Filomat 31:12 (2017), 3897–3904 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1712897O



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# Second Hankel Determinant Problem for k-bi-starlike Functions

## Halit Orhan<sup>a</sup>, Evrim Toklu<sup>b</sup>, Ekrem Kadıoğlu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ataturk University, 25240 Erzurum-Turkey <sup>b</sup>Ağrı Ibrahim Çeçen University, Vocational School, 04100 Ağrı-Turkey

**Abstract.** In this paper we introduce and study some properties of k-bi-starlike functions defined by making use of the Sălăgean derivative operator. Upper bounds on the second Hankel determinant for *k*-bi-starlike functions are investigated. Relevant connections of the results presented here with various well-known results are briefly indicated.

### 1. Introduction

As usual, we denote by *A* the class of functions f(z) normalized by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ .

We also denote by *S* the subclass of *A* consisting of functions which are univalent in *U*. Let  $f^{-1}(z)$  be the inverse function of f(z), defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \ (z \in U) \text{ and } f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \left( |w| < r_0(f); \ r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots$$

A function  $f \in A$  is said to be bi-univalent in U if both f(z) and  $f^{-1}(z)$  are univalent in U. We denote by  $\sigma$  the class of all functions f(z) which are bi-univalent in U.

Brannan et al. [2] introduced certain subclasses of the bi-univalent function class  $\sigma$  similar to the familiar subclasses  $S^*(\beta)$  and  $K(\beta)$  of starlike and convex function of order  $\beta$  ( $0 \le \beta < 1$ ), respectively (see [9]). For a brief history of functions in the class  $\sigma$ , see the work of Srivastava et al. [19]. In fact, judging by the remarkable flood of papers on the subject ([1], [5], [8], [11], [15]-[18], [20], [21], [23]), the pioneering work

Received: 12 January 2016; Accepted: 22 June 2016

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45

Keywords. analytic and univalent functions, bi-univalent functions, Hankel determinant, k-bi-starlike function

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

Email addresses: orhanhalit607@gmail.com (Halit Orhan), evrimtoklu@gmail.com (Evrim Toklu), ekrem@atauni.edu.tr (Ekrem Kadıoğlu)

by Srivastava et al. [19] appears to have revived the study of analytic and bi-univalent functions in recent years. By definition, we have

$$S^*(\beta) = \left\{ f \in S : \Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \beta; \ 0 \le \beta < 1, \ z \in U \right\}$$

and

$$K(\beta) = \left\{ f \in S : \mathfrak{R}\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \beta; \ 0 \le \beta < 1, \ z \in U \right\}.$$

The classes  $S^*_{\sigma}(\beta)$  and  $K_{\sigma}(\beta)$  of bi-starlike functions of order  $\beta$  and bi-convex functions of order  $\beta$ , corresponding to the function classes  $S^*(\beta)$  and  $K(\beta)$ , were also considered analogously.

The  $q^{th}$  Hankel determinant for  $n \ge 0$  and  $q \ge 1$  was stated by Noonan et al. ([10]) as

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix} \quad (a_1 = 1).$$

We note that  $H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2$  is well-known as Fekete-Szegö functional (see [4]). For our discussion in the present paper, we examine the Hankel determinant in the case q = 2 and n = 2,  $H_2(2) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2$ . We will try to find upper bound for the functional  $H_2(2) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2$  for the functions f belonging to the class  $S_{\sigma,k}(\beta)$  of k-bi-starlike functions.

For a function  $f(z) \in A$ , we define

$$D^{0}f(z) = f(z);$$
  

$$D^{1}f(z) = Df(z) = zf'(z);$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$D^{k}f(z) = D(D^{k-1}f(z)) \ (k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \text{ where } \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}).$$

The differential operator  $D^k$  was considered by Sălăgean [13].

With the help of this differential operator, Sălăgean [13] also defined the class of *k*-starlike functions of order  $\beta$  ( $0 \le \beta < 1$ ) defined by

$$S_k(\beta) = \{ f \in A : \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{D^{k+1}f(z)}{D^k f(z)}\right) > \beta, \ z \in U \}.$$

Kanas et al. [7] obtained more general results for k-uniformly convex functions by using parameter k. Certain well-known subclasses of S are indeed special cases of  $S_k(\beta)$  for suitable choices of parameters k and  $\beta$ . We remark that for k = 0,  $S_0(\beta) \equiv S(\beta)$  and for k = 1,  $S_1(\beta) \equiv K(\beta)$  are classes of starlike functions of order  $\beta$  and convex functions of order  $\beta$ , respectively.

