



Multidecomposition of Cartesian Product of Some Graphs into Even Cycles and Matchings

P. Kandan^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar 608 002, India

Abstract. Let C_{2p} and pK_2 denote a cycle with $2p$ edges and p vertex-disjoint edges, respectively. For graphs G , H' and H'' , a (H', H'') -multidecomposition of G is a partition of the edge set of G into copies of H' and copies of H'' with at least one copy of H' and at least one copy of H'' . In this paper, we investigate (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition of the Cartesian product of paths, cycles and complete graphs, for some values $p \geq 3$.

1. Introduction

All graphs considered here are finite undirected simple graphs only. For the discussions, some terminologies and notations are needed. Let P_n for the path on n vertices, C_n for the cycle on n vertices, K_n for the complete graph on n vertices, and pK_2 for p vertex-disjoint edges. Let $V(P_n) = V(C_n) = V(K_n) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, $E(P_n) = \{\{i, i+1\} : i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2\}\}$ and $E(C_n) = E(P_n) \cup \{\{n-1, 0\}\}$.

A decomposition of a graph G is a collection $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s\}$ of nonempty subgraphs of G such that the sets $E(G_1), E(G_2), \dots, E(G_s)$ form a partition of $E(G)$, where $E(G_i)$ and $E(G)$ are, respectively, the edge sets of G_i and G ; denote this by $G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \dots \oplus G_s$.

Consider a decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s\}$ of G . If, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$, $G_i \cong H$, then say that H divides G and denote it by $H|G$, and the collection \mathcal{G} is called a H -decomposition of G or a H -design of G .

Consider a decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s\}$ of G ; $s \geq 2$. If there exists $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, s-1\}$ such that, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$, $G_i \cong H'$ and for every $i \in \{\ell+1, \ell+2, \dots, s\}$, $G_i \cong H''$, and if $H' \not\cong H''$, then say that the graph-pair (H', H'') divides G , and the collection \mathcal{G} is called a (H', H'') -multidecomposition of G or a (H', H'') -multidesign of G .

The Cartesian product $H_1 \square H_2$ of two graphs H_1 and H_2 is the simple graph with $V(H_1) \times V(H_2)$ as its vertex set and two vertices (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) are adjacent in $H_1 \square H_2$ if and only if either $u_1 = u_2$ and v_1 is adjacent to v_2 in H_2 , or u_1 is adjacent to u_2 in H_1 and $v_1 = v_2$.

The study of the (G, H) -multidecomposition was introduced by Abueida and Daven in [2]. Abueida and Daven [4] investigated the problem of the (K_k, S_k) -multidecomposition of the complete graph K_n . In [5] Priyadharsini and Muthusamy gave necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the (G_n, H_n) -multidecomposition of λK_n where $G_n, H_n \in \{C_n, P_{n-1}, S_{n-1}\}$, where S_n denote the star on $n+1$ vertices. The graph multidecomposition problems has been widely studied (see [6–10]). Abueida and Daven

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 05C70, 05C38; Secondary: 05C51

Keywords. Multidecomposition, Cartesian Product, Path, Cycle, Complete graph.

Received: 08 October 2016; Accepted: 04 March 2017

Communicated by Francesco Belardo

Email address: kandan2k@gmail.com (P. Kandan)

[3] have recently established necessary and sufficient conditions for $(C_4, 2K_2)$ -multidecomposition of the Cartesian products $P_m \square P_n, P_m \square C_n, P_m \square K_n, C_m \square C_n, C_m \square K_n$ and $K_m \square K_n$. On this extension, we have consider (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition of the above Cartesian products, for some values of $p \geq 3$.

2. Cartesian Product of Paths

In this section, we have proved that $P_m \square P_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition, for some values of $p \geq 3$.

As $g.c.d.(|E(C_{2p})|, |E(pK_2)|) = g.c.d.(2p, p) = p$ and $|E(P_m \square P_n)| = 2mn - m - n$. If $P_m \square P_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition, then p divides $2mn - m - n$. Observe that, if either $m \equiv 0 \pmod p \equiv n$ or $m \equiv 1 \pmod p \equiv n$, then $p|(2mn - m - n)$. Note that, for $p = 3, 3|(2mn - m - n)$ if and only if either $m \equiv 0 \pmod 3 \equiv n$ or $m \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \equiv n$. For $p = 4, 4|(2mn - m - n)$ if and only if $(m \pmod 4, n \pmod 4) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)\}$. For $p = 5, 5|(2mn - m - n)$ if and only if $(m \pmod 5, n \pmod 5) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 4), (4, 2)\}$.

Theorem 2.1. For integers $m, n \geq p$ and $(m, n) \neq (3, 3)$, either $m \equiv 0 \pmod p \equiv n$ or $m \equiv 1 \pmod p \equiv n$ then $P_m \square P_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition for all $p \geq 3$.

Theorem 2.2. For integers $m, n \geq 3$ and $(m, n) \neq (3, 3)$, $P_m \square P_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if and only if $(m, n) \neq (3, 3)$ and either $m \equiv 0 \pmod 3 \equiv n$ or $m \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \equiv n$.

Theorem 2.3. For integers $m, n \geq 2$ and $(m, n) \neq (2, 2)$, $P_m \square P_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition if and only if $(m \pmod 4, n \pmod 4) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)\}$.

Theorem 2.4. For integers $m, n \geq 2$ and $(m, n) \neq (2, 2)$, $P_m \square P_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition if and only if $(m \pmod 5, n \pmod 5) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 4), (4, 2)\}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 follows from Lemmas 2.5 to 2.9; proof of Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.10; proof of Theorem 2.3 follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12; proof of Theorem 2.4 follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.13.

Lemma 2.5. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$, and if $(p, n) \neq (3, 3)$, then $P_p \square P_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Case 1. $n \equiv 0 \pmod 2$.

For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2}\}$, the cycle $C_{2p}(j) = (0, 2j)(0, 2j + 1)(1, 2j + 1)(2, 2j + 1) \cdots (p - 1, 2j + 1)(p - 1, 2j)(p - 2, 2j)(p - 3, 2j) \cdots (1, 2j)(0, 2j)$ is isomorphic to C_{2p} . For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-4}{2}\}$, the graph $M_p^1(j) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} (i, 2j + 1)(i, 2j + 2)$

is isomorphic to pK_2 . For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2}\}$, the graph $M_p^2(j) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-2} (i, 2j)(i, 2j + 1)$ is a matching of cardinality

$p - 2$. Furthermore, $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} M_p^2(j)$ is a matching of cardinality $\frac{n}{2}(p - 2)$. If p is odd, then $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ implies that $\frac{n}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod p$ and therefore $\frac{n}{2}(p - 2) \equiv 0 \pmod p$. If p is even, then $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$ implies that $\frac{n}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod \frac{p}{2}$; this together with $p - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ implies that $\frac{n}{2}(p - 2) \equiv 0 \pmod p$. In any case, $\frac{n}{2}(p - 2) \equiv 0 \pmod p$.

Consequently, $(pK_2) | (\bigcup_{j=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} M_p^2(j))$. Hence, $\{C_{2p}(j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2}\}\} \cup \{M_p^1(j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-4}{2}\}\} \cup \{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} M_p^2(j)\}$ form a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition of $P_p \square P_n$.

Case 2. $n \equiv 1 \pmod 2$.

Subcase 2.1. $p \neq 3$.

For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}$, the cycle $C_{2p}(j) = (0, 2j)(0, 2j + 1)(1, 2j + 1)(2, 2j + 1) \cdots (p - 1, 2j + 1)(p - 1, 2j)(p - 2, 2j)(p - 3, 2j) \cdots (0, 2j)$ is isomorphic to C_{2p} . For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}$, the graph $M_p^1(j) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} (i, 2j + 1)(i, 2j + 2)$ is

isomorphic to pK_2 . For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}$, the graph $M_p^2(j) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-2} (i, 2j)(i, 2j+1)$ is a matching of cardinality $p-2$. For each $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}$, $M_p^2(j) \cup \{(j, n-1)(j+1, n-1), (\frac{p-1}{2} + j, n-1)(\frac{p+1}{2} + j, n-1)\} = M_p^3(j)$ is isomorphic to pK_2 . Furthermore, $\bigcup_{j=\frac{n-3}{2}}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} M_p^2(j)$ is a matching of cardinality $\frac{n-p}{2}(p-2)$. $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ implies

that $p \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Thus $\frac{n-p}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod p$. Consequently, $(pK_2) | (\bigcup_{j=\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} M_p^2(j))$. Hence, $\{C_{2p}(j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}\} \cup$

$\{M_p^1(j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{\bigcup_{j=\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} M_p^2(j)\} \cup \{M_p^3(j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\}$ form a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition

of $P_p \square P_n$.

