# Existence and Multiplicity of Solutions for Nonlinear Elliptic Equations of $p$-Laplace Type in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ 

Ji Soo Lee ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Yun-Ho Kim ${ }^{\text {a,* }}$<br>${ }^{a}$ Department of Mathematics Education, Sangmyung University, Seoul 110-743, Republic of Korea


#### Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the following elliptic equation: $-\operatorname{div}(\varphi(x, \nabla u))=\lambda f(x, u) \quad$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where the function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is of type $|v|^{p-2} v$ with a real constant $p>1$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies a Carathéodory condition.


## 1. Introduction

The differential equations involving $p$-Laplacian have been interested since they arise in various contexts of physical phenomena, for instance, in the study of non-Newtonian fluids. The quantity $p$ is a characteristic of the medium. Media with $p=2$ (respectively, $p<2, p>2$ ) are called Newtonian (respectively, pseudoplastic, dilatant). The $p$-Laplacian also appears in the search of flow through porous media ( $p=3 / 2$ ), nonlinear elasticity ( $p \geq 2$ ) and glaciology ( $p \in(1,4 / 3]$ ). Other applications of such problems are to obtaining soliton-like solutions of Lorentz invariant nonlinear field equations. We refer to $[2,5,8,9,14,15]$ for details and further references therein.

In this paper, we establish the existence and multiplicity results of nontrivial weak solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations of the $p$-Laplace type

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(\varphi(x, \nabla u))=\lambda f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is of type $|v|^{p-2} v$ with a real constant $p>1$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies a Carathéodory condition. The $p$-Laplace type operator $\operatorname{div}(\varphi(x, \nabla u))$ is the more generalized form of the $p$-Laplacian $\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$.

As considering an abstract critical point theory which is one of the crucial tools for finding solutions to elliptic equations of variational type, Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [1] investigated the existence of solutions of the second order uniformly elliptic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)+b(x) u=f(x, u) \text { in } \Omega \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]subject to Dirichlet boundary condition where $f$ is odd with respect to $u$ and $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Moreover, they proved that the equations above had infinitely many distinct pairs of solutions under suitable conditions. When $\Omega$ is the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, the existence of a positive solution, and under some conditions, infinitely many solutions of the semilinear elliptic equations (1) are observed by Bartsch and Wang [3]. Yu [18] showed the existence of solutions for the $p$-Laplacian problem
\[

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+b(x)|u|^{p-2} u=f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\ \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u=0, & \end{cases}
$$
\]

where $1<p<N$ and $\Omega$ is an exterior domain. De Nápoli and Mariani [14] established the existence and multiplicity results of nontrivial weak solutions for problem of the $p$-Laplace type with Dirichlet boundary condition. In order to apply the Mountain pass theorem they assumed that the functional $\Phi$ which was induced by $\varphi$ was uniform convex, i.e., there exists a positive constant $k$ such that

$$
\Phi\left(x, \frac{\xi+\eta}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi(x, \xi)+\frac{1}{2} \Phi(x, \eta)-k|\xi-\eta|^{p}
$$

for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. But, for $1<p<2$, it is well known that this condition cannot be applicable for the $p$-Laplacian problems. For instance, the functional $\Phi(x, t)=(1 / p) t^{p}$ is not uniformly convex for $t>0$ and $1<p<2$.

The first goal of this paper is to prove the existence of at least one nontrivial weak solution for problem (B) in the weighted Sobolev spaces, without the assumption about uniform convexity of the functional $\Phi$. Moreover, we shall verify that there exist two different sequences of critical points using the variational method, namely, Fountain theorem (Theorem 3.6 in [17]). However, it is not easy to apply Fountain theorem to gain infinitely many solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations involving $p$-Laplace type on unbounded domain. In this view, we give a specific proof of the existence of the infinitely many solutions for problem (B) by using Fountain theorem.

The second purpose of this paper is to deduce the existence of two distinct nontrivial weak solutions for problem (B) without assuming Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition (see [1]) which was inspired by [7]. But, without this condition, we can not ensure the boundedness of the Palais-Smale sequence of the EulerLagrange functional which is crucial to apply critical point theory. To overcome this difficulty, we show the coercivity of the functional corresponding to our problem under appropriate hypotheses, and then we employ a result from Theorem 1.2 in Struwe [16] in order to obtain a critical point of the functional.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some definitions of the basic function space which is treated in this paper (see $[6,11,18]$ ). Under certain conditions on $\varphi$ and $f$, we establish the existence of at least one nontrivial solution for problem (B) and infinitely many solutions by applying variational methods. In Section 3, we show there exist at least two distinct nontrivial weak solutions for problem (B) without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition.

