Filomat 32:1 (2018), 117–125 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1801117Y



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# **EP** elements and \*-Strongly Regular Rings

## Hua Yao<sup>a</sup>, Junchao Wei<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, 225002, P. R. China

**Abstract.** Let *R* be a ring with involution \*. An element  $a \in R$  is called \*–strongly regular if there exists a projection *p* of *R* such that  $p \in comm^2(a)$ , ap = 0 and a + p is invertible, and *R* is said to be \*–strongly regular if every element of *R* is \*–strongly regular. We discuss the relations among strongly regular rings, \*–strongly regular rings, regular rings and \*–regular rings. Also, we show that an element *a* of a \*–ring *R* is \*–strongly regular if and only if *a* is *EP*. We finally give some characterizations of *EP* elements.

## 1. Introduction

In this article, all rings are associative with identity unless otherwise stated, and modules will be unitary modules. Let *R* be a ring, write E(R), N(R), U(R), J(R) and Z(R) to denote the set of all idempotents, the set of all nilpotents, the set of units, the Jacobson radical and the center of *R*, respectively.

Rings in which every element is the product of a unit and an idempotent which commute are said to be strongly regular, and have been studied by many authors. According to Koliha and Patricio [11], the commutant and double commutant of an element  $a \in R$  are defined by  $comm(a) = \{x \in R | xa = ax\}$  and  $comm^2(a) = \{x \in R | xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in comm(a)\}$ . It is known that a ring *R* is strongly regular if and only if for each  $a \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $p \in comm^2(a)$  such that  $a + p \in U(R)$  and ap = 0.

Let *R* be a ring and write  $R^{qnil} = \{a \in R | 1 + ax \in U(R) \text{ for every } x \in comm(a)\}$ . Recall that an element  $a \in R$  is called polar (quasipolar) provided that there exists an idempotent  $p \in R$  such that  $p \in comm^2(a), a + p \in U(R)$  and  $ap \in N(R)$  ( $ap \in R^{qnil}$ ), the idempotent p is unique, we denote it by  $a^{\pi}$ , which is called a spectral idempotent of a. A ring R is polar [7] (quasipolar [18]) in the case that every element in R is polar (quasipolar). [5, Theorem 2.4] shows that a ring R is strongly regular if and only if R is a quasipolar ring and  $R^{qnil} = \{0\}$ .

Following [3], an element *a* of a ring *R* is called group invertible if there is  $a^{\sharp} \in R$  such that

$$a^{\sharp}a = a, a^{\sharp}aa^{\sharp} = a^{\sharp}, aa^{\sharp} = a^{\sharp}a.$$

Denote by  $R^{\sharp}$  the set of all group invertible elements of *R*. Clearly, a ring *R* is strongly regular if and only if  $R = R^{\sharp}$ .

An involution  $a \mapsto a^*$  in a ring *R* is an anti-isomorphism of degree 2, that is,

$$(a^*)^* = a, (a + b)^* = a^* + b^*, (ab)^* = b^*a^*.$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16B99; 16W10, 46L05

Keywords. \*-regular rings, \*-strongly regular elements, projection elements, EP elements, involution is proper.

Received: 13 February 2017; Accepted: 13 May 2017

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11471282)

*Email addresses:* dalarston@126.com (Hua Yao), jcweiyz@126.com (Junchao Wei)

A ring *R* with an involution \* is called \*-ring. An element  $a^{\dagger}$  in a \*-ring *R* is called the Moore-Penrose inverse (or MP-inverse)[?] f *a*, if Penr

$$aa^{\dagger}a = a, a^{\dagger}aa^{\dagger} = a^{\dagger}, aa^{\dagger} = (aa^{\dagger})^{*}, a^{\dagger}a = (a^{\dagger}a)^{*}.$$

In this case, we call *a* is MP-invertible in *R*. The set of all MP-invertible elements of *R* is denoted by  $R^+$ .

An involution \* of R is called proper if  $x^*x = 0$  implies x = 0 for all  $x \in R$ . Following [1], a \*-ring R is \*-regular if and only if R is regular and the involution is proper.

An idempotent *p* of a \*-ring *R* is called projection if  $p = p^*$ . Denote by PE(R) the set of all projection elements of *R*. Clearly,  $PE(R) \subseteq E(R)$ . It is known that an idempotent *e* in a \*-ring *R* is projection if and only if  $e = e^*e$  if and only if  $Re = Re^*$ . [6, Lemma 2.1] shows that a \*-ring *R* is \*-regular if and only if for each  $a \in R$ , there exists  $p \in PE(R)$  such that aR = pR.