Subcase 2.2. $p = 3$.

$n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ implies that $n \equiv 3 \pmod 6$.

For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}$, the cycle $C_6(j) = (0, 2j)(0, 2j+1)(1, 2j+1)(2, 2j+1)(2, 2j)(1, 2j)(0, 2j)$ is isomorphic to C_6 . For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}$, the graph $M_3^1(j) = (0, 2j+1)(0, 2j+2) \oplus (1, 2j+1)(1, 2j+2) \cup (2, 2j+1)(2, 2j+2)$, the graph $M_3^2 = (0, n-1)(1, n-1) \oplus (1, n-2)(1, n-3) \oplus (1, n-4)(1, n-5)$, the graph $M_3^3 = (1, n-1)(2, n-1) \oplus (1, n-6)(1, n-7) \oplus (1, n-8)(1, n-9)$, and for $n \geq 15$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-15}{6}\}$, the graph $M_3^4(j) = (1, 6j)(1, 6j+1) \oplus (1, 6j+2)(1, 6j+3) \oplus (1, 6j+4)(1, 6j+5)$ are all isomorphic to $3K_2$.

$\{C_6(j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{M_3^1(j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{M_3^2\} \cup \{M_3^3\} \cup \{M_3^4(j) : n \geq 15 \text{ and } j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-15}{6}\}\}$ form a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition of $P_3 \square P_n$.

Lemma 2.6. *If $m \equiv 0 \pmod p \equiv n$ and if $(m, n) \neq (3, 3)$, then $P_m \square P_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition.*

Proof. As $(m, n) \neq (3, 3)$, either $(p, n) \neq (3, 3)$ or $(m, p) \neq (3, 3)$. Without loss of generality assume that $(p, n) \neq (3, 3)$. Observe that $P_m \square P_n = \frac{m}{p}(P_p \square P_n) \oplus \frac{m-p}{p}(nK_2)$. By Lemma 2.5, $P_p \square P_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition. As $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$, $(pK_2) | (nK_2)$ and hence, $(pK_2) | [\frac{m-p}{p}(nK_2)]$. Thus $P_m \square P_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition.

Lemma 2.7. *$P_4 \square P_4$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.*

Proof. $P_4 \square P_4$ is the 6-cycle $(0, 0)(0, 1)(0, 2)(1, 2)(1, 1)(1, 0)(0, 0) \oplus$ the 6-cycle $(2, 1)(2, 2)(2, 3)(3, 3)(3, 2)(3, 1)(2, 1) \oplus$ the $3K_2$ $\{(0, 1)(1, 1), (0, 2)(0, 3), (1, 2)(1, 3)\} \oplus$ the $3K_2$ $\{(2, 0)(2, 1), (3, 0)(3, 1), (2, 2)(3, 2)\} \oplus$ the $3K_2$ $\{(0, 3)(1, 3), (1, 2)(2, 2), (2, 0)(3, 0)\} \oplus$ the $3K_2$ $\{(1, 0)(2, 0), (1, 1)(2, 1), (1, 3)(2, 3)\}$.

Lemma 2.8. *If $k \equiv 1 \pmod p$, and if $k \neq p + 1$, then $(pK_2) | P_k$.*

Proof. For each $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{k-1-p}{p}\}$, consider $\bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} \{i(\frac{k-1}{p}) + j, i(\frac{k-1}{p}) + 1 + j\}$. It is a matching of cardinality p . Hence $(pK_2) | P_k$.

Lemma 2.9. *If $m \equiv 1 \pmod p \equiv n$ with $m, n \geq 4$, then $P_m \square P_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition for all $p \geq 3$.*

Proof. If $(m, n) = (4, 4)$, then $p = 3$ and hence the lemma follows by Lemma 2.7. Hence, assume that $(m, n) \neq (4, 4)$. Observe that $P_m \square P_n$ is a path $(m-1, 0)(m-2, 0) \dots (2, 0)(1, 0)(0, 0)(0, 1)(0, 2) \dots (0, n-2)(0, n-1)$ \oplus a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, 1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}\} \oplus$ a matching $\{(0, j)(1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}\} \oplus (P_{m-1} \square P_{n-1})$.

Since $m-1 \equiv 0 \pmod p \equiv n-1$, by Lemma 2.6, $P_{m-1} \square P_{n-1}$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition if $(m, n) \neq (4, 4)$. Again, since $m-1 \equiv 0 \pmod p \equiv n-1$, the matchings $\{(i, 0)(i, 1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}\}$ and $\{(0, j)(1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}\}$ are each divisible by pK_2 . Finally, by Lemma 2.8, the path $(m-1, 0)(m-2, 0) \dots (2, 0)(1, 0)(0, 0)(0, 1)(0, 2) \dots (0, n-2)(0, n-1)$ is divisible by pK_2 since its order $\equiv 1 \pmod p$ and $\neq p + 1$.

Lemma 2.10. *There is no $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition for $P_3 \square P_3$.*

Proof. Suppose $P_3 \square P_3$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition. Then the removal of the edges of any C_6 from $P_3 \square P_3$ is a forest and it contains three mutually adjacent edges. These three mutually adjacent edges are edges of two $3K_2$'s in the multidecomposition, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.11. *If $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \equiv n, m, n \geq 2$ and $(m, n) \neq (2, 2)$, then $P_m \square P_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition.*

Proof. If $m = 2$ and $n \geq 6$, then $P_2 \square P_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition as follows. $P_2 \square P_n =$ a

cycle $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\frac{n-6}{4}} \{(0, 4j+1)(0, 4j+2)(0, 4j+3)(0, 4j+4)(1, 4j+4)(1, 4j+3)(1, 4j+2)(1, 4j+1)(0, 4j+1)\} \oplus$ a matching

$\{(0, 0)(1, 0), (0, 2)(1, 2), (0, 3)(1, 3), (0, n-1)(1, n-1)\} \oplus$ the remaining edges are form a matching with cardinality $\frac{n+2}{4}$ which is divisible by 4. Now for the remaining values of (m, n) , observe that $P_m \square P_n =$ a path $(m-1, 1)(m-1, 0)(m-2, 0) \dots (2, 0)(1, 0)(0, 0)(0, 1)(0, 2) \dots (0, n-2)(0, n-1)(1, n-1) \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, 1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-2\}\} \oplus$ a matching $\{(0, j)(1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}\} \oplus (P_{m-1} \square P_{n-1})$.

Since $m-1 \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \equiv n-1$, by Lemma 2.9, $P_{m-1} \square P_{n-1}$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition. Again, since $m-2 \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \equiv n-2$, the matchings $\{(i, 0)(i, 1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-2\}\}$ and $\{(0, j)(1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}\}$ are each divisible by $4K_2$. Finally, by Lemma 2.8, the path $(m-1, 1)(m-1, 0)(m-2, 0) \dots (2, 0)(1, 0)(0, 0)(0, 1)(0, 2) \dots (0, n-2)(0, n-1)(1, n-1)$ is divisible by $4K_2$ since its order $\equiv 1 \pmod 4$.

Lemma 2.12. *If $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \equiv n, m, n \geq 3$ and $(m, n) \neq (3, 3)$, then $P_m \square P_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition.*

Proof. Observe that $P_m \square P_n =$ a path $(m-1, 0)(m-2, 0) \dots (2, 0)(1, 0)(0, 0)(0, 1)(0, 2) \dots (0, n-2)(0, n-1) \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, 1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-3\}\} \oplus$ a matching $[\{(0, j)(1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}\} \cup \{(m-1, 0)(m-1, 1)\} \cup \{(m-2, 0)(m-2, 1)\}] \oplus (P_{m-1} \square P_{n-1})$.