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $f \in \sigma$  is said to be in the class  $S_{\sigma,k}(\beta)$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\Re\left(\frac{D^{k+1}f(z)}{D^k f(z)}\right) > \beta; \ 0 \le \beta < 1, \ z \in U$$
(2)

and

$$\Re\left(\frac{D^{k+1}g(w)}{D^kg(w)}\right) > \beta; \ 0 \le \beta < 1, \ w \in U$$
(3)

where  $q(w) = f^{-1}(w)$ .

We remark that for k = 0 the class  $S_{\sigma,0}(\beta) \equiv S^*_{\sigma}(\beta)$  is the class of bi-starlike functions of order  $\beta$ . When k = 1,  $S_{\sigma,1}(\beta) \equiv K_{\sigma}(\beta)$  is the class of bi-convex functions of order  $\beta$ . Our main interest focus on the class  $S_{\sigma,k}(\beta)$  of *k*-bi-starlike functions.

The purpose of this note is to find upper bound for the functional  $H_2(2) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2$  for functions *f* belonging to the class  $S_{\sigma,k}(\beta)$ .

Now we recall the following lemmas which will be required in our next investigation.

**Lemma 1.2.** [12] If  $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + ...$  is an analytic function in U with positive real part, then

$$|p_n| \le 2$$
, and  $|p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2}| \le 2 - \frac{|p_2|^2}{2}$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** [6] If the function  $p \in P$ , then

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + x(4 - p_1^2); \ 4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)p_1x - p_1(4 - p_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |x|^2)z^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |x|^2)z^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |x|^2)z^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |x|^2)z^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |$$

for some *x*, *z* with  $|x| \le 1$  and  $|z| \le 1$ .

#### 2. Main Results

One of our main results is contained in

**Theorem 2.1.** Let f given by (1) be in the class  $S_{\sigma,k}(\beta)$ ,  $0 \le \beta < 1$ . Then, for k = 1, 2, 3

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2^{2k}} \left[ \frac{2^{2k}}{3^{2k}} - \frac{3 \cdot 2^k M^2}{3^{2k} N} \right]$$

and for k = 0 and for every  $k \ge 4(k \in \mathbb{N})$ 

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{3^{2k+1}2^{5k}} \left[ N + 6.2^{2k}M + 3.2^{5k} \right], & \beta \in [0,\beta_1'] \\ \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2^{2k}} \left[ \frac{2^{2k}}{3^{2k}} - \frac{3.2^k M^2}{3^{2k}N} \right], & \beta \in (\beta_1',1) \end{cases}$$

where

$$M = \left\{ 6^{k} + 2.3^{2k} - 2^{3k} - 6^{k} \beta \right\},$$
  

$$N = 16.3^{2k} \cdot (3.2^{k} + 2^{2k} - 3^{k+1})(1 - \beta)^{2} - 6.3^{k} \cdot 2^{3k}(1 - \beta) + 3.2^{5k} - 8.2^{2k} \cdot 3^{2k}$$

and

$$\beta_1' = \frac{3 \cdot 2^{k+5} + 2^{2k+5} - 2^{3k} 3^{1-k} - 32 \cdot 3^{k+1} - (\frac{2}{3})^k \sqrt{9 \cdot 2^{4k} + 2^{2k+7} 3^{2k} + 2^{k+7} 3^{2k+1} - 128 \cdot 3^{3k+1}}{2(3 \cdot 2^{k+4} + 2^{2k+4} - 16 \cdot 3^{k+1})}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in S_{\sigma,k}(\beta)$ . Then

$$\frac{D^{k+1}f(z)}{D^k f(z)} = \beta + (1-\beta)p(z)$$
(4)

$$\frac{D^{k+1}g(w)}{D^k g(w)} = \beta + (1 - \beta)q(w)$$
(5)

where  $p, q \in P$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ . Thus, after some calculations, it follows from (4) and (5) that

$$a_2 = \frac{1 - \beta}{2^k} p_1,$$
 (6)

H. Orhan et al. / Filomat 31:12 (2017), 3897–3904

3900

$$a_3 = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2^{2k}} p_1^2 + \frac{1-\beta}{4 \cdot 3^k} (p_2 - q_2) \tag{7}$$

and

$$a_4 = \frac{(3^{k+1} - 2^{2k})(1-\beta)^3}{3.2^{4k}} p_1^3 + \frac{5(1-\beta)^2}{8.6^k} p_1(p_2 - q_2) + \frac{(1-\beta)}{6.4^k} (p_3 - q_3).$$
(8)