## 2. Existence of Solutions

In this section, we investigate the existence of at least one nontrivial weak solution and infinitely many solutions by employing the Mountain pass theorem and Fountain theorem. Before dealing with our main results, we recall some definitions and properties of the weighted Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. For a profound treatments on these spaces, we refer to [6,11, 18]. Let $1<p<N$ and $p^{*}:=N p /(N-p)$. Assume that
(A) $a \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and there exists a positive constant $a_{0}$ such that

$$
a(x) \geq a_{0} \quad \text { for almost all } \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Recall that

$$
w(x)=\frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{p}} \text { for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

is the weight function which appears in Hardy's inequality. Let $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, w\right)$ be the weighted Lebesgue space that consists of all measurable real-valued functions $u$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w(x)|u|^{p} d x<\infty
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, w\right)}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w(x)|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Consider the weighted Sobolev space $X:=W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, a, w\right)$ denoted as the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{X}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w(x)|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

It follows from Hardy's inequality and the assumption (A) that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w(x)|u|^{p} d x \leq \frac{1}{a_{0}}\left(\frac{p}{N-p}\right)^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p} d x
$$

which implies that on $X$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ is equivalent to the other norm $\|\cdot\|_{a}$ given by

$$
\|u\|_{a}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Note that there exist positive constants $c_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}\|u\|_{X} \leq\|u\|_{a} \leq c_{1}\|u\|_{X} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in X$. The following Sobolev inequality will be used in the sequel:

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}}} \leq d_{0}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for some positive constant $d_{0}$ (see [6]).
Definition 2.1. We say that $u \in X$ is a weak solution of problem (B) if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v d x=\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, u) v d x
$$

for all $v \in X$.
Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be the continuous derivative with respect to $v$ of the mapping $\Phi_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}, \Phi_{0}=\Phi_{0}(x, v)$, that is, $\varphi(x, v)=\frac{d}{d v} \Phi_{0}(x, v)$. Assume that $\varphi$ and $\Phi_{0}$ satisfy the following conditions:
(J1) The following equalities

$$
\Phi_{0}(x, \mathbf{0})=0 \text { and } \Phi_{0}(x, v)=\Phi_{0}(x,-v)
$$

hold for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(J2) There are a function $\sigma \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and a nonnegative constant $b$ such that

$$
|\varphi(x, v)| \leq \sigma(x)+b|v|^{p-1}
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(J3) $\Phi_{0}(x, \cdot)$ is strictly convex in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(J4) The following relation

$$
c_{*} a(x)|v|^{p} \leq \varphi(x, v) \cdot v \leq p \Phi_{0}(x, v)
$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $c_{*}$ is a positive constant.

Let us define the functional $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\Phi(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi_{0}(x, \nabla u) d x
$$

for any $u \in X$. Under the assumptions (A), (J1)-(J2) and (J4), it is easy to check that the functional $\Phi$ is well defined on $X$, by the similar calculations as in [12]. And then we can modify the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [10] to get that $\Phi \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and its Fréchet derivative is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u, v \in X$.
As a key tool in obtaining our main results, we give the operator $\Phi^{\prime}$ is a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$.
Lemma 2.2. Assume that (A) and (J1)-(J4) hold. Then the functional $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and weakly lower semicontinuous on $X$. Moreover, the operator $\Phi^{\prime}$ is a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$, i.e., if $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0$, then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The analogous arguments as in Lemma 2.2 in [4] yield the assertion clearly.

Until now, we have considered some properties for the integral operator corresponding to the divergence part in problem (B). Now we need the assumptions for $f$ to establish our main results in this section. Let us put $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d$ s. For $1<p<q<p^{*}$, we assume that
(F1) $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies a Carathéodory condition in the sense that $f(\cdot, t)$ is measurable for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f(x, \cdot)$ is continuous for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(F2) $f$ satisfies the following growth condition: for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq|m(x)||t|^{q-1},
$$

where $m \in L^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-q}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and meas $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: m(x)>0\right\}>0$.
(F3) There exists a positive constant $\theta$ such that $\theta>p$ and

$$
0<\theta F(x, t) \leq f(x, t) t \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \text { and } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

(F4) $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, t)}{w(x)|t|^{p-1}}=0$ uniformly for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Define the functionals $\Psi, I_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\Psi(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x \text { and } I_{\lambda}(u)=\Phi(u)-\lambda \Psi(u)
$$

for any $u \in X$. Then we obtain that $\Psi, I_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and these Fréchet derivatives are

$$
\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, u) v d x
$$

and

$$
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, u) v d x
$$

for any $u, v \in X$, respectively.
Lemma 2.3. Assume that (A) and (F1)-(F2) hold. Then $\Psi$ and $\Psi^{\prime}$ are compact operators.
Proof. The proof is absolutely the same as those of Lemma 4.4 in [6] and is omitted here.
With the aid of Lemma 2.3, we investigate that the functional $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, which is denoted by (PS)-condition for short in the sequel. The functional $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the (PS)-condition if and only if each sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ satisfying $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ has a convergent subsequence for $c \in \mathbb{R}$. This fact plays an important role in finding at least one nontrivial weak solution for problem (B). The basic idea of the following assertions is derived by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 in [14]. But we give a specific proof because we deal with the case of unbounded domain and the basic function spaces which are treated in this paper are different from those in [14].