Since $m-1 \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \equiv n-1$, by Lemma 2.11, $P_{m-1} \square P_{n-1}$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition. Again, since $m-3 \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \equiv n-3$, the matchings $\{(i, 0)(i, 1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-3\}\}$ and $[\{(0, j)(1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}\} \cup \{(m-1, 0)(m-1, 1)\} \cup \{(m-2, 0)(m-2, 1)\}]$ are each divisible by $4K_2$. Finally, by Lemma 2.8, the path $(m-1, 0)(m-2, 0) \dots (2, 0)(1, 0)(0, 0)(0, 1)(0, 2) \dots (0, n-2)(0, n-1)$ is divisible by $4K_2$ since its order $\equiv 1 \pmod 4$.

Lemma 2.13. *If $m \equiv 2 \pmod 5$ and $n \equiv 4 \pmod 5$, then $P_m \square P_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition.*

Proof. Observe that $P_m \square P_n =$ a path $(1, 0)(0, 0)(0, 1) \dots (0, n-2)(0, n-1)(1, n-1) \oplus (2, 0)(1, 0)(1, 1) \dots (1, n-2)(1, n-1)(2, n-1) \oplus$ the $2(\frac{m-2}{5})$ -cycle $\{(5i+2, 2j)(5i+2, 2j+1)(5i+3, 2j+1)(5i+4, 2j+1)(5i+5, 2j+1)(5i+6, 2j+1)(5i+6, 2j)(5i+5, 2j)(5i+4, 2j)(5i+3, 2j)(5i+2, 2j) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, (\frac{m-2}{5})-1\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\} \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, j)(i+1, j) : i \in \{0, 1\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}\} \cup \{(5i+k, 2j)(5i+k, 2j+1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, (\frac{m-2}{5})-1\}, j \in \{0, 1\}, k \in \{3, 4, 5\}\} \cup \{(5i+1, 2j)(5i+2, 2j+1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, (\frac{m-2}{5})-1\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\} \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, 2j-1)(i, 2j) : i \in \{2, 3, \dots, m-1\}, j \in \{1, 2\}\} \oplus (P_{m-2} \square P_{n-4})$.

Since $m-2 \equiv 0 \pmod 5$ and $n-4 \equiv 0 \pmod 5$, by Lemma 2.9, $P_{m-2} \square P_{n-4}$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition. Again, since $2(m-2) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$, the matchings $\{(i, 2j-1)(i, 2j) : i \in \{2, 3, \dots, m-1\}, j \in \{1, 2\}\}$ and $(n-2) + 3(\frac{m-2}{5}) + 2((\frac{m-2}{5})-1) = (n-4) + (m-2) \equiv 0 \pmod 5$, $\{(i, j)(i+1, j) : i \in \{0, 1\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}\} \cup \{(5i+k, 2j)(5i+k, 2j+1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, (\frac{m-2}{5})-1\}, j \in \{0, 1\}, k \in \{3, 4, 5\}\} \cup \{(5i+1, 2j)(5i+2, 2j+1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, (\frac{m-2}{5})-1\}, j \in \{0, 1\}\}$ are each divisible by $5K_2$. Finally, by Lemma 2.8, the path $(1, 0)(0, 0)(0, 1) \dots (0, n-2)(0, n-1)(1, n-1)(2, 0)(1, 0)(1, 1) \dots (1, n-2)(1, n-1)(2, n-1)$ is divisible by $5K_2$ since its order $\equiv 1 \pmod 5$.

3. Cartesian Product of a Path and a Cycle

In this section, we have proved that $P_m \square C_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition, for some values of $p \geq 3$.

As $g.c.d.(|E(C_{2p})|, |E(pK_2)|) = g.c.d.(2p, p) = p$ and $|E(P_m \square C_n)| = (2m-1)n$. If $P_m \square C_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition, then p divides $(2m-1)n$. Note that, if either $2m \equiv 1 \pmod p$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$ then $p | ((2m-1)n)$. For $p = 3, 3 | ((2m-1)n)$ if and only if either $m \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. For $p = 4, 4 | ((2m-1)n)$ if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. For $p = 5, 5 | ((2m-1)n)$ if and only if either $m \equiv 3 \pmod 5$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod 5$.

Theorem 3.1. For integers $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$, and $p \geq 3$, if $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$, then $P_m \square C_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition.

Lemma 3.2. If $k \equiv 0 \pmod p$, and if $k \neq p$ then $(pK_2) | C_k$.

Proof. Let $k = pr$, r is a positive integer. For each $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$, consider $\bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} \{ri + j, ri + j + 1\}$. It is a matching of cardinality p . Hence, $(pK_2) | C_k$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $m = \ell p + s$, where $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Decompose $P_m \square C_n$ as follows: (i) $P_{\ell p} \square P_n$ with vertex set $\{0, 1, \dots, \ell p - 1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\} \oplus$ (ii) a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, n - 1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell p - 1\}\}$ of cardinality $\ell p \oplus$ (iii) $\bigoplus_{i=\ell p-1}^{m-1}$ (a matching $\{(i, j)(i + 1, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}\}$ of cardinality n) \oplus (iv) $\bigoplus_{i=\ell p}^{m-1}$ (a cycle $(i, 0)(i, 1)(i, 2) \dots (i, n - 1)(i, 0)$ of cardinality n). If $(\ell p, n) \neq (3, 3)$, i.e., $(\ell, p, n) \neq (1, 3, 3)$, then by Theorem 2.1 graph (i) admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition. Clearly, graph (ii) and each graph in (iii) admits a pK_2 -decomposition. By Lemma 3.2, if $n \neq p$, then each graph in (iv) admits a pK_2 -decomposition. Thus it is enough to consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $n = p$.

Consider the following subcases

Subcase 1.1. For $n = p$, assume p and s are odd. Let $m = \ell p + s$, where $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Decompose $P_m \square C_n$ as follows: (i) $P_{\ell p} \square P_p$ with vertex set $\{0, 1, \dots, \ell p - 1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$, by Theorem 2.1 graph (i) admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition \oplus (ii) a matching $\{(\ell p, 2j)(\ell p, 2j + 1) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{(\ell p - 1, \ell p - 1)(\ell p, \ell p - 1)\} \cup \{(i, 0)(i, p - 1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (iii) a matching $\{(\ell p, 2j + 1)(\ell p, 2j + 2) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{(\ell p - 1, 0)(\ell p, 0)\} \cup \{(i, 0)(i, p - 1) : i \in \{\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p+1}{2}, \dots, p - 2\}\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (iv) a matching $\{(\ell p - 1, j)(\ell p, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 2\}\} \cup \{(\ell p, 0)(\ell p, p - 1), (p - 1, 0)(p - 1, p - 1)\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (v) the subgraphs $\frac{s-1}{2}$ times $P_2 \square C_p$, for each $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{s-1}{2}\}$, decompose $P_2 \square C_p$ into pK_2 as follows: (a) a matching $\{(\ell p + 2t, 2j)(\ell p + 2t, 2j + 1) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t + 1, 2j)(\ell p + 2t + 1, 2j + 1) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t, \ell p - 1)(\ell p + 2t + 1, \ell p - 1)\} \oplus$ (b) a matching $\{(\ell p + 2t, 2j + 1)(\ell p + 2t, 2j + 2) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t + 1, 2j + 1)(\ell p + 2t + 1, 2j + 2) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t, 0)(\ell p + 2t + 1, 0)\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (c) a matching $\{(\ell p + 2t, j)(\ell p + 2t + 1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 2\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t, 0)(\ell p + 2t, p - 1), (\ell p + 2t + 1, 0)(\ell p + 2t + 1, p - 1)\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\frac{s-3}{2}}$ (a matching $\{(\ell + 2i + 1, j)(\ell + 2i + 2, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}\}$ of cardinality n).