Following [11], a \*-ring *R* is \*-regular if and only if  $R = R^+$ . Due to [9], a \*-ring *R* is said to satisfy the *k*-term star-cancellation law (or  $SC_k$ ) if

$$a_1^*a_1 + \dots + a_k^*a_k = 0 \Longrightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0.$$

[10] shows that the 2 × 2 matrix ring  $M_2(R)$  over a \*-ring R is \*-regular if and only if R is regular and satisfies  $SC_2$ .

Duo to [8], an element *a* of a \*-ring *R* is said to be *EP* if  $a \in R^{\sharp} \cap R^{\dagger}$  and  $a^{\sharp} = a^{\dagger}$ . In [14], many characterizations of *EP* elements are given.

The *EP* matrices and *EP* linear operators on Banach or Hilbert spaces have been investigated by many authors. This article is motivated by the papers [6, 14]. In this paper, we shall first give some new characterizations of *EP* elements. Next, we introduce \*–strongly regular elements and \*–strongly regular rings. We investigate the characterizations of \*–strongly regular rings. Finally, we discuss \*–exchange rings. With the help of \*–exchange rings, we give some characterizations of \*–strongly regular rings.

#### 2. Some Characterizations of EP elements

Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $a \in R^{\dagger}$ . Then by [14, Theorem 1.1], one knows that  $a^* = a^*aa^{\dagger} = a^{\dagger}aa^*$ . Hence we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let R be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then a is an EP element if and only if  $a \in R^+$  and  $Ra = Ra^+$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that *a* is *EP*. Then  $a \in R^{\dagger} \cap R^{\sharp}$  and  $a^{\dagger} = a^{\sharp}$ , it follows that  $Ra = Ra^{\dagger}a = Ra^{\sharp}a = Raa^{\sharp} = Ra^{\sharp} = Ra^{\sharp}$ . Conversely, assume that  $a \in R^{\dagger}$  and  $Ra = Ra^{\dagger}$ . Then  $Ra = Raa^{\dagger} = R(aa^{\dagger})^* = R(a^{\dagger})^*a^* \subseteq Ra^* = Ra^*aa^{\dagger} \subseteq Ra^{\dagger} = Ra$ , it follows that  $Ra = Ra^*$ . By [13, Theorem 3.1], one knows that *a* is *EP*.  $\Box$ 

Similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let R be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then a is an EP element if and only if  $a \in R^+$  and  $aR = a^+R$ .

It is known that for a \*-ring  $R, a \in R$  is EP if and only if  $a^{\dagger}$  is EP. Hence we can obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let R be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then a is an EP element if and only if  $a \in R^{\dagger}$  and  $Ra^{*} = R(a^{\dagger})^{*}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that *a* is *EP*. Then Proposition 2.1 and [13, Theorem 3.1] imply  $Ra^* = Ra = Ra^+$ . Note that  $a^+$  is *EP*. Then [13, Theorem 3.1] gives  $Ra^+ = R(a^+)^*$ . Hence  $Ra^* = R(a^+)^*$ .

Conversely, assume that  $Ra^* = R(a^*)^*$ . Then  $aR = a^*R$ , by Corollary 2.2, one gets *a* is *EP*.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) *a* is EP;

(2)  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\mathbb{R}a = \mathbb{R}(a^+)^n$  for each  $n \ge 2$ ;

(3)  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\mathbb{R}a = \mathbb{R}(a^+)^n$  for some  $n \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2) Since *a* is *EP*, by Proposition 2.1, we have  $a \in R^{\dagger}$  and  $Ra = Ra^{\dagger}$ . Noting that  $Ra^{\dagger} = Raa^{\dagger}$ . Hence  $Ra = Ra^{\dagger} = Raa^{\dagger} = Raa^{\dagger} = R(a^{\dagger})^2$ , repeating the process, one obtains that  $Ra = R(a^{\dagger})^n$  for each  $n \ge 2$ . (2)  $\implies$  (3) It is trivial.

(3)  $\implies$  (1) Since  $Ra = R(a^{\dagger})^n$  for some  $n \ge 2$ ,  $Ra \subseteq Ra^{\dagger} = Ra^*$ . Note that  $Ra^{\dagger} = Raa^{\dagger}$ . Then  $Ra^{\dagger} = R(a^{\dagger})^{n+1} \subseteq R(a^{\dagger})^n = Ra$ , it follows that  $Ra \subseteq Ra^* = Ra^{\dagger} \subseteq Ra$ . Hence  $Ra = Ra^* = Ra^{\dagger}$ , this implies that a is EP.  $\Box$ 

Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then it is easy to show that  $a \in R^{\dagger}$  and  $aa^{*} = 0$  imply a = 0. Also,  $a \in R^{\dagger} \cap R^{\sharp}$  is *EP* if and only if  $aa^{\sharp} = a^{\dagger}a$ . Hence we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let R be a \*-ring and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) a is EP;

(2)  $a \in R^{+} \cap R^{\sharp}$  and  $a^{+}a^{2}a^{*} = a^{2}a^{+}a^{*};$ 

(3)  $a \in R^{\dagger} \cap R^{\sharp}$  and  $a^{\dagger}a^{2}a^{*} = aa^{*}aa^{\sharp};$ 

(4)  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{\dagger} \cap \mathbb{R}^{\sharp}$  and  $a^{\dagger}a^{n}a^{*} = a^{n-1}a^{*}a^{\dagger}a$  for some  $n \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2) It is trivial.