Lemma 2.4. Assume that (A), (J1)-(J4) and (F1)-(F3) hold. Then $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the (PS)-condition for all $\lambda>0$.
Proof. Since the operator $\Psi^{\prime}$ is compact, $\Psi^{\prime}$ is a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a $(P S)$-sequence in $X$, i.e., $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Because $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$and $X$ is reflexive, it is enough to verify that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$. Suppose to the contrary that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty$, in the subsequence sense. By the assumption (J4), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\Phi_{0}\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta} \varphi\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla u_{n}\right) d x \\
& +\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{\theta} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
\geq & \left(1-\frac{p}{\theta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi_{0}\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{\theta} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta$ is the positive constant from (F3). It follows that

$$
\left(1-\frac{p}{\theta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi_{0}\left(x, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x \leq I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle
$$

for $n$ large enough. Therefore, we get

$$
c_{0}^{p}\left(1-\frac{p}{\theta}\right) \frac{c_{*}}{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X}^{p} \leq I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{X^{*}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X}
$$

for the positive constant $c_{0}$ which appears in the relation (2). Since $1<p<\theta$, this is a contradiction.
As our first main result, we establish the following consequence for the existence of a nontrivial weak solution for problem (B) by employing the Mountain pass theorem and Lemma 2.4.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that (A), (J1)-(J4) and (F1)-(F4) hold. Then problem (B) has a nontrivial weak solution for all $\lambda>0$.

Proof. Note that $I_{\lambda}(0)=0$. Since $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the $(P S)$-condition by Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show the geometric conditions in the Mountain pass theorem, i.e.,
(1) there is a positive constant $R$ such that

$$
\inf _{\|u\|_{X}=R} I_{\lambda}(u)>0 ;
$$

(2) there exists an element $v$ in $X$ satisfying

$$
I_{\lambda}(t v) \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow \infty .
$$

First, we prove the condition (1). By Hardy's inequality, there exists a positive constant $C^{*}$ such that $\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, w\right)} \leq C^{*}\|u\|_{X}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be small enough such that $\lambda \varepsilon C^{*} \leq c_{0}^{p} c_{*} /(2 p)$ for the positive constant $c_{*}$ from (J4). By the assumptions (F2) and (F4), for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant denoted by $C(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$
|F(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon w(x)|t|^{p}+C(\varepsilon)|m(x)||t|^{q}
$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then it follows from the assumptions (A), (J4) and the Sobolev and Hölder's inequalities that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi_{0}(x, \nabla u) d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{c_{*}}{p} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varepsilon w(x)|u|^{p}+C(\varepsilon)|m(x) \| u|^{q}\right) d x \\
& \geq \frac{c_{*}}{p}\|u\|_{a}^{p}-\lambda \varepsilon C^{*}\|u\|_{X}^{p}-\lambda C(\varepsilon)\|m\|_{L^{p^{*}}}\|u\|_{\left.\mathbb{R}^{*}\right)}^{q} \|_{p^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \geq \frac{c_{0}^{p} c_{*}}{p}\|u\|_{X}^{p}-\lambda \varepsilon C^{*}\|u\|_{X}^{p}-\lambda C(\varepsilon) C_{1}\|u\|_{X}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a positive constant $C_{1}$. Then we deduce that

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{c_{0}^{p} c_{*}}{2 p}\|u\|_{X}^{p}-C(\lambda, \varepsilon) C_{1}\|u\|_{X}^{q}
$$

Since $q>p$, there exist $R>0$ small enough and $\delta>0$ such that $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \delta>0$ when $\|u\|_{X}=R$.
Let us show the condition (2). Observe that the assumption (J4) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}(x, s \xi) \leq s^{p} \Phi_{0}(x, \xi) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Indeed, if we define $g(t)=\Phi_{0}(x, t \xi)$, then we have

$$
g^{\prime}(t)=\varphi(x, t \xi) \xi=\frac{1}{t} \varphi(x, t \xi) \cdot t \xi \leq \frac{p}{t} \Phi_{0}(x, t \xi)=\frac{p}{t} g(t)
$$

