in (14) holds true:

$$\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta_{1})} \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s-t} t^{\alpha-1} s^{\beta_{1}-1} {}_{0}F_{1}(-; \gamma_{1}; x_{1}st) \Psi_{2}(\beta_{2}; \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{2}s, x_{3}t) dt ds \qquad (17)$$
$$(x \ge 0; \ \Re(x_{2}) < 1, \Re(x_{3}) < 1, \ \Re(\alpha) > 0, \Re(\beta_{1}) > 0 \ when \ x = 0),$$

where Ψ_2 is one of the confluent forms of Appell series in two variables defined by (see, e.g., [29, p. 26, Eq. (22)])

$$\Psi_{2}[a;c_{1},c_{2};x_{1},x_{2}] = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n}}{(c_{1})_{m}(c_{2})_{n}} \frac{x_{1}^{m}}{m!} \frac{x_{2}^{n}}{n!}.$$
(18)

Proof. Considering the integral representations of the incomplete Pochhammer symbol $[\alpha; x]_{m+p}$ in (2) and (7), the classical Pochhammer symbol $(\beta_1)_{m+n}$ and using the definition (18) in (14), we are led to the desired result. \Box

Theorem 3. The following triple integral representation for Γ_B^H in (14) holds true:

$$\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta_{1})\Gamma(\beta_{2})} \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s-t-u} t^{\alpha-1} s^{\beta_{1}-1} u^{\beta_{2}-1} \\ \times {}_{0}F_{1}(-; \gamma_{1}; x_{1}st) {}_{0}F_{1}(-; \gamma_{2}; x_{2}us) {}_{0}F_{1}(-; \gamma_{3}; x_{3}ut) dt ds du$$
(19)

J. Choi et al. / Filomat 30:7 (2016), 1779–1787 1783

$$(x \ge 0; \min\{\mathfrak{R}(\alpha), \mathfrak{R}(\beta_1), \mathfrak{R}(\beta_2)\} > 0 \text{ when } x = 0).$$

Proof. Considering the integral representation of the incomplete Pochhammer symbol $[\alpha; x]_{m+p}$ in (2) and (7), and the classical Pochhammer symbol $(\beta_1)_{m+n}$ and $(\beta_2)_{n+p}$ in (14), we are led to the desired result. \Box

Theorem 4. *The following Euler integral representation for* Γ_B^H in (14) *holds true:*

$$\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] = \frac{1}{B(\beta_{1}, \gamma_{1} - \beta_{1})B(\beta_{2}, \gamma_{3} - \beta_{2})B(1 - \gamma_{1} + \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} - \beta_{1} - 1)} \\ \times \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\beta_{1} - 1} v^{\beta_{1} - \gamma_{1}} w^{\beta_{2} - 1}(1 - u)^{\gamma_{1} - \gamma_{3} - \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}}(1 - v)^{\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} - \beta_{1} - 2}(1 - ux_{1} - wx_{3})^{-\alpha}$$
(20)
$$\times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha, x(1 - ux_{1} - wx_{3}))}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(1 - u - w + uw - uvwx_{2})^{\gamma_{3} - \beta_{2} - 1} du \, dv \, dw$$
$$(x \ge 0; \ 0 < \Re(\gamma_{1} - \beta_{1}) < 1, \Re(\beta_{1}) > 1, \ \Re(\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} - \beta_{1}) > 1, \Re(\gamma_{3}) > \Re(\beta_{2}) > 0 \text{ when } x = 0).$$

Proof. From the definition of incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric functions Γ_B^H in (14), we have

$$\Gamma_B^H[(\alpha, x), \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3; x_1, x_2] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta_1)_n (\beta_2)_n}{(\gamma_2)_n} \Gamma_2[(\alpha, x), \beta_1 + n, \beta_2 + n; \gamma_1, \gamma_3; x_1, x_3] \frac{x_2^n}{n!}.$$
(21)

