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#### Abstract

In this paper, a boundary version of the Schwarz lemma for meromorphic functions is investigated. The modulus of the angular derivative of the meromorphic function $I^{n} f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+2^{n} c_{0}+3^{n} c_{1} z+4^{n} c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ that belongs to the class of $\mathcal{M}$ on the boundary point of the unit disc has been estimated from below.


To the memory of Professor Lj. Ćirić (1935-2016)

## 1. Introduction

First, let us set the following standard notations: $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the complex numbers, $\mathbb{E}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ is the unit disc and $\mathbb{T}:=\partial \mathbb{E}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$ is the unit circle. Now, let us start by recalling the classical form of the Schwarz lemma ([6], p.329).

Lemma 1.1 (Schwarz lemma). Let $f: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ be holomorphic function and $f(0)=0$. Then $|f(z)| \leq|z|$ for all $z$ and $\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 1$, with equality in either case if and only if is a rotation.

For historical background about the Schwarz lemma and its applications on the boundary of the unit disc, we refer to (see [2], [20]). The basic tool in proving our results is the following lemma due to Jack ([2]).

Lemma 1.2 (Jack's lemma). Let $f(z)$ be holomorphic function in the unit disc $\mathbb{E}$ with $f(0)=0$. If $|f(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r$ at the point $z_{0}$, then

$$
z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k f\left(z_{0}\right)
$$

where $k \geq 1$ is a real number.
Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+c_{0}+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots
$$

[^0]that are holomorphic in the punctured disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0<|z|<1\}$. Define
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I^{0} f(z)=f(z) \\
& I^{1} f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+2 c_{0}+3 c_{1} z+4 c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots=\frac{\left(z^{2} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z} \\
& I^{2} f(z)=I^{1}\left(I^{1} f(z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

and for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
I^{n} f(z)=I^{1}\left(I^{n-1} f(z)\right)=\frac{1}{z}+2^{n} c_{0}+3^{n} c_{1} z+4^{n} c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} c_{k-2} z^{k-2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{n+1} f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+2^{n+1} c_{0}+3^{n+1} c_{1} z+4^{n+1} c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} c_{k-2} z^{k-2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $\mathcal{M}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all functions $f(z)$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(-z^{2}\left(I^{n+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)>0,|z|<1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I^{n+1} f(z)$ is defined by (1.1).
Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}$ and consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{1-z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\phi(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{E}$ and $\phi(0)=0$. That is,

$$
\phi(z)=\frac{z^{2}}{2}\left(3^{n} c_{1}+2.4^{n} c_{2} z+\ldots\right) .
$$

Differentiating (1.3) we obtain

$$
z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}+2 z\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{2 \phi^{\prime}(z)}{(1+\phi(z))^{2}}
$$

We can easily confirm the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=I^{n+1} f(z)-2 I^{n} f(z) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (1.4) we take

$$
z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}=z\left(I^{n+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-3 z\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
-z^{2}\left(I^{n+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=-z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{2 z \phi^{\prime}(z)}{(1+\phi(z))^{2}}
$$

Now, let us show that the function $|\phi(z)|<1$ in $\mathbb{E}$. If there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{E}$ such that

$$
\max _{|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|}|\phi(z)|=\left|\phi\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1
$$