Now assume p is odd and s is even. Except the last decomposition of the above, the remaining are same, that is decomposition of $P_m \square C_n$ is (i) \oplus (ii) \oplus (iii) \oplus (iv) \oplus (v) the subgraphs $\frac{s}{2}$ times $P_2 \square C_p$, for each $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{s}{2} - 1\}$, decompose $P_2 \square C_p$ into pK_2 as follows: (a) a matching $\{(\ell p + 2t, 2j)(\ell p + 2t, 2j + 1) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t + 1, 2j)(\ell p + 2t + 1, 2j + 1) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t, \ell p - 1)(\ell p + 2t + 1, \ell p - 1)\} \oplus$ (b) a matching $\{(\ell p + 2t, 2j + 1)(\ell p + 2t, 2j + 2) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t + 1, 2j + 1)(\ell p + 2t + 1, 2j + 2) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t, 0)(\ell p + 2t + 1, 0)\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (c) a matching $\{(\ell p + 2t, j)(\ell p + 2t + 1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 2\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t, 0)(\ell p + 2t, p - 1), (\ell p + 2t + 1, 0)(\ell p + 2t + 1, p - 1)\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\frac{s}{2}}$ (a matching $\{(\ell + 2i + 1, j)(\ell + 2i + 2, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}\}$ of cardinality n).

Subcase 1.2. For $n = p$, assume p and s are even. Let $m = \ell p + s$, where $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Decompose $P_m \square C_n$ as follows: (i) $P_{\ell p} \square P_p$ with vertex set $\{0, 1, \dots, \ell p - 1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$, by Theorem 2.1 graph (i) admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition \oplus (ii) the subgraphs $\frac{s}{2}$ times $P_2 \square C_p$, for each $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{s}{2} - 1\}$, decompose $P_2 \square C_p$ into (C_{2p}, pK_2) as follows: (a) a matching $\{(\ell p + 2t, j)(\ell p + 2t + 1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 2\}\} \cup \{(\ell p + 2t, 0)(\ell p + 2t, p - 1), (\ell p + 2t + 1, 0)(\ell p + 2t + 1, p - 1)\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (b) a cycle $(\ell p + 2t, 0)(\ell p + 2t, 1)(\ell p + 2t, 2) \dots (\ell p + 2t, n - 1)(\ell p + 2t + 1, n - 1) \dots (\ell p + 2t + 1, 1)(\ell p + 2t + 1, 0)(\ell p + 2t, 0)$ of cardinality $2p \oplus$ (iii) for each $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{s}{2} - 1\}$, a matching $\{(\ell p + 2t - 1, j)(\ell p + 2t, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}\}$

of cardinality $p \oplus$ (iv) a matching $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell p-1} \{(i, 0)(i, p-1)\}$ of cardinality ℓp .

Now assume p is even and s is odd. Decompose $P_m \square C_n$ as follows: (i) $P_{\ell p} \square P_p$ with vertex set $\{0, 1, \dots, \ell p-1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, by Theorem 2.1 graph (i) admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition \oplus (ii) the subgraphs $\frac{s-1}{2}$ times $P_2 \square C_p$, for each $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{s-1}{2}\}$, decompose $P_2 \square C_p$ into (C_{2p}, pK_2) as follows: (a) a matching $\{(\ell p+2t-1, j)(\ell p+2t, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-2\}\} \cup \{(\ell p+2t-1, 0)(\ell p+2t-1, p-1), (\ell p+2t, 0)(\ell p+2t, p-1)\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (b) a cycle $(\ell p+2t-1, 0)(\ell p+2t-1, 1)(\ell p+2t-1, 2) \dots (\ell p+2t-1, p-1)(\ell p+2t, p-1) \dots (\ell p+2t, 1)(\ell p+2t, 0)(\ell p+2t-1, 0)$ of cardinality $2p \oplus$ (iii) for each $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{s-1}{2}\}$, a matching $\{(\ell p+2t-2, j)(\ell p+2t-1, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (iv) for each $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{s-3}{2}\}$, a matching $\{(\ell p+2t, j)(\ell p+2t+1, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (v) a matching $\{(\ell p-1, j)(\ell p, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (vi) a matching $\{(\ell p, 2j)(\ell p, 2j+1) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p}{2}-1\}\} \cup \{(i, 0)(i, p-1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p}{2}-1\}\}$ of cardinality $p \oplus$ (vii) a matching $\{(\ell p, 2j+1)(\ell p, 2j+2) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p}{2}-1\}\} \cup \{(i, 0)(i, p-1) : i \in \{\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}+1, \dots, \ell p-1\}\}$ of cardinality p

Case 2. $(\ell, p, n) = (1, 3, 3)$. $P_{\ell p+s} \square C_n = P_{3+s} \square C_3$, if $s = 0$, $P_3 \square C_3$, is decomposable into $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition as

(i) a cycle $(0, 0)(0, 1)(0, 2)(1, 2)(1, 1)(1, 0) \oplus$ (ii) a matching $\{(0, 0)(0, 2), (1, 2)(2, 2), (2, 0)(2, 1)\} \oplus$ (iii) a matching $\{(0, 1)(1, 1), (1, 0)(2, 0), (2, 1)(2, 2)\} \oplus$ (iv) a matching $\{(1, 0)(1, 2), (1, 1)(2, 1), (2, 0)(2, 2)\}$. Now assume that $s \geq 1$, consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 2.1. For m is even, $P_{3+s} \square C_3$, is decomposable into $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition as (i) $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\frac{m-2}{2}}$ (a cycle $(2i, 0)(2i, 1)(2i, 2)(2i+1, 2)(2i+1, 1)(2i+1, 0)$ of cardinality 6) \oplus (ii) $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\frac{m-2}{2}}$ (a matching $\{(2i, 0)(2i, 2), (2i, 1)(2i+1, 1), (2i+1, 0)(2i+1, 2)\}$ of cardinality 3) \oplus (iii) $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\frac{m-4}{2}}$ (a matching $\{(2i+1, 0)(2i+2, 0), (2i+1, 1)(2i+2, 1), (2i+1, 2)(2i+2, 2)\}$ of cardinality 3).

Subcase 2.2. For m is odd, $P_{3+s} \square C_3$, is decomposable into $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition as (i) $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\frac{m-3}{2}}$ (a cycle $(2i, 0)(2i, 1)(2i, 2)(2i+1, 2)(2i+1, 1)(2i+1, 0)$ of cardinality 6) \oplus (ii) $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\frac{m-3}{2}}$ (a matching $\{(2i, 0)(2i, 2), (2i, 1)(2i+1, 1), (2i+1, 0)(2i+1, 2)\}$ of cardinality 3) \oplus (iii) $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\frac{m-7}{2}}$ (a matching $\{(2i+1, 0)(2i+2, 0), (2i+1, 1)(2i+2, 1), (2i+1, 2)(2i+2, 2)\}$ of cardinality 3) \oplus (iv) a matching $\{(m-1, 0)(m-1, 1), (m-1, 2)(m-2, 2), (m-3, 2)(m-4, 2)\}$ of cardinality 3 \oplus (v) a matching $\{(m-1, 1)(m-1, 2), (m-1, 0)(m-2, 0), (m-3, 0)(m-4, 0)\}$ of cardinality 3 \oplus (vi) a matching $\{(m-1, 0)(m-1, 2), (m-1, 1)(m-2, 1), (m-3, 1)(m-4, 1)\}$ of cardinality 3.

Theorem 3.3. For integers $m \geq 5$ and $n \geq 4$, $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if and only if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Theorem 3.4. For integers $m, n \geq 4$, $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 3.5. For integers $m, n \geq 3$, $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition if and only if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. follows from Lemmas 3.6., 3.7., Theorem 3.1. and $P_2 \square C_6 =$ the 6-cycle $(0, 0)(0, 1)(0, 2)(0, 3)(0, 4)(0, 5)(0, 0) \oplus$ the 6-cycle $(1, 0)(1, 1)(1, 2)(1, 3)(1, 4)(1, 5)(1, 0) \oplus$ the $3K_2 \{(0, 0)(1, 0), (0, 1)(1, 1), (0, 2)(1, 2)\} \oplus$ the $3K_2 \{(0, 3)(1, 3), (0, 4)(1, 4), (0, 5)(1, 5)\}$.; proof of Theorem 3.4. follows from Theorem 3.1.; proof of Theorem 3.5. follows from Lemmas 3.8. to 3.11. and Theorem 3.1..