Employing the integral representation of incomplete second Appell hypergeometric functions Γ_2 [6, p. 8335, Eq. (24)]:

$$\Gamma_{2}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}; x_{1}, x_{2}] = \frac{1}{B(\beta_{1}, \gamma_{1} - \beta_{1})B(\beta_{2}, \gamma_{2} - \beta_{2})} \\ \times \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta_{1} - 1} s^{\beta_{2} - 1} (1 - t)^{\gamma_{1} - \beta_{1} - 1} (1 - s)^{\gamma_{2} - \beta_{2} - 1} (1 - x_{1}t - x_{2}s)^{-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha, x(1 - x_{1}t - x_{2}s))}{\Gamma(\alpha)} dt ds \qquad (22)$$
$$(x \ge 0; \Re(\gamma_{j}) > \Re(\beta_{j}) > 0 \quad (j = 1, 2) \text{ when } x = 0).$$

in (21), and, by uniform convergence, changing the order of summation and integration, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_B^H[(\alpha, x), \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3; x_1, x_2, x_3] &= \frac{1}{B(\beta_1, \gamma_1 - \beta_1)B(\beta_2, \gamma_3 - \beta_2)} \\ &\times \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u^{\beta_1 - 1} w^{\beta_2 - 1} (1 - u)^{\gamma_1 - \beta_1 - 1} (1 - ux_1 - wx_3)^{-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha, x(1 - ux_1 - wx_3))}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \\ &\times {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 - \gamma_1 + \beta_1, \ 1 - \gamma_3 + \beta_2; \\ \gamma_2; \end{array} \frac{uwx_2}{(1 - u)(1 - w)} \right] du \ dw. \end{split}$$

If, now, we use the following integral representation of (see [17] and [28, Chapter 1]):

$${}_{2}F_{1}(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\,\Gamma(c-a)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{a-1} \,(1-t)^{c-a-1} \,(1-zt)^{-b} \,dt$$

$$(\Re(c) > \Re(a) > 0; \, |\arg(1-z)| \le \pi - \epsilon \,(0 < \epsilon < \pi)).$$
(23)

in (??), we are led to the desired integral representation (20) asserted by Theorem 4. \Box

Remark 3. The Whittaker function of two variables $M_{k,m,n}(x, y)$ (see [24, p. 100]), Bessel function $J_{\nu}(z)$ and the modified Bessel function $I_{\nu}(z)$ (see, *e.g.*, [17]; see also [5, 12, 14, 15, 38, 39]) are expressible in terms of the confluent function Ψ_2 and hypergeometric functions $_0F_1$, respectively, as follows:

$$M_{k,m,n}(x,y) = x^{m+\frac{1}{2}} y^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)} \Psi_2(m+n-k+1;2m+1,2n+1;x,y),$$
(24)

$$J_{\nu}(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \,_{0}F_{1}\left(-;\nu+1;-\frac{1}{4}z^{2}\right) \quad (\nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{-})$$
(25)

and

$$I_{\nu}(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \,_{0}F_{1}\left(-;\nu+1;\frac{1}{4}z^{2}\right) \quad (\nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{-}).$$
(26)

Now, applying the relationships (24) to (17), (25) and (26) to (17), and (25) and (26) to (19), respectively, we can deduce certain interesting integral representations for the incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric function in (14) asserted by Corollaries 1, 2, 3 and 4 below. Each of their proofs will be omitted.

Corollary 1. The following integral representation for Γ_B^H in (14) holds true:

$$\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\lambda, x), \mu, \sigma + \rho - k + 1; \nu, 2\sigma + 1, 2\rho + 1; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] = \frac{x_{2}^{-\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} x_{3}^{-\rho - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\mu)} \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(1 - \frac{1}{2}x_{2})s - (1 - \frac{1}{2}x_{3})t} t^{\lambda - \rho - \frac{3}{2}} s^{\mu - \sigma - \frac{3}{2}} {}_{0}F_{1}(-; \nu; x_{1}st) M_{k,\sigma,\rho}(x_{2}s, x_{3}t) dtds,$$
(27)

provided that the involved integral is convergent.

Corollary 2. Each of the following double integral representations holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_{B}^{\prime\prime}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1} + 1, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; -x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_{1} + 1) x_{1}^{-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta_{1})} \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s-t} t^{\alpha-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}-1} s^{\beta_{1}-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}-1} J_{\gamma_{1}}(2\sqrt{x_{1}st}) \Psi_{2}(\beta_{2}; \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{2}s, x_{3}t) dt ds \end{aligned}$$
(28)

and

TT -

$$\Gamma_B^H[(\alpha, x), \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1 + 1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3; x_1, x_2, x_3] = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1) x_1^{-\frac{\gamma_1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta_1)} \int_x^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-s-t} t^{\alpha - \frac{\gamma_1}{2} - 1} s^{\beta_1 - \frac{\gamma_1}{2} - 1} I_{\gamma_1}(2\sqrt{x_1st})) \Psi_2(\beta_2; \gamma_2, \gamma_3; x_2s, x_3t) dt ds,$$
(29)

provided that the involved integrals are convergent.