Then, we can establish that

$$|a_{2}a_{4} - a_{3}^{2}| = |-\frac{(1-\beta)^{4}(3^{k+1}-2^{2k}-3.2^{k})}{3.2^{5k}}p_{1}^{4} + \frac{(1-\beta)^{3}}{8.12^{k}}p_{1}^{2}(p_{2}-q_{2}) + \frac{(1-\beta)^{2}}{6.2^{3k}}p_{1}(p_{3}-q_{3}) - \frac{(1-\beta)^{2}}{16.9^{k}}(p_{2}-q_{2})^{2}|.$$
(9)

Making use of Lemma 1.3, we have

$$p_2 - q_2 = \frac{4 - p_1^2}{2}(x - y) \tag{10}$$

and

$$p_3 - q_3 = \frac{p_1^3}{2} + \frac{(4 - p_1^2)p_1}{2}(x + y) - \frac{(4 - p_1^2)p_1}{4}(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{4 - p_1^2}{2}\left[(1 - |x|^2)z - (1 - |y|^2)w\right].$$
 (11)

Then, by using equations (10) and (11) in (9) we may set

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{2}a_{4} - a_{3}^{2}| &\leq \left(\frac{(1-\beta)^{4}(3.2^{k}+2^{2k}-3^{k+1})}{3.2^{5k}} + \frac{(1-\beta)^{2}}{12.3^{k}}\right)p_{1}^{4} + \frac{(1-\beta)^{2}}{6.2^{3k}}p_{1}(4-p_{1}^{2}) \\ &+ \left[\frac{(1-\beta)^{2}}{6.2^{3k}}p_{1}^{2}\frac{(4-p_{1}^{2})}{2} + \frac{(1-\beta)^{3}}{8.12^{k}}p_{1}^{2}\frac{(4-p_{1}^{2})}{2}\right](|x|+|y|) \\ &+ \left[\frac{(1-\beta)^{2}}{6.2^{3k}}p_{1}^{2}\frac{(4-p_{1}^{2})}{4} - \frac{(1-\beta)^{2}}{6.2^{3k}}p_{1}\frac{(4-p_{1}^{2})}{2}\right](|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{(1-\beta)^{2}}{16.9^{k}}\frac{(4-p_{1}^{2})^{2}}{4}(|x|+|y|)^{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(12)

Since  $p \in P$ , so  $|p_1| \le 2$ . Letting  $|p_1| = p$ , we may assume without restriction that  $p \in [0, 2]$ . For  $\eta = |x| \le 1$  and  $\mu = |y| \le 1$ , we get

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le T_1 + (\eta + \mu)T_2 + (\eta^2 + \mu^2)T_3 + (\eta + \mu)^2T_4 = G(\eta, \mu)$$

where

$$\begin{split} T_1 &= T_1(p) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{3.2^{3k}} \left[ \left( (1-\beta)^2 \frac{(3.2^k+2^{2k}-3^{k+1})}{2^{2k}} + \frac{1}{4} \right) p^4 - \frac{p^3}{2} + 2p \right] \ge 0 \\ T_2 &= T_2(p) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 p^2 (4-p^2)}{2^{2k+2}} \left[ \frac{1}{3.2^k} + \frac{(1-\beta)}{4.3^k} \right] \ge 0 \\ T_3 &= T_3(p) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 p (4-p^2)(p-2)}{24.2^{3k}} \le 0 \\ T_4 &= T_4(p) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{16.9^k} \cdot \frac{(4-p^2)^2}{4} \ge 0. \end{split}$$

We now need to maximize the function  $G(\eta, \mu)$  on the closed region  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Since  $T_3 < 0$  and  $T_3 + 2T_4 > 0$  for  $p \in [0, 2)$ , we conclude that  $G_{\eta\eta}G_{\mu\mu} - (G_{\eta\mu})^2 < 0$ . Thus the function G can't have a local maximum in the interior of the region. Now, we investigate the maximum value of G on the boundary of the region.

For  $\eta = 0$  and  $0 \le \mu \le 1$  (similarly  $\mu = 0$  and  $0 \le \eta \le 1$ ), we obtain  $G(0, \mu) = H(\mu) = (T_3 + T_4)\mu^2 + T_2\mu + T_1$ .