(2)  $\implies$  (3) Suppose that  $a^{\dagger}a^{2}a^{*} = a^{2}a^{\dagger}a^{*}$ . Then  $aa^{*} = a^{\sharp}a^{2}a^{*} = a^{\sharp}a(a^{\dagger}a^{2}a^{*}) = a^{\sharp}a(a^{2}a^{\dagger}a^{*}) = a^{2}a^{\dagger}a^{*} = a^{\dagger}a^{2}a^{*}$ , it follows that  $aa^{*} = (aa^{*})^{*} = aa^{*}a^{\dagger}a$ , one obtains  $a^{*} = a^{\dagger}aa^{*} = a^{\dagger}aa^{*}a^{\dagger}a = a^{*}a^{\dagger}a$ , so  $a = (a^{*}a^{\dagger}a)^{*} = a^{\dagger}a^{2}a^{*}$ . Hence  $aa^{*}aa^{\sharp} = aa^{*}(a^{\dagger}a^{2})a^{\sharp} = a(a^{*}a^{\dagger}a) = aa^{*} = a^{\dagger}a^{2}a^{*}$ .

(3)  $\implies$  (4) Suppose that  $a^{\dagger}a^{2}a^{*} = aa^{*}aa^{\sharp}$ . Then similar to (2)  $\implies$  (3), one can show that  $a = a^{\dagger}a^{2}$  and  $a^{*} = a^{*}a^{\dagger}a$ . Hence  $a^{\dagger}a^{n}a^{*} = (a^{\dagger}a^{2})a^{n-2}a^{*} = a^{n-1}a^{*} = a^{n-1}a^{*}a^{\dagger}a$ .

(4)  $\implies$  (1) Assume that  $a^{\dagger}a^{n}a^{*} = a^{n-1}a^{*}a^{\dagger}a$ . Then  $a^{n-1}a^{*} = a^{\sharp}a^{n}a^{*} = a^{\sharp}a(a^{\dagger}a^{n}a^{*}) = a^{\sharp}a(a^{n-1}a^{*}a^{\dagger}a) = a^{n-1}a^{*}a^{\dagger}a$ , it follows that  $aa^{*} = (a^{\sharp})^{n-2}a^{n-1}a^{*} = (a^{\sharp})^{n-2}a^{n-1}a^{*}a^{\dagger}a = aa^{*}a^{\dagger}a$ , so  $a^{*} = a^{\dagger}aa^{*} = a^{\dagger}(aa^{*}a^{\dagger}a) = a^{*}a^{\dagger}a$ , this gives  $(a^{\sharp})^{*}a^{*} = (a^{\sharp})^{*}a^{*}a^{\dagger}a$ , so  $aa^{\sharp} = a^{\dagger}a$ . Hence a is EP.  $\Box$ 

**Remark:** The condition (4) of Theorem 2.5 exists in [12, Theorem 2.1(xii)] for m = n - 1 and n = 1.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) *a* is *EP*; (2)  $a \in R^{+} \cap R^{\sharp}$  and  $a^{2}a^{+} + a^{\sharp}aa^{+} = a + a^{+}$ ; (3)  $a \in R^{+} \cap R^{\sharp}$  and  $a^{2}a^{+} + a^{\sharp} = a + a^{+}$ ; (4)  $a \in R^{+} \cap R^{\sharp}$  and  $a^{\sharp}aa^{+} + a^{\dagger}aa^{\sharp} = 2a^{+}$ ; (5)  $a \in R^{+} \cap R^{\sharp}$  and  $a^{+} + a^{\sharp} = 2a^{+}aa^{\sharp}$ ; (6)  $a \in R^{+} \cap R^{\sharp}$  and  $a^{+} + a^{\sharp} = 2a^{+}a^{+}a$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (*i*), *i* = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 They are trivial.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) From the assumption  $a^2a^{\dagger} + a^{\sharp}aa^{\dagger} = a + a^{\dagger}$ , we get  $a^2a^{\dagger}a + a^{\sharp}aa^{\dagger}a = a^2 + a^{\dagger}a$ . So,  $a^{\sharp}a = a^{\dagger}a$ , it follows that *a* is *EP*.