It means that

$$
\frac{g^{\prime}(t)}{g(t)} \leq \frac{p}{t}
$$

By integrating the inequality above over $(1, s)$, we deduce

$$
\ln g(s)-\ln g(1) \leq p \ln s
$$

and thus we achieve

$$
\frac{g(s)}{g(1)} \leq s^{p}
$$

so that the inequality (4) holds. Similarly, we get from the assumption (F3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, s \eta) \geq s^{\theta} F(x, \eta) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $s \geq 1$. Take $v \in X \backslash\{0\}$. Then by the inequalities (4) and (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(t v) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi_{0}(x, t \nabla v) d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, t v) d x \\
& \leq t^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi_{0}(x, \nabla v) d x-\lambda t^{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, v) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t \geq 1$. Since $1<p<\theta$, we conclude that $I_{\lambda}(t v) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.
From now on, adding the oddity on $f$ with respect to $t$ and using Fountain theorem (Theorem 3.6 of [17]), we shall verify the existence of infinitely many pairs of weak solutions for problem (B). To do this, we consider the following Lemma which holds for a reflexive and separable Banach space.

Lemma 2.6. Let $W$ be a reflexive and separable Banach space. Then there are $\left\{e_{n}\right\} \subseteq W$ and $\left\{f_{n}^{*}\right\} \subseteq W^{*}$ such that

$$
W=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{n}: n=1,2, \cdots\right\}}, \quad W^{*}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{n}^{*}: n=1,2, \cdots\right\}},
$$

and

$$
\left\langle f_{i}^{*}, e_{j}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=j \\ 0 & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

Let us denote $W_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{n}\right\}, Y_{k}=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{k} W_{n}$ and $Z_{k}=\overline{\bigoplus_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{n}}$.

Theorem 2.7. Assume that (A), (J1)-(J4) and (F1)-(F4) hold. If $f(x,-t)=-f(x, t)$ holds for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$, then $I_{\lambda}$ has a sequence of critical points $\left\{ \pm u_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that $I_{\lambda}\left( \pm u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Obviously, $I_{\lambda}$ is an even functional and satisfies (PS)-condition. It is enough to show that there exist $\rho_{k}>\delta_{k}>0$ such that
(1) $b_{k}:=\inf \left\{I_{\lambda}(u): u \in Z_{k},\|u\|_{X}=\delta_{k}\right\} \rightarrow \infty \quad$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(2) $a_{k}:=\max \left\{I_{\lambda}(u): u \in Y_{k},\|u\|_{X}=\rho_{k}\right\} \leq 0$
for $k$ large enough.
Denote

$$
\alpha_{k}:=\sup _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|_{X}=1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{p^{*}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)
$$

Then $\alpha_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, suppose that it is false. Then there exist $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \in Z_{k}$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{X}=1, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{p^{*}}\left|u_{k}\right|^{p^{*}} d x \geq \varepsilon_{0}
$$

for all $k \geq k_{0}$. Since the sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$, there exists $u \in X$ such that $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\left\langle f_{j}^{*}, u\right\rangle=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f_{j}^{*}, u_{k}\right\rangle=0
$$

for $j=1,2, \cdots$. Hence we get $u=0$. But, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0} \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{p^{*}}\left|u_{k}\right|^{p^{*}} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{p^{*}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x=0
$$

which implies a contradiction.
For any $u \in Z_{k}$, it follows from the assumptions (J4),(F2) and the Sobolev and Hölder's inequalities that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi_{0}(x, \nabla u) d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{c_{*}}{p} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|m(x)||u|^{q} d x \\
& \geq \frac{c_{*}}{p}\|u\|_{a}^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{q}\|m\|_{L^{\frac{p}{}}}{ }^{p^{*}-q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\
& \|u\|_{L^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q} \\
& \geq \frac{c_{0}^{p} c_{*}}{p}\|u\|_{X}^{p}-\frac{\lambda C_{2}}{q} \alpha_{k}^{q}\|u\|_{X}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a positive constant $C_{2}$. Choose $\delta_{k}=\left(\lambda \alpha_{k}^{q} C_{2} / c_{0}^{p} c_{*}\right)^{1 /(p-q)}$. Then $\delta_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ since $p<q$ and $\alpha_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, if $u \in Z_{k}$ and $\|u\|_{X}=\delta_{k}$, we obtain that