Corollary 3. The following integral representations for Γ_B^H in (14) hold true:

$$\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\lambda, x), \mu, \sigma + \rho - k + 1; \nu + 1, 2\sigma + 1, 2\rho + 1; -x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] = \frac{\Gamma(\nu + 1)x_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu}x_{2}^{-\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}x_{3}^{-\rho - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\mu)} \times \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(1 - \frac{1}{2}x_{2})s - (1 - \frac{1}{2}x_{3})t} t^{\lambda - \rho - \frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{3}{2}} s^{\mu - \sigma - \frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{3}{2}} J_{\nu}(2\sqrt{x_{1}st}) M_{k,\sigma,\rho}(x_{2}s, x_{3}t) dtds$$
(30)

and

$$\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\lambda, x), \mu, \sigma + \rho - k + 1; \nu + 1, 2\sigma + 1, 2\rho + 1; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] = \frac{\Gamma(\nu + 1)x_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu}x_{2}^{-\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}x_{3}^{-\rho - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\mu)} \times \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(1 - \frac{1}{2}x_{2})s - (1 - \frac{1}{2}x_{3})t} t^{\lambda - \rho - \frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{3}{2}} s^{\mu - \sigma - \frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{3}{2}} I_{\nu}(2\sqrt{x_{1}st}) M_{k,\sigma,\rho}(x_{2}s, x_{3}t) dtds,$$
(31)

provided that the involved integrals are convergent.

Corollary 4. The following triple integral representations for Γ_B^H in (14) hold true:

$$\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1} + 1, \gamma_{2} + 1, \gamma_{3} + 1; -x_{1}, -x_{2}, -x_{3}] = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_{1} + 1)\Gamma(\gamma_{2} + 1)\Gamma(\gamma_{3} + 1)x_{1}^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}}x_{2}^{\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}}x_{3}^{\frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta_{1})\Gamma(\beta_{2})} \times \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s-t-u}t^{\alpha-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}-\frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}-1}s^{\beta_{1}-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}-\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}-1}u^{\beta_{2}-\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}-\frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}-1}J_{\gamma_{1}}(2\sqrt{x_{1}st})J_{\gamma_{2}}(2\sqrt{x_{2}us})J_{\gamma_{3}}(2\sqrt{x_{3}ut})dtdsdu$$
(32)

and

$$\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1} + 1, \gamma_{2} + 1, \gamma_{3} + 1; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_{1} + 1)\Gamma(\gamma_{2} + 1)\Gamma(\gamma_{3} + 1)x_{1}^{\frac{-\gamma_{1}}{2}}x_{2}^{\frac{-\gamma_{2}}{2}}x_{3}^{\frac{-\gamma_{3}}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta_{1})\Gamma(\beta_{2})} \times \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s-t-u}t^{\alpha-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}-\frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}-1}s^{\beta_{1}-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}-\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}-1}u^{\beta_{2}-\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}-\frac{\gamma_{3}}{2}-1}I_{\gamma_{1}}(2\sqrt{x_{1}st})I_{\gamma_{2}}(2\sqrt{x_{2}us})I_{\gamma_{3}}(2\sqrt{x_{3}ut})dtdsdu,$$
(33)

provided that the involved integrals are convergent.

4. Derivative Formula

Differentiating, partially, both sides of (14) with respect to x_1 , x_2 and x_3 , m, n and p times, respectively, we obtain a derivative formula for the incomplete Srivastava's triple hypergeometric function Γ_B^H given in the following theorem.

Theorem 5. The following derivative formula for Γ_B^H holds true:

$$\frac{\partial^{m+n+p}}{\partial x_1^m \partial x_2^n \partial x_3^p} \Gamma_B^H[(\alpha, x), \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3; x_1, x_2, x_3] = \frac{(\alpha)_{m+p}(\beta_1)_{m+n}(\beta_2)_{n+p}}{(\gamma_1)_m (\gamma_2)_n (\gamma_3)_p} \times \Gamma_B^H[(\alpha + m + p, x), \beta_1 + m + n, \beta_2 + n + p; \gamma_1 + m, \gamma_2 + n, \gamma_3 + p; x_1, x_2, x_3].$$
(34)

5. Reduction Formula of Γ_{B}^{H}

Here we give a reduction formula of the incomplete Srivastava triple hypergeometric function Γ_B^H .