then Jack's lemma gives us that $\phi\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}$ and $z_{0} \phi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k \phi\left(z_{0}\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-z_{0}^{2}\left(I^{n+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime} & =-z_{0}^{2}\left(I^{n} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{2 z_{0} \phi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left(1+\phi\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1-\phi\left(z_{0}\right)}{1+\phi\left(z_{0}\right)}-\frac{2 k \phi\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left(1+\phi\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1-e^{i \theta}}{1+e^{i \theta}}-\frac{2 k e^{i \theta}}{\left(1+e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(-z_{0}^{2}\left(I^{n+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1-e^{i \theta}}{1+e^{i \theta}}-\frac{2 k e^{i \theta}}{\left(1+e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}\left(-z_{0}^{2}\left(I^{n+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right) & =\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1-(\cos \theta+i \sin \theta)}{1+\cos \theta+i \sin \theta}-\frac{2 k e^{i \theta}}{\left(1+e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& =\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1-(\cos \theta+i \sin \theta)}{1+\cos \theta+i \sin \theta}-2 k \frac{1}{2+e^{i \theta}+e^{-i \theta}}\right) \\
& =\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1-(\cos \theta+i \sin \theta)}{1+\cos \theta+i \sin \theta}-\frac{2 k}{2(1+\cos \theta)}\right) \\
& =-k \Re\left(\frac{1}{1+\cos \theta}\right) \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradict (1.2). Thus, there is no point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{E}$ such that $\left|\phi\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$. Consequently, we conclude that $|\phi(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1$. Thus, by the Schwarz lemma, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{1}\right| \leq \frac{2}{3^{n}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the equality in (1.5) occurs for the solution of equation

$$
\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z^{2}-1}{z^{2}\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{\prime}}
$$

with the condition at $z=0$

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z^{2} f(z)=0
$$

In particular, for $n=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I^{1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z^{2}-1}{z^{2}\left(1+z^{2}\right)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the condition at $z=0$

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z^{2} f(z)=0
$$

Thus, from (1.6), we obtain

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{2}{3} z-\frac{2}{15} z^{3}+\frac{2}{35} z^{5}-\ldots
$$

The following boundary version of the Schwarz lemma was proved in 1938 by Unkelbach in [21] and then rediscovered and partially improved by Osserman in 2000 [17].

Lemma 1.3. Let $f(z)=c_{p} z^{p}+\ldots$ be a holomorphic function self-mapping of $\mathbb{E}$, that is $|f(z)|<1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$. Assume that there is $a b \in \mathbb{T}$ so that $f$ extend continuously to $b,|f(b)|=1$ and $f^{\prime}(b)$ exists. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| \geq p+\frac{1-\left|c_{p}\right|}{1+\left|c_{p}\right|} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (1.7) holds if and only if $f$ is of the form

$$
f(z)=-z^{p} \frac{\gamma-z}{1-\gamma z}, \quad \forall z \in E,
$$

for some constant $\gamma \in(-1,0]$.
Corollary 1.4. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| \geq p \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality only if $f$ is of the form

$$
f(z)=z^{p} e^{i \theta}
$$

where $\theta$ is a real number.
The following Lemma 1.5 and Corollary 1.6, known as the Julia-Wolff lemma, is needed in the sequel [19].

Lemma 1.5 (Julia-Wolff lemma). Let $f$ be a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{E}, f(0)=0$ and $f(\mathbb{E}) \subset \mathbb{E}$. If, in addition, the function $f$ has an angular limit $f(b)$ at $b \in \mathbb{T},|f(b)|=1$, then the angular derivative $f^{\prime}(b)$ exists and $1 \leq\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| \leq \infty$.

Corollary 1.6. The holomorphic function $f$ has a finite angular derivative $f^{\prime}(b)$ if and only if $f^{\prime}$ has the finite angular limit $f^{\prime}(b)$ at $b \in \mathbb{T}$.

Inequality (1.8) and its generalizations have important applications in geometric theory of functions (see, e.g., [6], [19]). Therefore, the interest to such type results is not vanished recently (see, e.g., [1], [2], [4], [5], [10], [11], [17], [18], [20] and references therein).

Vladimir N. Dubinin has continued this line and has made a refinement on the boundary Schwarz lemma under the assumption that $f(z)=c_{p} z^{p}+c_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\ldots$, with a zero set $\left\{z_{k}\right\}$ (see [4]).
S. G. Krantz and D. M. Burns [9] and D. Chelst [3] studied the uniqueness part of the Schwarz lemma. In M. Mateljević's papers, for more general results and related estimates, see also ([12], [13], [14], [15] and [16]).

