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#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce an operator associated with generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z:|z|<1\}$. By using this operator and the virtue of differential subordination, we obtain interesting results. Some applications of our results are also obtained.


## 1. Introduction

The Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha}(z)(z \in \mathbb{C})([10],[11])$ is defined by

$$
E_{\alpha}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n+1)} \quad(\alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0)
$$

Several properties of Mittag-Leffler function and generalized Mittag-Leffler function can be found e.g. in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [7], [12], [13],[14], [15], [16], [19], [20] and [21].

Moreover, Srivastava and Tomovski [18] introduced the function $E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(z)(z \in \mathbb{C})$ in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{n k} z^{n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n+\beta) n!} \\
(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \quad \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>\max \{0, \operatorname{Re}(k)-1\} ; \operatorname{Re}(k)>0)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $(\gamma)_{n}$ is the Pochhammer symbol:

$$
(\gamma)_{n}=\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+n)}{\Gamma(\gamma)}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1, & n=0 \\
\gamma(\gamma+1) \ldots(\gamma+n-1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Srivastava and Tomovski [18] proved that the function $E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(z)$ defind by (1.1) is an entire function in the complex z-plane.

[^0]Let $A$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ normalized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$.
Noting that, by using a similar proof which is used by Srivastava and Tomovski [18, Theorem 1, P 201] we find that, if

$$
\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0 \text { when } \operatorname{Re}(k)=1 \text { with } \beta \neq 0
$$

then, the power series in the defining equation (1.1) is still analytic and converges absolutely in $\mathbb{U}$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now, we define the function $Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(z)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{(\gamma)_{k}}\left(E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(z)-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\right) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\beta, \gamma \in & \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>\max \{0, \operatorname{Re}(k)-1\} ; \operatorname{Re}(k)>0 \\
& \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)=0 \text { when } \operatorname{Re}(k)=1 \text { with } \beta \neq 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise indicated, the conditions on the four complex parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $k$ will be as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>\max \{0, \operatorname{Re}(k)-1\}, \operatorname{Re}(k)>0 \text { and } \\
& \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)=0 \text { when } \operatorname{Re}(k)=1 \text { with } \beta \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, let $f(z) \in A$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f): A \rightarrow A$ the operator is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)=Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(z) * f(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol (*) denotes the Hadamard product (or convolution).
We note that

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+n k) \Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma+k) \Gamma(\beta+\alpha n) n!} a_{n} z^{n} .
$$

Also, noting that:

1. $\mathcal{H}_{0, \beta}^{1,1}(f)(z)=f(z)$.
2. $\mathcal{H}_{0, \beta}^{2,1}(f)(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(f(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)\right)$.
3. $\mathcal{H}_{0, \beta}^{0,1}(f)(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{t} f(t) d t$.
4. $\mathcal{H}_{1,0}^{1,1}\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)=z e^{z}$.
5. $\mathcal{H}_{1,1}^{1,1}\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)=e^{z}-1$.
6. $\mathcal{H}_{2,1}^{1,1}\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)=-2+\cosh (\sqrt{z})$.

Remark 1.1. It is noteworthy to mention that, the Srivastava-Wright operator [17] (see also [6]) which is defined by the Fox-Wright generalization ${ }_{q} \Psi_{s}$ of the hypergeometric ${ }_{q} F_{s}$ function also generalized the Mittag-Leffler function.

## 2. Some Definitions and Lemmas

In our paper we use the following definitions.
Definition 2.1. Let $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ be analytic functions. The function $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $F(z)$, written $f(z)<F(z)$, if there exists a function $w(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)| \leq 1$, and such that $f(z)=$ $F(w(z))$. If $F(z)$ is univalent, then $f(z)<F(z)$ if and only if $f(0)=F(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset F(\mathbb{U})$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\Psi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in domain $\mathbb{D}$, and let $h(z)$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. If $p(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right) \in \mathbb{D}$ when $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then we say that $p(z)$ satisfies a first order differential subordination if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; z\right)<h(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The univalent function $q(z)$ is called dominant of the differential subordination $(2.1)$, if $p(z)<q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (2.1), if $\tilde{q}(z)<q(z)$ for all dominant of (2.1), then we say that $\tilde{q}(z)$ is the best dominant of (2.1).

By using the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)$ which is defined by (1.4), we can prove the following lemma:
Lemma 2.1. If $f(z) \in A(z \in \mathbb{U})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\gamma+k}{k}\right)\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, k}(f)(z)\right)-\frac{\gamma}{k}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}=(\alpha+\beta)\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)\right)-\beta\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. Putting $f(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$ and $k=q \in(0,1) \cup \mathbb{N}$, in (2.3) we have the result due to Shukla [16, Theorem 2.1, P. 800].

Using (2.2), (2.3) and mathematical induction, we get the following lemmas:
Lemma 2.2. If $f(z) \in A(z \in \mathbb{U})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+m, k}(f)(z)=\frac{k^{m}}{(\gamma+k)_{m}}\left(z D+\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)^{m} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z) \quad\left(D:=\frac{d}{d z}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(z D+\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)^{m}=\left(z D+\frac{\gamma}{k}\right) \circ\left(z D+\frac{\gamma}{k}\right) \circ \ldots \circ\left(z D+\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)$ to $m$-times and $\circ$ denotes the composition $(I \circ J)(f)(z)=I(J(f(z)))$.
Lemma 2.3. If $z \in \mathbb{U}, f \in A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)=\frac{1}{(\alpha+\beta)_{m}}(\alpha z D+\beta)^{m} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta+m}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z) \quad\left(D:=\frac{d}{d z}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\alpha z D+\beta)^{m}=(\alpha z D+\beta) \circ(\alpha z D+\beta) \circ \ldots \circ(\alpha z D+\beta)$ to $m$-times and $\circ$ denotes the composition $(I \circ J)(f)(z)=I(J(f(z)))$.