Theorem 6. The following reduction formula for Γ_B^H holds true:

$$\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \gamma_{2}; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}; x_{2}x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{3}] = (1 - x_{2})^{-\beta_{1}}(1 - x_{3})^{-\alpha} {}_{4}F_{3} \left[\begin{array}{c} (\alpha, x(1 - x_{3})), \beta_{1}, (\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2})/2, (\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} - 1)/2; \\ \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} - 1; \\ \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} - 1; \end{array} \right].$$
(35)

1785

Proof. Setting $\beta_2 = \gamma_2$, $\gamma_3 = \gamma_2$, $x_1 = x_2x_3$ and using $\Psi_2(\gamma; \gamma, \gamma; x, y) = e^{x+y} {}_0F_1(-; \gamma; xy)$ in the integral representation (17), we have

$$\Gamma_B^H[(\alpha, x), \beta_1, \gamma_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2; x_2 x_3, x_2, x_3] =$$
(36)

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta_1)} \int_x^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-s(1-x_2)-t(1-x_3)} t^{\alpha-1} s^{\beta_1-1} {}_0F_1(-;\gamma_1;x_2x_3st) {}_0F_1(-;\gamma_2;x_2x_3st) dt ds.$$
(37)

Setting $t(1 - x_3) = u$, $s(1 - x_2) = v$ and using well-known result of Erdélyi *et al.* (see [8, p. 185])

$${}_{0}F_{1}(-;a;x) {}_{0}F_{1}(-;b;x) = {}_{2}F_{3} \begin{bmatrix} (a+b)/2, (a+b-1)/2; \\ a,b,a+b-1; \end{bmatrix}$$
(38)

in (36), we are led to the desired reduction formula (35). \Box

6. Recurrence Relation

Here we present a recurrence relation for incomplete Srivastava triple hypergeometric function Γ_B^H .

Theorem 7. The following recurrence relation for Γ_B^H holds true:

$$\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] = \Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha, x), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1} - 1, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] + \frac{\alpha\beta_{1}x_{1}}{\gamma(1-\gamma)}\Gamma_{B}^{H}[(\alpha+1, x), \beta_{1}+1, \beta_{2}; \gamma_{1}+1, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}]$$
(39)

Proof. Using the well-known contiguous relation for the function $_{0}F_{1}$ (see [18, p. 12])

$${}_{0}F_{1}(-;\gamma-1;x) - {}_{0}F_{1}(-;\gamma;x) - \frac{x}{\gamma(\gamma-1)} {}_{0}F_{1}(-;\gamma+1;x) = 0$$

in the integral representation (17), we are led to the desired result. \Box

7. Concluding Remarks and Observations

In our present investigation, with the help of the incomplete Pochhammer symbols $(\lambda; x)_{\nu}$ and $[\lambda; x]_{\nu}$, we have introduced the incomplete Srivastava triple hypergeometric function Γ_B^H , whose special cases when $x_2 = 0$ reduces to the incomplete Appell functions of two variables (see [6]) and when $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 0$ or $x_1 = 0$ reduces to the incomplete Gauss hypergeometric function (see [26]), respectively, and investigated their diverse properties such mainly as integral representations, derivative formula, reduction formula and recurrence relation. The special cases of the results presented here when x = 0 would reduce to the corresponding well-known results for the Srivastava's triple hypergeometric function (see, for details, [20–24, 29, 30]).

References

- M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun (Eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, Tenth Printing, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55, Washington, DC, 1972; Reprinted by Dover Publications, New York, 1965.
- [2] L. C. Andrews, Special Functions for Engineers and Applied Mathematicians, Macmillan Company, New York, 1984.
- [3] W. N. Bailey, Generalized Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1935; Reprinted by Stechert Hafner, New York, 1964.
- [4] Hüseyin Bor, H. M. Srivastava and W. T. Sulaiman, A new application of certain generalized power increasing sequences, *Filomat* 26 (4) (2012), 871–879.
- [5] B. C. Carlson, Special Functions of Applied Mathematics, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco and London, 1977.
- [6] A. Çetinkaya, The incomplete second Appell hypergeometric functions, Appl. Math. Comput. 219 (2013), 8332–8337.