Case 1:  $T_3 + T_4 \ge 0$ : In this case for  $0 \le \mu \le 1$  and any fixed p with  $0 \le p < 2$ , it's clear that  $H'(\mu) = 2(T_3 + T_4)\mu + T_2 > 0$ , that is,  $H(\mu)$  is increasing function. Hence, for fixed  $p \in [0, 2)$ , the maximum of  $H(\mu)$  occurs at  $\mu = 1$ , and max  $H(\mu) = H(1) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4$ .

Case 2:  $T_3 + T_4 < 0$ : Since  $T_2 + 2(T_3 + T_4) \ge 0$  for  $0 < \mu < 1$  and any fixed *p* with  $0 \le p < 2$ , it is clear that  $T_2 + 2(T_3 + T_4) < 2(T_3 + T_4)\mu + T_2 < T_2$  and so  $H'(\mu) > 0$ . Hence for fixed  $p \in [0, 2)$ , the maximum of  $H(\mu)$  occurs at  $\mu = 1$ .

Also for p = 2 we obtain

$$G(\eta,\mu) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{3.2^{3k}} \left[ \frac{(1-\beta)^2 (3.2^k + 2^{2k} - 3^{k+1})}{2^{2k-4}} + 4 \right].$$
(13)

Taking into consideration the value (13), and the cases 1 and 2, for  $0 \le \mu \le 1$  and any fixed p with  $0 \le p \le 2$ , max  $H(\mu) = H(1) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4$ .

For  $\eta = 1$  and  $0 \le \mu \le 1$  (similarly  $\mu = 1$  and  $0 \le \eta \le 1$ ), we have  $G(1, \mu) = F(\mu) = (T_3 + T_4)\mu^2 + (T_2 + 2T_4)\mu + T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4$ .

Similarly to the above cases of  $T_3 + T_4$ , we get that max  $F(\mu) = F(1) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4$ .

Since  $H(1) \le F(1)$  for  $p \in [0, 2]$ , max  $G(\eta, \mu) = G(1, 1)$  on the boundary of the region. Thus, the maximum value of *G* occurs at  $\eta = 1$  and  $\mu = 1$  in the closed region.

Let  $K : [0, 2] \rightarrow R$ 

$$K(p) = \max G(\eta, \mu) = G(1, 1) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4.$$
(14)

Substituting the values of  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  in the function K defined by (14), yields

$$K(p) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2^{2k}} \left\{ \frac{N}{48 \cdot 2^{3k} 3^{2k}} p^4 + \frac{M}{3^{2k} 2^{k+1}} p^2 + \frac{2^{2k}}{3^{2k}} \right\}$$

Assume that K(p) has a maximum value in an interior of  $p \in [0, 2]$ , by elementary calculations, we arrive at

$$K'(p) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2^{2k}} \left\{ \frac{N}{12 \cdot 2^{3k} 3^{2k}} p^3 + \frac{M}{2^k 3^{2k}} p \right\}$$

Setting K'(p) = 0, we have the real critical points  $p_{0_1} = 0$  and  $p_{0_2} = \sqrt{\frac{-12.2^{2k}M}{N}}$ .

It can be showed easily that *M* is a positive real number for every  $\beta \in [0, 1)$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is, M > 0.

Besides, by using Mathematica Program we can obtain that one of roots of equation N = 0 is

$$\beta_{1} = \frac{3.2^{k+5} + 2^{2k+5} - 2^{3k+1}3^{1-k} - 32.3^{k+1}}{2(3.2^{k+4} + 2^{2k+4} - 16.3^{k+1})} - \frac{2.3^{-2k}\sqrt{2^{4k+7}3^{4k} - 2^{7k+4}3^{2k+1} - 5.2^{6k}3^{2k+3} + 2^{5k+4}3^{3k+2} + 2^{3k+7}3^{4k+1} - 2^{2k+7}3^{5k+1}}{2(3.2^{k+4} + 2^{2k+4} - 16.3^{k+1})}$$

As a result of some calculations we can deduce that *N* is a negative real number for every  $\beta \in [0, 1)$  and for k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (see Figure 1) but *N* is not always a negative real number for  $k \ge 6(k \in \mathbb{N})$  and for some values of  $\beta \in [0, 1)$ . Also, if below Figure 1 is scrutinized, we can conclude that *N* is a negative real number for every  $\beta \in [0, 1)$  and for k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.