Lemma 3.6. *If $m \equiv 2 \pmod 3 \equiv n$, with $m, n \geq 6$ then $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.*

Proof. As $m - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \equiv n - 1$, by Theorem 2.1, $P_{m-1} \square P_{n-1}$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition. The deletion of the edges of $P_{m-1} \square P_{n-1}$ from $P_m \square C_n$ results in the subgraph: a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, n - 1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}\} \cup \{(m - 2, 1)(m - 1, 1)\}$ of cardinality $m + 1 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m - 2, j)(m - 1, j) : j \in \{0, 2, 3, \dots, n - 2\}$ of cardinality $n - 2 \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, n - 2)(i, n - 1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m - 2\}$ of cardinality $m - 2 \oplus$ a path $(0, n - 2)(0, n - 1)(1, n - 1)(2, n - 1) \dots (m - 2, n - 1)(m - 1, n - 1)(m - 1, n - 2)(m - 1, n - 3) \dots (m - 1, 1)(m - 1, 0)$ of length $m + n - 1$. All these matchings are divisible by $3K_2$ and by Lemma 2.8, the path is also divisible by $3K_2$. Thus $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 3.7. *If $m \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ and if $n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, with $m \geq 5, n \geq 4$ then $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.*

Proof. For $(m, n) \neq (5, 4)$. As $m - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \equiv n$, by Theorem 2.1, $P_{m-1} \square P_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition. The deletion of the edges of $P_{m-1} \square P_n$ from $P_m \square C_n$ results in the subgraph: a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, n - 1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}\} \cup \{(m - 2, 1)(m - 1, 1)\}$ of cardinality $m + 1 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m - 2, j)(m - 1, j) : j \in \{0, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1\}$ of cardinality $n - 1 \oplus$ a path $(m - 1, 0)(m - 1, 1)(m - 1, 2) \dots (m - 1, n - 2)(m - 1, n - 1)$ of length $n - 1$. Both the matchings are divisible by $3K_2$ and by Lemma 2.8, the path is also divisible by $3K_2$. For $m = 5$ and $n = 4$. Since by Lemma 2.7, $P_4 \square P_4$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition. The deletion of the edges of $P_4 \square P_4$ from $P_5 \square C_4$ results in the subgraph: a matching $\{(4, 0)(4, 3), (4, 1)(4, 2), (3, 0)(3, 3)\}$ of cardinality $3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(4, 0)(4, 1), (4, 2)(4, 3), (2, 0)(2, 3)\}$ of cardinality $3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(0, 0)(0, 3), (1, 0)(1, 3), (3, 0)(4, 0), (3, 1)(4, 1), (3, 2)(4, 2), (3, 3)(4, 3)\}$ of cardinality 6. Thus $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 3.8. *If $m \equiv 3 \pmod 5$ and if $n \equiv 1 \pmod 5$, then $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition.*

Proof. As $m - 3 \equiv 0 \pmod 5 \equiv n - 1$, by Theorem 2.1, $P_{m-3} \square P_{n-1}$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition. The deletion of the edges of $P_{m-3} \square P_{n-1}$ from $P_m \square C_n$ results in the subgraph: a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, n - 1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 4\}\}$ of cardinality $m - 3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, n - 2)(i, n - 1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 4\}$ of cardinality $m - 3 \oplus$ a path $(0, n - 1)(1, n - 1)(2, n - 1) \dots (m - 4, n - 1)(m - 3, n - 1)(m - 3, 0)(m - 2, 0)(m - 2, n - 1)(m - 1, n - 1)(m - 1, 0)$ of length $m + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod 5 \oplus$ a path $(m - 3, 0)(m - 3, 1) \dots (m - 3, n - 1)$ of length $n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod 5$ a path $(m - 2, 0)(m - 2, 1) \dots (m - 2, n - 1)$ of length $n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod 5 \oplus$ a path $(m - 1, 0)(m - 1, 1) \dots (m - 1, n - 1)$ of length $n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod 5 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m - 4, j)(m - 3, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 2\}\}$ of cardinality $n - 1 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m - 3, j)(m - 2, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 2\}\}$ of cardinality $n - 1 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m - 2, j)(m - 1, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 2\}\}$ of cardinality $n - 1$. All the matchings are divisible by $5K_2$ and by Lemma 2.8, all the paths are divisible by $5K_2$. Thus $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 3.9. *If $m \equiv 3 \pmod 5$ and if $n \equiv 2 \pmod 5$, then $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition.*

Proof. As $m - 2 \equiv 1 \pmod 5 \equiv n - 1$, by Theorem 2.1, $P_{m-2} \square P_{n-1}$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition. The deletion of the edges of $P_{m-2} \square P_{n-1}$ from $P_m \square C_n$ results in the subgraph: a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, n - 1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 4\}\}$ of cardinality $m - 3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, n - 2)(i, n - 1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 4\}$ of cardinality $m - 3 \oplus$ a path $(0, n - 1)(1, n - 1)(2, n - 1) \dots (m - 3, n - 1)(m - 3, 0)(m - 2, 0)(m - 2, n - 1)(m - 1, n - 1)(m - 1, 0)$ of length $m + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod 5 \oplus$ a path $(m - 2, 0)(m - 2, 1) \dots (m - 2, n - 2)$ of length $n - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod 5 \oplus$ a path $(m - 1, 0)(m - 1, 1) \dots (m - 1, n - 2)$ of length $n - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod 5 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m - 3, 1)(m - 2, 1), (m - 2, 0)(m - 1, 0), (m - 3, n - 2)(m - 3, n - 1), (m - 2, n - 2)(m - 2, n - 1), (m - 1, n - 2)(m - 1, n - 1)\}$ of cardinality $5 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m - 3, j)(m - 2, j) : j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n - 1\}\}$ of cardinality $n - 2 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m - 2, j)(m - 1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 2\}\}$ of cardinality $n - 2$. All the matchings are divisible by $5K_2$ and by Lemma 2.8, all the paths are divisible by $5K_2$. Thus $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 3.10. *If $m \equiv 3 \pmod 5$ and if $n \equiv 3 \pmod 5$, then $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition.*

Proof. As $m - 3 \equiv 0 \pmod 5 \equiv n - 3$, by Theorem 2.1, $P_{m-3} \square P_{n-3}$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition. The deletion of the edges of $P_{m-3} \square P_{n-3}$ from $P_m \square C_n$ results in the subgraph: a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, n - 1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 4\}\}$ of cardinality $m - 3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, n - 4)(i, n - 3) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 4\}$ of cardinality $m - 3$

\oplus a matching $\{(i, n-3)(i, n-2) : i \in \{2, 3, \dots, m-2\}$ of cardinality $m-3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, n-2)(i, n-1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-4\}$ of cardinality $m-3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(0, n-3)(0, n-2), (1, n-3)(1, n-2), (m-3, n-2)(m-3, n-1), (m-2, n-2)(m-2, n-1), (m-1, n-2)(m-1, n-1)\}$ of cardinality 5 \oplus a path $(0, n-3)(1, n-3)(2, n-3) \dots (m-1, n-3)(m-1, n-2)(m-2, n-2)(m-3, n-2) \dots (2, n-2)(1, n-2)(0, n-2)$ of length $2m-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \oplus$ a path $(0, n-1)(1, n-1)(2, n-1) \dots (m-3, n-1)(m-3, 0)(m-2, 0)(m-2, n-1)(m-1, n-1)(m-1, 0)$ of length $m+2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \oplus$ a path $(m-3, 0)(m-3, 1) \dots (m-3, n-4)(m-3, n-3)$ of length $n-3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \oplus$ a path $(m-2, 0)(m-2, 1) \dots (m-2, n-4)(m-2, n-3)$ of length $n-3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \oplus$ a path $(m-1, 0)(m-1, 1) \dots (m-1, n-4)(m-1, n-3)$ of length $n-3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \oplus$ a matching $\{(m-4, j)(m-3, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-4\}$ of cardinality $n-3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m-3, j)(m-2, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-4\} \cup \{(m-3, n-1)(m-2, n-1)\}$ of cardinality $n-3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m-2, j)(m-1, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-4\}$ of cardinality $n-3$. All the matchings are divisible by $5K_2$ and by Lemma 2.8, all the paths are divisible by $5K_2$. Thus $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 3.11. *If $m \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ and if $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, then $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition.*