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \geq c_{0}^{p} c_{*}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \delta_{k}^{p} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty,
$$

which means the condition (1).
Next we show the condition (2). Set $\varepsilon=1$, then by the assumption (F4), there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, s) \leq w(x)|s|^{p-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for all $|s|<\delta$. Also we know that there exists $\ell \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\ell(x)>0$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, s) \geq \ell(x)|s|^{\theta} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for all $|s| \geq \delta$. Indeed, by the assumption (F3), we have that for all $t \geq \delta$

$$
\frac{\theta}{t} \leq \frac{f(x, t)}{F(x, t)}=\frac{\frac{d}{d t} F(x, t)}{F(x, t)}
$$

Integrating this inequality above over $(\delta, s)$, it follows that

$$
\int_{\delta}^{s} \frac{\theta}{t} d t \leq \int_{\delta}^{s} \frac{\frac{d}{d t} F(x, t)}{F(x, t)} d t
$$

and so

$$
\ln \left(\frac{s}{\delta}\right)^{\theta} \leq \ln \frac{F(x, s)}{F(x, \delta)}
$$

Hence we get that

$$
F(x, s) \geq \frac{s^{\theta}}{\delta^{\theta}} F(x, \delta)
$$

In a similar way, we obtain that

$$
F(x, s) \geq \frac{|s|^{\theta}}{\delta^{\theta}} F(x,-\delta)
$$

for all $s \leq-\delta$. Therefore, $F(x, s) \geq \ell(x)|s|^{\theta}$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for all $|s| \geq \delta$, where $\ell(x)=$ $\min \left\{F(x, \delta) / \delta^{\theta}, F(x,-\delta) / \delta^{\theta}\right\}$. Also the assumptions (F2) and (F3) imply that $\ell \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\ell(x)>0$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

For any $u \in Y_{k}$, by the assumptions (A), (J2), (F3) and inequalities (6) and (7), we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}(u)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi_{0}(x, \nabla u) d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\sigma(x)||\nabla u| d x+\frac{b}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x \\
& -\lambda\left(\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \mid u(x) \geq \delta\right\}} \ell(x)|u|^{\theta} d x-\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|<\delta\right\}} \frac{1}{p} w(x)|u|^{p} d x\right) \\
\leq & \|\sigma\|_{L^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+\frac{b}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p}+\frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|<\delta\right\}} w(x)|u|^{p} d x \\
& +\lambda \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|<\delta\right\}} \theta \min \left\{\frac{F(x, \delta)}{\delta^{\theta}}, \frac{F(x,-\delta)}{\delta^{\theta}}\right\} \frac{|u|^{\theta}}{\theta} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \ell(x) w(x)|u|^{\theta} d x \\
\leq & C\|\sigma\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|u\|_{X}+\left(\frac{C^{p} b}{p}+\frac{C^{*} \lambda}{p}\right)\|u\|_{X}^{p} \\
& +\lambda \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|<\delta\right\}} \frac{\min \{f(x, \delta) \delta, f(x,-\delta)(-\delta)\}}{\delta^{\theta}} \frac{|u|^{\theta-p}}{\theta}|u|^{p} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \ell(x) w(x)|u|^{\theta} d x \\
\leq & C\|\sigma\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|u\|_{X}+\left(\frac{C^{p} b}{p}+\frac{C^{*} \lambda}{p}\right)\|u\|_{X}^{p} \\
& +\lambda \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|<\delta\right\}} \frac{\delta^{p-1}}{\delta^{\theta-1}} \frac{\delta^{\theta-p}}{\theta} w(x)|u|^{p} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \ell(x) w(x)|u|^{\theta} d x \\
\leq & C\|\sigma\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|u\|_{X}+\left(\frac{C^{p} b}{p}+\frac{C^{*} \lambda}{p}+\frac{C^{*} \lambda}{\theta}\right)\|u\|_{X}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \ell(x) w(x)|u|^{\theta} d x, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

for a positive constant $C=c_{1} /\left(a_{0}\right)^{1 / p}$, where $c_{1}$ is the positive constant from the relation (2). By the Hölder's inequality, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \ell(x) w(x)|u|^{\theta} d x & \leq\|\ell\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|w|u|^{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \leq\|\ell\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w(x)|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w(x) d x\right)^{\frac{p-\theta}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $w \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we conclude that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \ell(x) w(x)|u|^{\theta} d x \leq C_{3}\|u\|_{X}^{\theta}
$$

for a positive constant $C_{3}$. Notice that in the finite dimensional subspace $X_{1}$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \ell w\right)}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ (see [17]). Therefore, it follows from (8) that

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \leq C\|\sigma\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|u\|_{X}+\left(\frac{C^{p} b}{p}+\frac{C^{*} \lambda}{p}+\frac{C^{*} \lambda}{\theta}\right)\|u\|_{X}^{p}-\lambda C_{4}\|u\|_{X}^{\theta}
$$

for a positive constant $C_{4}$. Since $\theta>p$, we get that

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow-\infty \text { as }\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty
$$

and thus we can choose $\rho_{k}>\delta_{k}>0$ such that $\|u\|_{X}=\rho_{k}$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Multiplicity of Solutions