Also, M. Jeong [8] showed some inequalities at a boundary point for different form of holomorphic functions and found the condition for equality and in [7] a holomorphic self map defined on the closed unit disc with fixed points only on the boundary of the unit disc.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, the modulus of the angular derivative of the meromorphic function $I^{n} f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+2^{n} c_{0}+$ $3^{n} c_{1} z+4^{n} c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ that belongs to the class of $\mathcal{M}$ on the boundary point of the unit disc has been estimated from below.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}$. Assume that, for some $b \in \mathbb{T},\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}$ has angular limit $\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}$ at $b$ and $\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}=0$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (2.1) occurs for the solution of equation

$$
\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z^{2}-1}{z^{2}\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{\prime}}
$$

with the condition at $z=0$

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z^{2} f(z)=0
$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$
\phi(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{1-z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}
$$

$\phi(z)$ is a holomorphic function in the unit disc $E$ and $\phi(0)=0$. From the Jack's lemma and since $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $|\phi(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1$. Also, we have $|\phi(b)|=1$ for $b \in \mathbb{T}$.

For $p=2$, from (1.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \leq\left|\phi^{\prime}(b)\right|=\left\lvert\, \frac{\left(2 b\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}+b^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(1-b^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}\right)}{\left(1-b^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(2 b\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}+b^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(1+b^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}\right)}{\left(1-b^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \right\rvert\,, \\
& 2 \leq\left|b^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}+b^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|=2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 1
$$

Now, we shall show that the inequality (2.1) is sharp. Let

$$
\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z^{2}-1}{z^{2}\left(1+z^{2}\right)}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}=\frac{2 z\left(z^{4}+z^{2}\right)-\left(4 z^{3}+2 z\right)\left(z^{2}-1\right)}{\left(z^{4}+z^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=1}^{\prime \prime}\right|=1
$$

Theorem 2.2. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{6+3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|}{2+3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (2.2) is sharp with equality for the solution of equation

$$
\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z^{3}+a z^{2}-a z-1}{z^{5}+a z^{4}+a z^{3}+z^{2}}
$$

with the condition at $z=0$

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z^{2} f(z)=0
$$

where $a=\frac{3^{n}}{2}\left|c_{1}\right|$ is an arbitrary number from $[0,1]$ (see (1.5)).

Proof. Let $\phi(z)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. For $p=2$, using the inequality (1.7) for the function $\phi(z)$, we obtain

$$
2+\frac{1-\left|a_{2}\right|}{1+\left|a_{2}\right|} \leq\left|\phi^{\prime}(b)\right|=2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|
$$

Since

$$
\left|a_{2}\right|=\frac{\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|}{2}=\frac{3^{n}}{2}\left|c_{1}\right|
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2+\frac{1-\frac{3^{n}}{2}\left|c_{1}\right|}{1+\frac{3^{n}}{2}\left|c_{1}\right|} \leq 2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \\
& 2+\frac{2-3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|}{2+3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|} \leq 2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \\
& \left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(2+\frac{2-3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|}{2+3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{6+3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|}{2+3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|}\right)
$$

To show that the inequality (2.2) is sharp, take the holomorphic function

$$
\phi(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{1-z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}=z^{2} \frac{z+a}{1+a z}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi^{\prime}(z)=2 \frac{2 z\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1-z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\left(3 z^{2}+2 a z\right)(1+a z)-a\left(z^{3}+a z^{2}\right)}{(1+a z)^{2}} \\
& \phi^{\prime}(1)=2 \frac{2\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=1}^{\prime}+\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=1}^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1-\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=\frac{3+a}{1+a}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=1}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3+a}{1+a}\right)
$$

Since $a=\frac{3^{n}}{2}\left|c_{1}\right|$ is satisfied with equality.
Theorem 2.3. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}$. Assume that, for some $b \in \mathbb{T},\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}$ has angular limit $\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}$ at $b$ and $\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}=0$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 1+\frac{\left(2-3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|\right)^{2}}{4-3^{2 n}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}+4^{n+1}\left|c_{2}\right|} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (2.3) is sharp with equality for the solution of equation

$$
\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z^{2}-1}{z^{2}\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{\prime}}
$$

with the condition at $z=0$

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z^{2} f(z)=0
$$

Proof. Let $\phi(z)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. By the maximum principle for each $z \in E$, we have $|\phi(z)| \leq\left|z^{2}\right|$. So,

$$
\psi(z)=\frac{\phi(z)}{z^{2}}
$$

is a holomorphic function in $E$ and $|\psi(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1$.
In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi(0)|=\frac{3^{n}}{2}\left|c_{1}\right| \leq 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|=4^{n}\left|c_{2}\right| .
$$