Proof. As $m-3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \equiv n-4$, by Theorem 2.1, $P_{m-3} \square P_{n-4}$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition. The deletion of the edges of $P_{m-3} \square P_{n-4}$ from $P_m \square C_n$ results in the subgraph: a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, n-1) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-4\}$ of cardinality $m-3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, n-5)(i, n-4) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-4\}$ of cardinality $m-3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, n-4)(i, n-3) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-3\}$ of cardinality $m-3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, n-3)(i, n-2) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-4\}$ of cardinality $m-3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, n-2)(i, n-1) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-5\} \cup \{(m-3, n-2)(m-3, n-1), (m-2, n-3)(m-2, n-2)\}$ of cardinality $m-3 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m-2, n-4)(m-2, n-3), (m-3, n-3)(m-3, n-2), (m-4, n-2)(m-4, n-1), (m-2, n-2)(m-2, n-1), (m-1, n-2)(m-1, n-1)\}$ of cardinality 5 \oplus a path $(m-1, n-4)(m-2, n-4) \dots (1, n-4)(0, n-4)(0, n-3)(1, n-3) \dots (m-2, n-3)(m-1, n-3)(m-1, n-2)(m-2, n-2) \dots (1, n-2)(0, n-2)(0, n-1)(1, n-1) \dots (m-4, n-1)(m-3, n-1)(m-3, 0)(m-2, 0)(m-2, n-1)(m-1, n-1)(m-1, 0)(m-1, 1)$ of length $4m+3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \oplus$ a path $(m-3, 0)(m-3, 1) \dots (m-3, n-5)(m-3, n-4)$ of length $n-3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \oplus$ a path $(m-2, 0)(m-2, 1) \dots (m-2, n-5)(m-2, n-4)$ of length $n-3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \oplus$ a path $(m-1, 1)(m-1, 2) \dots (m-1, n-4)(m-1, n-3)$ of length $n-3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \oplus$ a matching $\{(m-4, j)(m-3, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-5\}$ of cardinality $n-4 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m-3, j)(m-2, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-5\}$ of cardinality $n-4 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m-2, j)(m-1, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-5\}$ of cardinality $n-4$. All the matchings are divisible by $5K_2$ and by Lemma 2.8, all the paths are divisible by $5K_2$. Thus $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

4. Cartesian Product of Cycles

In this section, we have proved that $C_m \square C_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition, for some values of $p \geq 3$.

If $C_m \square C_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition, then p divides $|E(C_m \square C_n)| = 2mn$ and hence for prime p , either $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By symmetry, assume that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By Theorem 3.1, $P_m \square C_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition. The deletion of the edges of $P_m \square C_n$ from $C_m \square C_n$ results in nK_2 . As $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $(pK_2)|(nK_2)$. Hence, $C_m \square C_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition. Thus,

Theorem 4.1. *For integers $m, n \geq p$ and for prime $p \geq 2$, $C_m \square C_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition if and only if either $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

For $p = 3, 5$, $C_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2), (C_{10}, 5K_2)$ -multidecomposition respectively by Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. *For integers $m, n \geq 4$, $C_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition if and only if either $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. By symmetry, assume that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Consider two cases.

Case 1. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $C_m \square C_n = P_m \square C_n \oplus nK_2$. By Theorem 3.4., $P_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition and by lemma 2.8., $(4K_2)|(nK_2)$. Thus $C_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Case 2. If $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, Consider four cases.

Sub case 2.1. If $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \equiv m$ then $C_m \square C_n = P_m \square P_n \oplus nK_2 \oplus mK_2 = P_m \square P_n \oplus (n-2)K_2 \oplus (m-2)K_2 \oplus 4K_2$ by choosing the edges $\{(0,0)(m-1,0), (0,1)(m-1,1), (1,0)(1,n-1), (2,0)(2,n-1)\}$ of $4K_2$ from nK_2 and mK_2 and since $(n-2) \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \equiv (m-2)$, by lemma 2.8., $(4K_2)|(n-2)K_2$ and $(4K_2)|(m-2)K_2$ and by Lemma 2.11., $P_m \square P_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition. Thus $C_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Sub case 2.2. If $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ then $C_m \square C_n = C_m \square P_n \oplus mK_2$, since $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, by Theorem 3.4, $C_m \square P_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition and by lemma 2.8., $(4K_2)|(mK_2)$. Thus $C_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Sub case 2.3. If $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ then $C_m \square C_n = P_m \square P_{n-1} \oplus$ a matching $\{(0, j)(m-1, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\} \cup \{(1, n-2)(1, n-1), (2, n-2)(2, n-1)\}$ of cardinality $(n+2) \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, n-1) : i \in \{0, 2, 3, \dots, m-1\}\}$ of cardinality $(m-1) \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, n-2)(i, n-1) : i \in \{0, 3, 4, \dots, m-1\}\} \cup \{(1, 0)(1, n-1)\}$ of cardinality $(m-1)$. Since by lemma 2.9., $P_m \square P_{n-1}$ admits $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition and by lemma 2.8., $4K_2|(n+2)K_2$ and $4K_2|(m-1)K_2$. Thus $C_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Sub case 2.4. If $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ and $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ then $C_m \square C_n = P_{m-1} \square P_n \oplus$ a path $(m-2, n-1)(m-1, n-1)(m-1, n-2) \dots (m-1, 0)(0, 0)(0, n-1)$ of length $n+2 \oplus$ a matching $\{(m-1, j)(m-2, j) : j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\}\} \cup \{(1, 0)(1, n-1), (2, 0)(2, n-1), (0, n-1)(m-1, n-1)\}$ of cardinality $(n+2) \oplus$ a matching $\{(i, 0)(i, n-1) : i \in \{3, 4, \dots, m-1\}\}$ of cardinality $(m-3) \oplus$ a matching $\{(0, j)(m-1, j) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}\}$ of cardinality $(n-2)$. Since by lemma 2.11., $P_{m-1} \square P_n$ admits $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition, by lemma 2.8., $4K_2|P_{n+3}$ and $4K_2|(n+2)K_2, 4K_2|(m-3)K_2$. Thus $C_m \square C_n$ admits a $(C_8, 4K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

5. Cartesian Product of a Path and a Clique

In this section, we have proved that $P_m \square K_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition, for some values of $p \geq 3$.

If $P_m \square K_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition, then p divides $|E(P_m \square K_n)| = \frac{mn(n+1)}{2} - n$. Observe that, if $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$, then $p | (\frac{mn(n+1)}{2} - n)$ and for all odd integers $p \geq 3$, if $m \equiv 1 \pmod p \equiv n$ then $p | (\frac{mn(n+1)}{2} - n)$.

Theorem 5.1. For integers $m \geq 2, n \geq 3$ and for an odd integer $p \geq 3$, then $P_m \square K_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition if $m \equiv 1 \pmod p \equiv n$.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Case 1. If n is even.

As n is even, there is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{n}{2}$ Hamilton paths. Note that each Hamilton path is of length $n-1 \equiv 0 \pmod p$. First decompose each of the m disjoint K_n 's in $P_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton paths and in each layer except one Hamilton path decompose each of the remaining Hamilton paths into pK_2 's. The deletion of the edges of these pK_2 's results in $P_m \square P_n$ and, by Theorem 2.1, it clearly admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition.

Case 2. If n is odd.