- [7] M. A. Chaudhry and S. M. Zubair, On a Class of Incomplete Gamma Functions with Applications, Chapman and Hall, (CRC Press Company), Boca Raton, London, New York and Washington, D. C., 2001.
- [8] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto and London, 1953.
- [9] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto and London, 1953.
- [10] S. Guo, H. M. Srivastava and Wing-Sum Cheung, Some Properties of Functions Related to Certain Classes of Completely Monotonic Functions and Logarithmically Completely Monotonic Functions, *Filomat* 28 (4) (2014), 821–828.
- [11] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematical Studies, Vol. 204, Elsevier (North-Holland) Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, 2006.
- [12] Y. L. Luke, Mathematical Functions and Their Approximations, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco and London, 1975.
- [13] M.-J. Luo and R. K. Raina, Extended generalized hypergeometric functions and their applications, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 5 (4) (2013), 65–77.
- [14] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and R. P. Soni, Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics, Third Enlarged edition, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen mit besonderer Berücksichtingung der Anwendungsgebiete, Bd. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1966.
- [15] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert and C. W. Clark (Eds.), NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions [With 1 CD-ROM (Windows, Macintosh and UNIX)], US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, DC, 2010; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, 2010.
- [16] A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov and O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series*, Vol. II, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1990.
- [17] E. D. Rainville, Special Functions, Macmillan Company, New York, 1960; Reprinted by Chelsea Publishing Company, Bronx, New York, 1971.
- [18] L. J. Slater, Confluent Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, and New York, 1960.
- [19] L. J. Slater, Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, and New York, 1966.
- [20] H. M. Srivastava, Hypergeometric functions of three variables, *Ganita* 15 (1964), 97–108.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava, On transformations of certain hypergeometric functions of three variables, Publ. Math. Debrecen 12 (1965), 65–74.
- [22] H. M. Srivastava, On the reducibility of certain hypergeometric functions, *Revista Mat. Fis. Teorica, Set. A*, **16** (1966), 7-14.
- [23] H. M. Srivastava, Relations between functions contiguous to certain hypergeometric functions of three variables, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sec. A 36 (1966), 377–385.
- [24] H. M. Srivastava, Some integrals representing triple hypergeometric functions, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 16 (2) (1967), 99–115.
- [25] H. M. Srivastava, A. Çetinkaya and İ. O. Kıymaz, A certain generalized Pochhammer symbol and its applications to hypergeometric functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 226 (2014), 484–491.
- [26] H. M. Srivastava, M. A. Chaudhry and R. P. Agarwal, The incomplete Pochhammer symbols and their applications to hypergeometric and related functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 23 (2012), 659–683.
- [27] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions, Kluwer, Acedemic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2001.
- [28] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, Zeta and q-Zeta Functions and Associated Series and Integrals, Elsevier Science, Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, 2012.
- [29] H. M. Srivastava and P. W. Karlsson, Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series, Halsted Press, (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1985.
- [30] H. M. Srivastava and H. L. Manocha, A Treatise on Generating Functions, Halsted Press, (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1984.
- [31] H. M. Srivastava, M. A. Özarslan and Banu Ylmaz, Some Families of Differential Equations Associated with the Hermite-Based Appell Polynomials and Other Classes of Hermite-Based Polynomials, *Filomat* 28 (4) (2014), 695–708.
- [32] R. Srivastava, Some properties of a family of incomplete hypergeometric functions, Russian J. Math. Phys. 20 (2013), 121–128.
- [33] R. Srivastava, Some generalizations of Pochhammer's symbol and their associated families of hypergeometric functions and hypergeometric polynomials, *Appl. Math. Inform. Sci.* 7 (2013), 2195–2206.
- [34] R. Srivastava, Some classes of generating functions associated with a certain family of extended and generalized hypergeometric functions, Appl. Math. Comput. 243 (2014), 132–137.
- [35] R. Srivastava and N. E. Cho, Generating functions for a certain class of incomplete hypergeometric polynomials, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 219 (2012), 3219–3225.
- [36] R. Srivastava and N. E. Cho, Some extended Pochhammer symbols and their applications involving generalized hypergeometric polynomials, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 234 (2014), 277–285.
- [37] N. M. Temme, Special Functions: An Introduction to Classical Functions of Mathematical Physics, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1996.
- [38] G. N. Watson, A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, 1944.
- [39] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis: An Introduction to the General Theory of Infinite Processes and of Analytic Functions; With an Account of the Principal Transcendental Functions, Fourth edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, 1963.
- [40] Qing-Hua Xu, Chun-Bo Lv, Nan-Chen Luo and H. M. Srivastava, Sharp Coefficient Estimates for a Certain General Class of Spirallike Functions by Means of Differential Subordination, *Filomat* 27 (7) (2013), 1351–1356.