In this section, we will prove that problem (B) has two distinct nontrivial weak solutions in $X$ without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. However, without this condition, the boundedness of the (PS)-sequence of the Euler-Lagrange functional is not guaranteed, so we can not apply critical point theory to obtain a nontrivial weak solution for problem (B). To overcome this difficulty, we modify some assumptions for $\varphi$ and $f$. Then we shall investigate that the functional corresponding to our problem is coercive, which plays a key role in establishing the main result of this section, using the analogous arguments as in Theorem 2.1 in Struwe [16]. The multiplicity result is motivated by the work of Fu and Zhang [7] which had been studied the existence of at least two distinct nontrivial weak solutions for the elliptic equations with variable exponents.

We assume the following condition instead of the assumption (J2):
(J5) There exists a positive constant $c_{2}$ such that

$$
|\varphi(x, v)| \leq c_{2}|v|^{p-1},
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Even though the condition (J2) is replaced by (J5), the analogous statements about the functional $\Phi$ in Section 2 hold in the usual manner.

Next, we assume that $f$ satisfies the following conditions:
(FM1) $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Carathéodory condition.
(FM2) For all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq|h(x)||t|^{\gamma-1}
$$

where $h \in L^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-\gamma}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\gamma$ is a positive constant with $1<\gamma<p$.
(FM3) There exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
f(x, t) \geq s(x) t^{\gamma_{0}-1}
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $0<t \leq \delta$, where $s \geq 0, s \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $1<\gamma_{0}<\gamma$.
Under the assumptions (A) and (FM1)-(FM2), we deduce that the functional $\Psi$ is compact operator on X. Furthermore, we obtain the fact that the functional $I_{\lambda}$ is coercive for all $\lambda>0$.

Lemma 3.1. Assume that (A), (J1), (J3)-(J5) and (FM1)-(FM2) hold. Then $I_{\lambda}$ is coercive for all $\lambda>0$, i.e., $I_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof. For any $u \in X$ and $\lambda>0$, it follows from the assumptions (J4) and (FM2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\Phi(u)-\lambda \Psi(u) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi_{0}(x, \nabla u) d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{c_{*}}{p} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p} d x-\frac{\lambda}{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|h(x)||u|^{\gamma} d x \\
& \geq \frac{c_{*}}{p}\|u\|_{a}^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{\gamma}\|h\|_{L^{p^{p}-\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\gamma} \\
& \geq \frac{c_{0}^{p} c_{*}}{p}\|u\|_{X}^{p}-\frac{\lambda C_{1}}{\gamma}\|u\|_{X}^{\gamma} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for a positive constant $C_{1}$. Since $1<\gamma<p$, we conclude that

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow+\infty \text { for all } u \in X \text { and } \lambda>0
$$

Lemma 3.2. Assume that (A), (J1), (J3)-(J5) and (FM1)-(FM2) hold. Then there exist positive constants $\rho$ and $r$ such that $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq r>0$ for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{X}=\rho$ and for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, where $\lambda^{*}$ is a positive constant.

Proof. Let $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{X}=\rho>0$. By the inequality (9), we yield

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}(u) & \geq \frac{c_{0}^{p} c_{*}}{p} \rho^{p}-\frac{\lambda C_{1}}{\gamma} \rho^{\gamma} \\
& =\rho^{\gamma}\left(\frac{c_{0}^{p} c_{*}}{p} \rho^{p-\gamma}-\frac{\lambda C_{1}}{\gamma}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

for the same positive constant $C_{1}$ as in Lemma 3.1. If we define the quantity

$$
\lambda^{*}=\frac{c_{0}^{p} c_{*} \gamma \rho^{p-\gamma}}{C_{1} p}
$$

then it follows from the inequality (10) that there exists $r=c_{0}^{p} c_{*} \rho^{p} / p>0$ such that

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \geq r>0
$$

for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{X}=\rho$ and for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$.
Lemma 3.3. Assume that (A), (J1), (J3)-(J5) and (FM1)-(FM3) hold. Then there exists $\phi \in X$ such that $\phi \geq 0, \phi \neq 0$ and $I_{\lambda}(\eta \phi)<0$ for $\eta>0$ small enough.