Figure 1: We can see that N is a negative real number for k=1,2,3,4,5.

We can do the following examine in consequence of above explanations:

First all, let k = 1, 2, 3. In this case M > 0 and N < 0 for every  $\beta \in [0, 1)$ . Since  $p_{0_2} < 2$  (k = 1, 2, 3) for every  $\beta \in [0, 1)$  and so  $K''(p_{0_2}) < 0$ , the maximum value of K(p) corresponds to  $p = p_{0_2}$ , that is,

$$\max_{0 \le p \le 2} K(p) = K(p_{0_2}) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2^{2k}} \left[ \frac{2^{2k}}{3^{2k}} - \frac{3 \cdot 2^k M^2}{3^{2k} N} \right].$$

Consequently, since  $K(0) < K(2) \le K(p_{0_2})$  we obtain max  $K(p) = K(p_{0_2})$ .

Now, let k = 4, 5. In this case, we can deduce that for some values of  $\beta \in [0, 1)$  is  $p_{0_2} \ge 2$  (see Figure 2). If Figure 2 is analyzed , we conclude that for k > 3 ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and for some value of  $\beta \in [0, 1)$  is  $p_{0_2} \ge 2$  or  $p_{0_2} < 2$ .



Figure 2: We can observe that for k > 3 and some values of  $\beta$  are  $p_{0_2} \ge 2$  or  $p_{0_2} < 2$ .

Case 1: If  $\beta \in [0, \beta'_1]$  then  $p_{0_2} \ge 2$ , that is,  $p_{0_2}$  is out of the interval (0, 2). Therefore, the maximum value of K(p) occurs at  $p = p_{0_1}$  or  $p = p_{0_2}$  which contradicts our assumption of having the maximum value at the interior point of  $p \in [0, 2]$ . Since K is an increasing function in the interval [0, 2], maximum point of K must be on the boundary of  $p \in [0, 2]$ , that is, p = 2. Thus, we have

$$\max_{0 \le p \le 2} K(p) = K(2) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{3^{2k+1}2^{5k}} \left[ N + 6.2^{2k}M + 3.2^{5k} \right].$$

Case 2: When  $\beta \in (\beta'_1, 1)$  we observe that  $p_{0_2} \le 2$ , that is,  $p_{0_2}$  is interior of the interval [0, 2]. Since  $K''(p_{0_2}) < 0$ , the maximum value of K(p) occurs at  $p = p_{0_2}$ . Thus, we have

$$\max_{0 \le p \le 2} K(p) = K(p_{0_2}) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2^{2k}} \left[ \frac{2^{2k}}{3^{2k}} - \frac{3 \cdot 2^k M^2}{3^{2k} N} \right].$$

Finally, we examined the cases of  $k \ge 6$  (and k = 0) in below, in this case, we see that *N* is negative real number for  $\beta \in (\beta_1, 1)$  (see Figure 3). Thus,  $p_{0_2}$  is a real number.



Figure 3: It can be showed both *p* and  $\beta$  for values of  $k \ge 6$ .

Therefore, there are two cases;

Case 1: For  $N \ge 0$ , that is,  $\beta \in [0, \beta_1)$ . Therefore, K'(p) > 0 for  $p \in (0, 2)$ . Since K is an increasing function in the interval (0, 2), maximum point of K must be on the boundary of  $p \in [0, 2]$ , that is, p = 2. Thus, we have

$$\max_{0 \le p \le 2} K(p) = K(2) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{3^{2k+1}2^{5k}} \left[ N + 6.2^{2k}M + 3.2^{5k} \right].$$

Case 2: When  $\beta \in [\beta_1, \beta'_1]$ , we observe that  $p_{0_2} \ge 2$ , that is,  $p_{0_2}$  is out of the interval (0, 2). Therefore, the maximum value of K(p) occurs at  $p_{0_1} = 0$  or  $p = p_{0_2}$  which contradicts our assumption of having the maximum value at the interior point of  $p \in [0, 2]$ . Since K is an increasing function in the interval [0, 2], maximum point of K must be on the boundary of  $p \in [0, 2]$ , that is, p = 2. Thus, we have

$$\max_{0 \le p \le 2} K(p) = K(2) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{3^{2k + 1} 2^{5k}} \left[ N + 6.2^{2k} M + 3.2^{5k} \right]$$