Moreover, it can be seen that

$$
\frac{b \phi^{\prime}(b)}{\phi(b)}=\left|\phi^{\prime}(b)\right| \geq\left|\left(b^{2}\right)^{\prime}\right|=\frac{b\left(b^{2}\right)^{\prime}}{b^{2}}
$$

The function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon(z) & =\frac{\psi(z)-\psi(0)}{1-\overline{\psi(0)} \psi(z)}=\frac{\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}+4^{n} c_{2} z+\ldots-\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}}{1-\overline{3^{n}} c_{1}}\left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}+4^{n} c_{2} z+\ldots .\right) \\
& =\frac{4^{n} c_{2} z+\ldots}{1-\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}+4^{n} c_{2} z+\ldots .\right)}=\frac{4^{n} c_{2} z}{1-\frac{3^{2 n}}{4}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

is a holomorphic in the unit disc $E,|\Upsilon(z)|<1$ for $|z|<1, \Upsilon(0)=0$ and $|\Upsilon(b)|=1$ for $b \in \mathbb{T}$.
From (1.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{1+\left|\Upsilon^{\prime}(0)\right|} & \leq\left|\Upsilon^{\prime}(b)\right|=\frac{1-|\psi(0)|^{2}}{|1-\overline{\psi(0)} \psi(b)|^{2}}\left|\psi^{\prime}(b)\right| \leq \frac{1+|\psi(0)|}{1-|\psi(0)|}\left|\psi^{\prime}(b)\right| \\
& =\frac{1+|\psi(0)|}{1-|\psi(0)|}\left\{\left|\phi^{\prime}(b)\right|-2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Upsilon^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1-|\psi(0)|^{2}}{(1-\overline{\psi(0)} \psi(z))^{2}} \psi^{\prime}(z), \\
& \left|\Upsilon^{\prime}(0)\right|=\frac{\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|}{1-|\psi(0)|^{2}}=\frac{4^{n}\left|c_{2}\right|}{1-\frac{3^{2 n}}{4}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}=\frac{4^{n+1}\left|c_{2}\right|}{4-3^{2 n}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we take

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{1+\frac{4^{n+1}\left|c_{2}\right|}{4-3^{2 n}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}} & \leq \frac{1+\frac{3^{n}}{2}\left|c_{1}\right|}{1-\frac{3^{n}}{2}\left|c_{1}\right|}\left\{2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|-2\right\} \\
& =\frac{2+3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|}{2-3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|}\left\{2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|-2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{2\left(4-3^{2 n}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}\right)}{4-3^{2 n}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}+4^{n+1}\left|c_{2}\right|} \frac{2-3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|}{2+3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|} \leq 2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|-2
$$

and

$$
\frac{2\left(2-3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|\right)^{2}}{4-3^{2 n}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}+4^{n+1}\left|c_{2}\right|}+2 \leq 2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 1+\frac{\left(2-3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right|\right)^{2}}{4-3^{2 n}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}+4^{n+1}\left|c_{2}\right|}
$$

To show that the inequality (2.3) is sharp, take the holomorphic function

$$
\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z^{2}-1}{z^{2}\left(1+z^{2}\right)}
$$

Then

$$
\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}=\frac{2 z\left(z^{4}+z^{2}\right)-\left(4 z^{3}+2 z\right)\left(z^{2}-1\right)}{\left(z^{4}+z^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=1}^{\prime \prime}\right|=1 .
$$