Proof. Let $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ such that $\phi(x) \equiv 1, x \in B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right) ; 0 \leq \phi(x) \leq 1,|\nabla \phi(x)| \leq 1 / R$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq R\right\}$. Then for any $\eta \in(0,1)$ it follows from the assumptions (J5) and (FM3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(\eta \phi) & =\Phi(\eta \phi)-\lambda \Psi(\eta \phi) \\
& =\int_{B_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \Phi_{0}(x, \eta \nabla \phi) d x-\lambda \int_{B_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F(x, \eta \phi) d x \\
& \leq \int_{B_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{c_{2}}{p} \eta^{p}|\nabla \phi|^{p} d x-\lambda \int_{B_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{\eta^{\gamma_{0}}}{\gamma_{0}}|s(x)||\phi|^{\gamma_{0}} d x \\
& \leq \frac{c_{2} \eta^{p}}{p} \int_{B_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)}|\nabla \phi|^{p} d x-\frac{\lambda \eta^{\gamma_{0}}}{\gamma_{0}} \int_{B_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)}|s(x)| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose a positive constant $\delta$ such that

$$
0<\delta<\min \left\{1, \frac{\frac{\lambda p}{c_{2} \gamma_{0}} \int_{B_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)}|s(x)| d x}{\int_{B_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)}|\nabla \phi|^{p} d x}\right\}
$$

then $\eta<\delta^{1 /\left(p-\gamma_{0}\right)}$ implies that

$$
I_{\lambda}(\eta \phi)<0 .
$$

By the coercivity of the functional $I_{\lambda}$, we get that there exists a global minimizer $u_{1} \in X$ of $I_{\lambda}$ (Theorem 1.2 in [16]). This together with Lemma 3.3 yields that

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}\right)=\inf _{u \in X \backslash\{0\}} I_{\lambda}(u)<0 .
$$

Consequently, we deduce that $u_{1}$ is a global minimizer of the functional $I_{\lambda}$ in $X$ for any $\lambda>0$.

In the rest of this section, we will show that there exists another nontrivial weak solution for problem (B). Set

$$
g(x, t)= \begin{cases}f(x, t) & \text { if } t \leq u_{1}(x) \\ f\left(x, u_{1}(x)\right) & \text { if } t>u_{1}(x)\end{cases}
$$

where $u_{1}$ is a nontrivial weak solution of problem (B). Let us put $G(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(x, s) d s$, then we define the functional $\tilde{\Psi}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\tilde{\Psi}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(x, u) d x
$$

It is not hard to check that $\tilde{\Psi} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and its Fréchet derivative is

$$
\left\langle\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x, u) v d x
$$

for all $u, v \in X$. Next we define the functional $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u)=\Phi(u)-\lambda \tilde{\Psi}(u)
$$

Then the same arguments as those used for the functional $I_{\lambda}$ imply that $\tilde{I}_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and its Fréchet derivative is given by

$$
\left\langle\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x, u) v d x
$$

for any $u, v \in X$. In addition, the fact that $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$ is also coercive for all $\lambda>0$ is obtained immediately; see Lemma 3.1.

Now we prove the existence of at least two distinct nontrivial weak solutions for problem (B) for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that (A), (J1), (J3)-(J5) and (FM1)-(FM3) hold. If $f(x, t) t \geq 0$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$, then problem (B) has at least two distinct nontrivial weak solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}$ in $X$ with $u_{2}(x) \leq u_{1}(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Let $u_{1}$ be a nontrivial weak solution of problem (B). Then it follows from Lemma 3.2 that there exists a positive constant $r_{0}$ with $0<r_{0}<\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{X}$ such that

$$
\inf _{\|u\|_{X}=r_{0}} \tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u)>0=\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(0)
$$

And by the definition of $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$, we get that $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}\right)=I_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}\right)<0$ for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ where $\lambda^{*}$ is the quantity defined in Lemma 3.3. Hence we can apply the Mountain pass theorem to find another critical point of the functional $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$. Then we deduce that there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c>0$ and $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $c=\inf _{\rho \in P} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \tilde{I}_{\lambda}(\rho(t))$ and $P=\left\{\rho \in C([0,1], X): \rho(0)=0, \rho(1)=u_{1}\right\}$. Since the functional $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$ is coercive, we obtain that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$ and passing to a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$. We may assume that there exists an element $u \in X$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In order to show that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we need to consider the following equality:

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle=\left\langle\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle+\lambda\left\langle\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle .
$$