Example 2.1. Putting $\gamma=k=1, \alpha=0$ and $f(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$ in Lemma 2.2, we have the following property of the generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$,

$$
E_{0, \beta}^{m+1,1}(f)(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}+\frac{1}{(m+1)!\Gamma(\beta)}(z D+1)^{m} \frac{z}{1-z} \quad\left(z \in \mathbb{U} ; m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)
$$

3. Differential Subordination with $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)$

We require the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu [8], see also [9, P. 132].
Lemma 3.1. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $\mathbb{D}$ containing $q(\mathbb{U})$, with $\phi(w) \neq 0$, when $w \in q(\mathbb{U})$. Set $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi[q(z)], h(z)=\theta[q(z)]+Q(z)$ and suppose that either $h(z)$ is convex, or $Q(z)$ is starlike. In addition, assume that $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}>0$.

If $p(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, with $p(0)=q(0), p(\mathbb{U}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta[p(z)]+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi[p(z)]<\theta[q(z)]+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi[q(z)]=h(z) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z)<q(z)$, and $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.1).
Now, we will prove the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+i, k}(f)(z)}{z} \neq 0(i=0,1)$ and

$$
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, k}(f)(z)}<q(z)+\frac{z(q(z))^{\prime}}{q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}} \quad(f \in A ; z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where $q(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ with $q(0)=1$, which satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)>0 \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}}\right)>0
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)}<q(z) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.2).
Proof. We choose $p(z)=\frac{z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{v k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)}$, then (2.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}\right) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)=\left(\frac{\gamma+k}{k}\right) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, k}(f)(z) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from the identity (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, k}(f)(z)}=\left(p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, (3.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}}<q(z)+\frac{z(q(z))^{\prime}}{q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q(z)$ is defined in Theorem 3.1.

Let us choose the functions $\theta(w)=w$ and $\phi(w)=\frac{1}{w+\frac{\gamma}{k}}$. Then $\theta(w)$ and $\phi(w)$ are analytic with domain $\mathbb{D}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{-\frac{\gamma}{k}\right\}$ which contains $q(\mathbb{U})$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\mathbb{U})$.

Also, we define the function $Q(z)$ by

$$
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))
$$

since

$$
h(z)=\theta[q(z)]+Q(z)=q(z)+\frac{z(q(z))^{\prime}}{q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}}
$$

furthermore,

$$
\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}}
$$

therefore, $Q(z)$ is starlike function in $\mathbb{U}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\} & =\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{\phi(q(z))}+\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left(q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, the condition $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, k}(f)(z)}{z} \neq 0$, gives that the function $p(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}, p(0)=q(0)=1$ and $-\frac{\gamma}{k} \notin p(\mathbb{U})$, therefore $p(\mathbb{U}) \subset \mathbb{D}$. By Lemma 3.1, we deduce $\frac{z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{, \gamma( }(f)(z)}<q(z)$, and $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.2).

By using the technique which is used in Theorem 3.1 and the recurrence relation (2.3), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta+i}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)}{z} \neq 0(i=0,1)$ and

$$
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)}<q(z)+\frac{z(q(z))^{\prime}}{q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}}
$$

where $q(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ with $q(0)=1$, which satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(q(z)+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)>0 \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)>0
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)}<q(z) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.6).

Corollary 3.1. Let $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right) \geq-\delta ; \delta \in[0,1)$. Also, let

$$
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+1, k}(f)(z)}<h(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

for all $f \in A$ satisfies $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma+i, k}(f)(z)}{z} \neq 0(i=0,1)$, then

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z) \in S^{*}(\delta), \delta \text { is the best possible, }
$$

where $S^{*}(\delta)$ is starlike function of order $\delta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=-1+2 \delta-\frac{3-2 \delta}{1-z}-\frac{1+\frac{\gamma}{k}}{1+\frac{\gamma}{k}+\left(1-2 \delta-\frac{\gamma}{k}\right) z} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Putting $q(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}$, therefore under the condition $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right) \geq-\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)>0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

After some calculations, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}} \\
= & -1+\frac{1}{1-z}+\frac{1+\frac{\gamma}{k}}{1+\frac{\gamma}{k}+\left(1-2 \delta-\frac{\gamma}{k}\right) z}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)+\frac{\gamma}{k}}\right)>0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (3.8), (3.9) and applying Theorem 3.1, we complete the corollary.
By using the technique which is used in Corollary 3.1, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.2. Let $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) \geq-\delta ; \delta \in[0,1)$. Also, let

$$
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)}<h(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

for all $f \in A$ satisfies $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta+i}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z)}{z} \neq 0 \quad(i=0,1)$, then

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta+1}^{\gamma, k}(f)(z) \in S^{*}(\delta), \delta \text { is the best possible, }
$$

where $S^{*}(\delta)$ is starlike function of order $\delta$ and $h(z)$ is defined by (3.7).

Example 3.1. We can show that the function $f(z)(z \in \mathbb{U})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{0, \beta}^{2,1}(f)(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(f(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)\right)=z(1-\delta z)(1-z)^{2 \delta-3} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 3.1. Also, the equation (3.10) is a first order linear differential equation, which has a solution

$$
f(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\delta)}}
$$

that is the extremal function for the class of starlike function of order $\delta$.Therefore,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{0, \beta}^{1,1}(f)(z)=f(z) \in S^{*}(\delta)
$$

and $\delta$ is the best possible.
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