When  $\beta \in (\beta'_1, 1)$ , we observe that  $p_{0_2} \leq 2$ , that is,  $p_{0_2}$  is interior of the interval [0, 2]. Since  $K''(p_{0_2}) < 0$ , the maximum value of K(p) occurs at  $p = p_{0_2}$ . Thus, we have

$$\max_{0 \le p \le 2} K(p) = K(p_{0_2}) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2^{2k}} \left[ \frac{2^{2k}}{3^{2k}} - \frac{3 \cdot 2^k M^2}{3^{2k} N} \right].$$

We thus have completed our proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.2.** [3]Let f given by (1) be in the class  $S^*_{\sigma}(\beta)$  and  $0 \le \beta < 1$ . Then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{3}(4\beta^2 - 8\beta + 5), & \beta \in [0, \frac{29-\sqrt{137}}{32})\\ (1-\beta)^2(\frac{13\beta^2 - 14\beta - 7}{16\beta^2 - 26\beta + 5}), & \beta \in (\frac{29-\sqrt{137}}{32}, 1) \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 2.3.** [3]Let f given by (1) be in the class  $K_{\sigma}(\beta)$  and  $0 \le \beta < 1$ . Then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{24} \left( \frac{5\beta^2 + 8\beta - 32}{3\beta^2 - 3\beta - 4} \right).$$

**Acknowledgement:** The authors would like to thank the referee(s) for his/her insightful suggestions and comments.

#### References

- S. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, Initial coefficient bounds for a general class of bi-univalent functions, Int. J. Anal., Article ID 867871, (2014) 4 pp.
- [2] D. A. Brannan, T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai. Math., 31 (2) (1986) 70-77.
- [3] E. Deniz, M. Çağlar and H. Orhan, Second Hankel determinant for bi-starlike and bi-convex functions of order β, Appl. Math. Comp. 2015; 271: 301-307.
- [4] M. Fekete, G. Szegö, Eine Bermerkung Über Ungerade Schlichte Funktionen, J. London. Math. Soc., 2 (1933). 85-89.
- [5] B. A. Frasin, M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (2011) 1569-1573.
- [6] U. Grenander, G. Szegö, Toeplitz form and their applications, California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences, Univ. California Press, Berkeley, (1958).
- S. Kanas, H. M. Srivastava, Linear operators associated with k-uniformly convex functions, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 9 (2000), 121–132. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10652460008819249.
- [8] N. Magesh, J. Yamini, Coefficient bound for a certain subclass of bi-univalent functions, Int. Math. Forum, 8 (27) (2012) 1337-1344.
  [9] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in |z| < 1, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 32 (1969) 100-112.</li>
- [10] J. W. Noonan, D. K. Thomas, On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p-valent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 223 (2) (1976) 337-3346.
- [11] H. Orhan, N. Magesh and V. K. Balaji, Fekete-Szegö problem for certain classes of Ma-Minda bi-univalent functions, Afr. Mat. 2015; DOI 10.1007/s13370-015-0383-y.
- [12] C. Pommerenke, Univalent Functions, Vandenhoeck & Rubrecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [13] G. S. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, in Complex Analysis, Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Vol. 1013 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pp. 362–372, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1983.
- [14] H.M. Srivastava, D. Bansal, Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. 23 (2015), 242-246.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava, S. Bulut, M. Çağlar and N. Yağmur, Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Filomat 27 (5) (2013) 831-842.
- [16] H.M. Srivastava, S.S. Eker and R.M. Ali, Coefficient bounds for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Filomat 29 (2015), 1839-1845.
- [17] H.M. Srivastava, S.Gaboury and F. Ghanim, Coefficient estimates for some subclasses of *m-fold* symmetric bi-univalent functions, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform. No. 41 (2015), 153-164.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava, S.B. Joshi, S.S. Joshi and H. Pawar, Coefficient estimates for certain subclasses of meromorphically bi-univalent functions, Palest. J. Math. 5 (Special Issue: 1) (2016), 250-258.
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain Subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 23 (10) (2010) 1188-1192.
- [20] H.M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Hohlov operator, Global J. Math. Anal. 1 (2) (2013), 67-73.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava, S. Sivasubramanian and R. Sivakumar, Initial coefficient bounds for a subclass of *m-fold* symmetric bi-univalent functions, Tbilisi Math. J. 7 (2) (2014), 1-10.
- [22] Q. H. Xu, Y. C. Gui and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 25 (2012) 990-994.
- [23] Q.H. Xu, H.G. Xiao and H.M. Srivastava, A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012), 11461-11465.