Since $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ and $\left\langle\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it suffices to show that

$$
\left\langle\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left\langle\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle\right|= & \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x\right| \\
\leq & \int_{B_{K}(0)}\left|g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{k}(0)}\left|g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{K}(0)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x| \leq K\right\}$. For the first term of the right side of the inequality (11), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{K}(0)}\left|g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x & \leq \int_{B_{K}(0)}|h(x)|\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}+|u|^{\gamma-1}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \\
& \leq\|h\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|\left|u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}+|u|^{\gamma-1}\right\|_{L^{\prime}\left(B_{K}(0)\right)}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{\nu}\left(B_{k}(0)\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1<\gamma<p^{*}$, the embedding $W^{1, p}\left(B_{K}(0)\right) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^{\gamma}\left(B_{K}(0)\right)$ implies $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{\gamma}\left(B_{K}(0)\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $N(K) \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $n \geq N(K)$ implies $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L \nu\left(B_{K}(0)\right)}<\varepsilon$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{k}(0)}\left|g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \leq C_{2} \varepsilon \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a positive constant $C_{2}$. The second term of the right side of the relation (11) is estimated by

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{K}(0)}\left|g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \leq\left\|g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right\|_{\left.L^{p^{p}}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{K}(0)\right)\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{K}(0)\right)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{K}(0)}\left|g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right|^{\left(p^{\nu}\right)^{\prime}} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{K}(0)}\left\{|h(x)|\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}+|u|^{\gamma-1}\right)\right\}^{\left(p^{+}\right)^{\prime}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C_{3}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{K}(0)}|h(x)|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{q^{p} p^{\gamma}}{q}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{K}(0)}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}+|u|^{\nu-1}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{\gamma^{*}-1}} d x\right\}^{\frac{\gamma^{p-1}}{p^{-1}}} \\
& \leq C_{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{K}(0)}|h(x)|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{\left(p^{p}\right)^{\gamma}}{q}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{K}(0)}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|+|u|\right)^{p^{*}} d x\right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p^{p-1}}} \\
& \leq C_{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{k}(0)}|h(x)|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{\left(q^{p}\right)^{\prime}}{q}}\left\|u_{n}+u\right\|_{L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\left(\|^{p}\right)^{\prime}(\gamma-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for positive constants $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$. As the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$, by the Sobolev inequality, the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is also bounded in $L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. So the sequence $\left\{u_{n}+u\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and it is immediate from $h \in L^{p^{\frac{p^{*}}{p-\gamma}}}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{K}(0)}\left|g\left(x, u_{n}\right)-g(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \leq C_{5} \varepsilon \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a positive constant $C_{5}$. It follows from the inequalities (12) and (13) that

$$
\left|\left\langle\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle\right| \leq C_{6} \varepsilon
$$

for a positive constant $C_{6}$ when $n \geq N(K)$. This implies that $\left\langle\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\tilde{\Psi}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\Phi^{\prime}$ is a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$, we deduce $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Because of $\tilde{I}_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, we have $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}(u)=c>0$ and $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)=0$, i.e., $u$ is a nontrivial critical point of the functional $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$.

Denote it by $u=u_{2}$. Then we claim that

$$
u_{2}(x) \leq u_{1}(x)
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Indeed, it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left\langle\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)-I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right),\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{+}\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\varphi\left(x, \nabla u_{2}\right)-\varphi\left(x, \nabla u_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{+} d x \\
& \quad-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(g\left(x, u_{2}\right)-f\left(x, u_{1}\right)\right)\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{+} d x \\
= & \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: u_{2}(x) \geq u_{1}(x)\right\}}\left(\varphi\left(x, \nabla u_{2}\right)-\varphi\left(x, \nabla u_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\nabla u_{2}-\nabla u_{1}\right) d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{+}=\max \left\{0, u_{2}-u_{1}\right\}$. Since $\varphi$ is monotone, we obtain $u(x)=u_{1}(x)$ for almost all $x \in\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right.$ : $\left.u_{2}(x) \geq u_{1}(x)\right\}$. Hence it follows that

$$
\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: u_{2}(x) \geq u_{1}(x)\right\}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)\right|^{p} d x=0,
$$

and thus

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{+}\right|^{p} d x=0
$$

i.e., $\left\|\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{+}\right\|_{X}=0$. Since $\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{+} \in X$, we see that $\left(u_{2}(x)-u_{1}(x)\right)^{+}=0$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Consequently, we have that $u_{2}(x) \leq u_{1}(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Finally, we establish that $u_{2}$ is another weak solution. Indeed, since $u_{2}(x) \leq u_{1}(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, we deduce that

$$
g\left(x, u_{2}\right)=f\left(x, u_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\Psi}\left(u_{2}\right)=\Psi\left(u_{2}\right) .
$$

Then we get that $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}\left(u_{2}\right)=I_{\lambda}\left(u_{2}\right)$ and $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)$. So, we conclude that $\tilde{I}_{\lambda}\left(u_{2}\right)=c>0>\tilde{I}_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}\right)$, i.e., $u_{2}$ is another nontrivial weak solution for problem (B) with $u_{2}<u_{1}$.
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