As $n+1$ is even, there is a decomposition of K_{n+1} into $\frac{n-1}{2}$ Hamilton cycles and a 1-factor; consequently, there is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{n-1}{2}$ Hamilton paths and a near 1-factor. Note that each Hamilton path is of length $n-1 \equiv 0 \pmod p$ and the near 1-factor is a matching of cardinality $\frac{n-1}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod p$. First decompose each of the m disjoint K_n 's in $P_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton paths and a near 1-factor and in each layer except one Hamilton path decompose each of the remaining Hamilton paths into pK_2 's, also in each layer decompose the near 1-factor into pK_2 's. The deletion of the edges of these pK_2 's results in $P_m \square P_n$ and, by Theorem 2.1, it clearly admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition.

If $P_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition, then 3 divides $|E(P_m \square K_n)| = \frac{mn(n+1)}{2} - n$ and hence either $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ or $m \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \equiv n$.

Lemma 5.2. For integers $m, n \geq 2$, $P_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if $m \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \equiv n$.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Case 1. For $n \equiv 4 \pmod 6$.

Subcase 1.1. $n \neq 4$.

Proof follows from Theorem 5.1.

Subcase 1.2. $n = 4$.

By lemma 2.9., $P_m \square P_4$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition and the deletion of the edges of $P_m \square P_4$ from $P_m \square K_4$ results in mP_4 . Clearly, $(3K_2)|(2P_4)$ and $(3K_2)|(3P_4)$, by lemma 2.8.. Using this one can find a decomposition of mP_4 by $3K_2$.

Case 2. For $n \equiv 1 \pmod 6$.

Proof follows from Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.3. For integers $m \geq 2, n \geq 3$ and $p \geq 3$, $P_m \square K_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition if $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Case 1. If n is odd.

As n is odd, there is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{n-1}{2}$ Hamilton cycles. Note that each Hamilton cycle is of length $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$. First decompose each of the m disjoint K_n 's in $P_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton cycles and in each layer except one Hamilton cycle decompose each of the remaining Hamilton cycles into pK_2 's, by lemma 3.2.. The deletion of the edges of these pK_2 's results in $P_m \square C_n$, and by Theorem 3.1., it clearly admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition.

Case 2. If n is even.

As n is even, there is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{n-2}{2}$ Hamilton cycles and a 1-factor; Note that each Hamilton cycle is of length $n \equiv 0 \pmod p$ and the 1-factor is a matching of cardinality $\frac{n}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod p$. First decompose each of the m disjoint K_n 's in $P_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton cycles and a 1-factor and in each layer except one Hamilton cycle decompose each of the remaining Hamilton cycles into pK_2 's, also in each layer decompose the 1-factor into pK_2 's. The deletion of the edges of these pK_2 's results in $P_m \square C_n$, and by Theorem 3.1, it clearly admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition.

6. Cartesian Product of a Cycle and a Clique

In this section, we have proved that $C_m \square K_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition, for $p = 3$.

If $C_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition, then 3 divides $|E(C_m \square K_n)| = \frac{mn(n+1)}{2}$ and hence neither $m \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \equiv n$ nor $m \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$.

Lemma 6.1. For integers $m, n \geq 2$, $C_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Case 1. For $n \equiv 3 \pmod 6$.

As n is odd, there is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{n-1}{2}$ Hamilton cycles. Note that each Hamilton cycle is of length $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. Decompose each of the m disjoint K_n 's in $C_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton cycles and in each layer except one Hamilton cycle decompose each of the remaining Hamilton cycles into $3K_2$'s. The deletion of the edges of these $3K_2$'s results in $C_m \square C_n$, and by Theorem 4.1., it clearly admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Case 2. $n \equiv 0 \pmod 6$.

As n is even, there is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{n-2}{2}$ Hamilton cycles and a 1-factor; Note that each Hamilton cycle is of length $n \equiv 0 \pmod 6$ and the 1-factor is a matching of cardinality $\frac{n}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. First decompose each of the m disjoint K_n 's in $C_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton cycles and a 1-factor and in each layer except one Hamilton cycle decompose each of the remaining Hamilton cycles into $3K_2$'s, also in each layer decompose the 1-factor into $3K_2$'s. The deletion of the edges of these $3K_2$'s results in $C_m \square C_n$, and by Theorem 4.1, it clearly admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 6.2. For integers $m, n \geq 2$, $C_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if $m \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Case 1. For $n \equiv 4 \pmod 6$.

As n is even, there is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{n}{2}$ Hamilton paths. Note that each Hamilton path is of length $n - 1 \equiv 3 \pmod 6$. First decompose each of the m disjoint K_n 's in $C_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton paths and in each layer except one Hamilton path decompose each of the remaining Hamilton paths into $3K_2$'s. The deletion of the edges of these $3K_2$'s results in $C_m \square P_n$ and, by Theorem 3.3., it clearly admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Case 2. $n \equiv 1 \pmod 6$.

As $n + 1$ is even, there is a decomposition of K_{n+1} into $\frac{n-1}{2}$ Hamilton cycles and a 1-factor; consequently, there is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{n-1}{2}$ Hamilton paths and a near 1-factor. Note that each Hamilton path is of length $n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod 6$ and the near 1-factor is a matching of cardinality $\frac{n-1}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. First decompose each of the m disjoint K_n 's in $C_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton paths and a near 1-factor and in each layer except one Hamilton path decompose each of the remaining Hamilton paths into $3K_2$'s, also in each layer decompose the near 1-factor into $3K_2$'s. The deletion of the edges of these $3K_2$'s results in $C_m \square P_n$ and, by Theorem 3.3., it clearly admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 6.3. For integers $m, n \geq 2$, $C_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if $m \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Case 1. For $n \equiv 2 \pmod 6$.

As n is even, there is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{n}{2}$ Hamilton paths. First decompose each of the m disjoint K_n 's in $C_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton paths and in each layer except one Hamilton path decompose each of the remaining Hamilton paths into $3K_2$'s, by choosing one edge from each Hamilton path, with cardinality $m, m \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. The deletion of the edges of these $3K_2$'s results in $C_m \square P_n$ and, by Theorem 3.1., it clearly admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Case 2. $n \equiv 5 \pmod 6$.

As $n + 1$ is even, there is a decomposition of K_{n+1} into $\frac{n-1}{2}$ Hamilton cycles and a 1-factor; consequently, there is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{n-1}{2}$ Hamilton paths and a near 1-factor. Note that the near 1-factor is a matching of cardinality $\frac{n-1}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. First decompose each of the m disjoint K_n 's in $C_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton paths and a near 1-factor and in each layer except one Hamilton path decompose each of the remaining Hamilton paths into $3K_2$'s, also in each layer decompose the near 1-factor into $3K_2$'s. The deletion of the edges of these $3K_2$'s results in $C_m \square P_n$ and, by Theorem 3.3., it clearly admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 6.4. If $n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, and if $n \neq 6$, then $(3K_2) | K_n - \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. For even n , first decompose K_n into $\frac{n}{2}$ Hamilton paths, let one of the Hamilton path be $\mathcal{P} = \{0, 1, n - 1, 2, n - 2, 3, n - 3, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 2, \frac{n}{2} + 2, \frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2}\}$ after removing this Hamilton path, from the remaining Hamilton path deleting the following edges $\{(\frac{3i}{4} + i, \frac{i}{4} + i) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2}\}\}$ if $\frac{n}{2}$ is even and $\{(\frac{3n+2}{4} + i, \frac{n+2}{4} + i) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2}\}\}$ if $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd from each Hamilton path. Which is a matching of cardinality $\frac{n-2}{2}$, leaves $2P_{\frac{n}{2}}$, each of the length $\frac{n-2}{2}$, as n is even, $n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, implies $\frac{n}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, by the Lemma 2.8., $3K_2 | P_{\frac{n}{2}}$, hence $(3K_2) | K_n - \mathcal{P}$.