Since $\left|c_{1}\right|=\frac{2}{3^{n}}$, (2.3) is satisfied with equality.
If $z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}$ has no zeros different from $z=0$ in Theorem 2.3, the inequality (2.3) can be further strengthened. This is given by the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}$ and $z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}$ has no zeros in E except $z=0$ and $c_{1}>0$. Assume that, for some $b \in \mathbb{T}$, $\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}$ has angular limit $\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}$ at $b$ and $\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime}=0$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right| \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)}{3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right| \ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)-4^{n}\left|c_{2}\right|} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the equality in (2.5) occurs for the solution of equation

$$
\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z^{2}-1}{z^{2}\left(1+z^{2}\right)}
$$

with the condition at $z=0$

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z^{2} f(z)=0
$$

Proof. Let $c_{1}>0$ in the expression of the function $f(z)$. Having in mind the inequality (2.4) and the function $z^{2}\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}$ has no zeros in $E$ except $E-\{0\}$, we denote by $\ln \psi(z)$ the holomorphic branch of the logarithm normed by the condition

$$
\ln \psi(0)=\ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)<0 .
$$

The auxiliary function

$$
\Phi(z)=\frac{\ln \psi(z)-\ln \psi(0)}{\ln \psi(z)+\ln \psi(0)}
$$

is a holomorphic in the unit disc $E,|\Phi(z)|<1, \Phi(0)=0$ and $|\Phi(b)|=1$ for $b \in \mathbb{T}$.
For $p=1$, from (1.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{1+\left|\Phi^{\prime}(0)\right|} & \leq\left|\Phi^{\prime}(b)\right|=\frac{|2 \ln \psi(0)|}{|\ln \psi(b)+\ln \psi(0)|^{2}}\left|\frac{\psi^{\prime}(b)}{\psi(b)}\right| \\
& =\frac{-2 \ln \psi(0)}{\ln ^{2} \psi(0)+\arg ^{2} \psi(b)}\left\{\left|\phi^{\prime}(b)\right|-2\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $\arg ^{2} \omega(b)$ by zero, then we take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{1-\frac{4^{n}\left|c_{2}\right|}{\frac{3^{n}}{2}\left|c_{1}\right| 2 \ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)}} \leq \frac{-1}{\ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)}\left\{2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|-2\right\}, \\
& -\frac{3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right| \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)}{3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right| \ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)-4^{n}\left|c_{2}\right|} \leq 2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|-2, \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right| \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)}{3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right| \ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)-4^{n}\left|c_{2}\right|} \leq\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|-1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right| \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)}{3^{n}\left|c_{1}\right| \ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)-4^{n}\left|c_{2}\right|}\right)
$$

Thus, we obtain the inequality (2.5) with an obvious equality case.
The following inequality (2.6) is weaker, but is simpler than (2.5) and does not contain the coeffient $c_{2}$.
Theorem 2.5. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 1-\frac{1}{4} \ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the equality in (2.6) occurs for the solution of equation

$$
\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z^{2}-1}{z^{2}\left(1+z^{2}\right)}
$$

with the condition at $z=0$

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z^{2} f(z)=0
$$

Proof. Let $c_{1}>0$. Using the inequality (1.8) for the function $\Phi(z)$, we obtain

$$
1 \leq\left|\Phi^{\prime}(b)\right|=\frac{|2 \ln \psi(0)|}{|\ln \psi(b)+\ln \psi(0)|^{2}}\left|\frac{\psi^{\prime}(b)}{\psi(b)}\right|=\frac{-2 \ln \psi(0)}{\ln ^{2} \psi(0)+\arg ^{2} \psi(b)}\left\{\left|\phi^{\prime}(b)\right|-2\right\} .
$$

Replacing $\arg ^{2} \varphi(b)$ by zero, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \leq\left|\Phi^{\prime}(b)\right| \leq \frac{-2}{\ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)}\left\{2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|-2\right\}, \\
& 1 \leq \frac{-2}{\ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)}\left\{2\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right|-2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(I^{n} f(z)\right)_{z=b}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 1-\frac{1}{4} \ln \left(\frac{3^{n}}{2} c_{1}\right)
$$

Therefore, we obtain the inequality (2.6) with an obvious equality case.
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