For odd n first decompose K_n into $\frac{n-1}{2}$ Hamilton paths and a near one factor, let one of the Hamilton path be $\mathcal{P} = \{0, 1, n - 1, 2, n - 2, 3, n - 3, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2} - 2, \frac{n-1}{2} + 3, \frac{n-1}{2} - 1, \frac{n-1}{2} + 2, \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} + 1\}$ after removing this Hamilton path, from the remaining Hamilton path deleting the following edges $\{(\frac{3(n-1)}{4} + i, \frac{n-5}{4} + i) : i \in \{2, 3, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}\}$ if $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is even, $\{(\frac{3(n+1)}{4} + i, \frac{n+1}{4} + i) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}\}$ if $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is odd, gives two disjoint paths. For each $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$ if $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is even, on combining the vertices $\{\frac{3(n-1)}{4} + i\}$ and $\{\frac{n-5}{4} + i\}$ gives the path $P_{n-1}(i)$ each of order $n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}$ if $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is odd, on combining the vertices $\{\frac{3(n+1)}{4} + i\}$

and $\{\frac{n+1}{4} + i\}$ gives the path $P_{n-1}(i)$, each of order $n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Hence by lemma 2.8., $3K_2|P_{n-1}(i)$. After removing one $3K_2 : \{(\frac{n-1}{2}, n)(\frac{3(n-1)}{4} + 1, \frac{n-1}{4} - 1)(\frac{3(n-1)}{4}, \frac{n-1}{4})\}$ from $\{(\frac{3(n-1)}{4} + i, \frac{n-5}{4} + i) : i \in \{2, 3, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}\}$ if $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is even, union the near one factor $\{((n-1) - i, n + i) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}\}$ gives the path P_{n-4} of order $n - 4 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Hence by lemma 2.8., $3K_2|P_{n-4}(i)$. Similarly after removing two disjoint paths $\{\frac{n-1}{2}, n, n-1, \frac{n-3}{2}\} \cup \{\frac{3n-1}{4}, \frac{n-3}{4}, \frac{3n-1}{4} - 1, \frac{n-3}{4} + 1\}$ from $\{(\frac{3(n+1)}{4} + i, \frac{n+1}{4} + i) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}\}$ if $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is odd, union the near one factor $\{((n-1) - i, n + i) : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}\}$ gives the path P_{n-7} of order $n - 7 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Hence by lemma 2.8., $3K_2|P_{n-7}(i)$. Now by choosing the edges $\{(n, \frac{n-1}{2})(n-1, \frac{n-3}{2})(\frac{n-3}{4}, \frac{3n-1}{4} - 1)\}$ and $\{(n, n-1)(\frac{3n-1}{4}, \frac{n-3}{4})(\frac{3n-1}{4} - 1, \frac{n-3}{4} + 1)\}$ are matching and isomorphic to $3K_2$. Thus $(3K_2)|K_n - \mathcal{P}$.

Lemma 6.5. For integers $m, n \geq 2$, $C_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if $m \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$.

Proof. After removing m -times $K_n - \mathcal{P}$ from $C_m \square K_n$, One have $C_m \square P_n$, by the Lemma 6.4., $(3K_2)|K_n - \mathcal{P}$ and by the Theorem 3.2., $C_m \square P_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition. Hence $C_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 6.6. For integers $m, n \geq 2$, $C_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if $m \equiv 2 \pmod 3 \equiv n$.

Proof. After removing m -times $K_n - \mathcal{P}$ from $C_m \square K_n$, one have $C_m \square P_n$, by the Lemma 6.4., $(3K_2)|K_n - \mathcal{P}$ and by the Theorem 3.2., $C_m \square P_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition. Hence $C_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

7. Cartesian Product of Cliques

In this section, we have proved that $K_m \square K_n$ admits a (C_{2p}, pK_2) -multidecomposition, for $p = 3$.

If $K_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition, then 3 divides $|E(K_m \square K_n)| = \frac{mn(m+n-2)}{2}$ and hence either $m \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ or $m \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \equiv n$.

Lemma 7.1. For integers $m, n \geq 2$, $K_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if $m \equiv 0 \pmod 3$.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Case 1. For $m \equiv 0 \pmod 6$.

As m is even, there is a decomposition of K_m into $\frac{m-2}{2}$ Hamilton cycles and a 1-factor. Note that each Hamilton cycle is of length $m \equiv 0 \pmod 6$. First decompose each of the n disjoint K_m 's in $K_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton cycles and in each layer except one Hamilton cycle decompose each of the remaining Hamilton cycles into $3K_2$'s by Lemma 3.2 and the 1-factor is a matching of cardinality $\frac{m}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. The deletion of the edges of these $3K_2$'s results in $C_m \square K_n$ and, by Lemma 6.1,6.2 and 6.3., it clearly admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Case 2. For $m \equiv 3 \pmod 6$.

As m is odd, there is a decomposition of K_m into $\frac{m-1}{2}$ Hamilton cycles. Note that each Hamilton cycle is of length $m \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. Decompose each of the n disjoint K_m 's in $K_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton cycles and in each layer except one Hamilton cycle decompose each of the remaining Hamilton cycles into $3K_2$'s by Lemma 3.2.. The deletion of the edges of these $3K_2$'s results in $C_m \square K_n$ and, by Lemma 6.1,6.2 and 6.3., it clearly admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 7.2. For integers $m, n \geq 2$, $K_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$.

Proof. Since $K_m \square K_n = K_n \square K_m$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, by Lemma 7.1., $K_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Lemma 7.3. For integers $m, n \geq 2$, $K_m \square K_n$ admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition if $m \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \equiv n$.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Case 1. For $m \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$.

As m is even, there is a decomposition of K_m into $\frac{m}{2}$ Hamilton paths. Note that each Hamilton path is of length $m - 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. First decompose each of the n disjoint K_m 's in $K_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton paths and in each layer except one Hamilton path decompose each of the remaining Hamilton paths into $3K_2$'s. The deletion of the edges of these $3K_2$'s results in $P_m \square K_n$ and, by Lemma 5.2., it clearly admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Case 2. For $m \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.

As $m + 1$ is even, there is a decomposition of K_{m+1} into $\frac{m+1}{2}$ Hamilton cycles and a 1-factor; consequently, there is a decomposition of K_m into $\frac{m-1}{2}$ Hamilton paths and a near 1-factor. Note that each Hamilton path is of length $m - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and the near 1-factor is a matching of cardinality $\frac{m-1}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. First decompose each of the n disjoint K_m 's in $K_m \square K_n$ into Hamilton paths and a near 1-factor and in each layer except one Hamilton path decompose each of the remaining Hamilton paths into $3K_2$'s, also in each layer decompose the near 1-factor into $3K_2$'s. The deletion of the edges of these $3K_2$'s results in $P_m \square K_n$ and, by Lemma 5.2., it clearly admits a $(C_6, 3K_2)$ -multidecomposition.

Acknowledgements

The author is much grateful to an anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments on my paper.

References

- [1] A. Abueida, Multidesigns of the complete graph with a hole into the graph-pair of order 4, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* 53 (2008) 17 - 20.
- [2] A. Abueida, M. Daven, Multidesigns for graphs-pairs on 4 and 5 vertices, *Graphs Comb.* 19(4)(2003) 433 - 447.
- [3] A. Abueida, M. Daven, Multidecompositions of several graph products, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 29 (2013) 315 - 326.
- [4] A. Abueida, M. Daven, Multidecompositions of the complete graph, *Ars Combinatoria* 72 (2004) 17 - 22.
- [5] H. M. Priyadharsini, A. Muthusamy, (G_m, H_m) -multifactorization of λK_m , *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing* 69 (2009) 145 - 150.
- [6] T.-W. Shyu, Decomposition of complete graphs into paths and stars, *Discrete Mathematics* 310 15-16 (2010) 2164 - 2169.
- [7] T.-W. Shyu, Decompositions of complete graphs into paths and cycles, *Ars Combinatoria* 97 (2010) 257 - 270.
- [8] T.-W. Shyu, Decomposition of complete graphs into paths of length three and triangles, *Ars Combinatoria* 107 (2012) 209 - 224.
- [9] T.-W. Shyu, Decomposition of complete graphs into cycles and stars, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 29 (2013) 301 - 313.
- [10] H.C. Lee, Multidecompositions of complete bipartite graphs into cycles and stars, *Ars Combinatoria* 108 (2013) 355 - 364.