(3)  $\implies$  (1) By the equality  $a^2a^{\dagger} + a^{\sharp} = a + a^{\dagger}$ , we get  $a^2a^{\dagger}a + a^{\sharp}a = a^2 + a^{\dagger}a$ , this gives  $a^{\sharp}a = a^{\dagger}a$ . Hence *a* is *EP*.

(4)  $\implies$  (1) Using the equality  $a^{\sharp}aa^{\dagger} + a^{\dagger}aa^{\sharp} = 2a^{\dagger}$ , we have  $2aa^{\dagger} = aa^{\sharp}aa^{\dagger} + aa^{\dagger}aa^{\sharp} = aa^{\dagger} + aa^{\sharp}$ , it follows that  $aa^{\dagger} = aa^{\sharp}$ . Hence *a* is *EP*.

(5)  $\implies$  (1) The equality  $a^{\dagger} + a^{\sharp} = 2a^{\dagger}aa^{\sharp}$  gives  $aa^{\dagger} + aa^{\sharp} = 2aa^{\dagger}aa^{\sharp} = 2aa^{\sharp}$ , again we have  $aa^{\dagger} = aa^{\sharp}$ . Hence *a* is *EP*.

(6)  $\implies$  (1) If  $a^{\dagger} + a^{\sharp} = 2a^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}a$ , then  $a^{\dagger} + a^{\sharp} = 2a^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}a = a^{\dagger}a(2a^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}a) = a^{\dagger}a(a^{\dagger} + a^{\sharp}) = a^{\dagger} + a^{\dagger}aa^{\sharp}$ , one obtains that  $a^{\sharp} = a^{\dagger}aa^{\sharp}$ . Hence  $a^{\dagger}a = a^{\sharp}a$  and so a is EP.  $\Box$ 

**Remark:** The condition (4) of Theorem 2.6 exists in [12, Theorem 2.1(xv)] for n = 1.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let R be a \*-ring. Then E(R) = PE(R) if and only if every element of E(R) is EP.

*Proof.* Let  $e \in E(R)$ . If E(R) = PE(R), then  $e = e^*$ . It is not difficult to verify that e is EP with  $e^{\sharp} = e^{\dagger} = e$ . Conversely, we assume that e is EP. Then  $e^{\sharp} = e^{\dagger}$ , it follows that  $e = ee^{\sharp}e = ee^{\sharp}$  and so  $e^{\dagger} = e^{\sharp} = (ee^{\sharp})e^{\sharp} = ee^{\sharp} = e$ . Hence  $e \in PE(R)$ .  $\Box$  Recall that a ring *R* is directly finite if ab = 1 implies ba = 1 for any  $a, b \in R$ . Clearly, a ring *R* is directly finite if and only if right invertible element of *R* is invertible.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let *R* be a \*-ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) *R* is a directly finite ring;

(2) Every right invertible element of R is group invertible;

(3) Every right invertible element of R is EP.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (3) It is trivial because every invertible element is *EP*.

 $(3) \Longrightarrow (2)$  It is evident.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose that  $a, b \in R$  with ab = 1. By hypothesis,  $a \in R^{\sharp}$ , so  $1 = ab = (aa^{\sharp})(ab) = aa^{\sharp} = a^{\sharp}a$ , one obtains that a is invertible. Hence R is directly finite.  $\Box$ 

Recall that a ring *R* is reduced if  $N(R) = \{0\}$ . Using the *EP* elements, we can characterize reduced rings as follows.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let R be a* \**-ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:* 

(1) *R* is a reduced ring;

(2) Every element of N(R) is group invertible;

(3) Every element of N(R) is EP.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2) They are trivial.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose that the condition (2) holds. If *R* is not reduced, then there exists  $b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , let *n* be the positive integer such that  $b^n = 0$  and  $b^{n-1} \neq 0$ . Choose  $a = b^{n-1}$ . Then  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$  with  $a^2 = 0$ . Since  $a \in R^{\ddagger}$ ,  $a = a^2 a^{\ddagger} = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence *R* is reduced.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 2.10.** Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then *a* is *EP* if and only if there exists (unique)  $p \in PE(R)$  such that pa = ap = 0 and  $a + p \in U(R)$ .

*Proof.* It is similar to the proof of [2, Theorem 2.1].  $\Box$ 

Also, similar to the proof of [2, Theorem 2.1], we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.11.** Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then *a* is *EP* if and only if there exists unique  $p \in PE(R)$  such that pa = ap = 0 and  $a - p \in U(R)$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** Let R be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then a is EP if and only if there exists  $p \in PE(R)$  such that  $p \in comm^2(a)$ , ap = 0 and  $a + p \in U(R)$ .

*Proof.* The sufficiency follows from Theorem 2.10.

The necessity: Noting that  $p = 1 - a^{\sharp}a$  in Theorem 2.10. Then, for any  $x \in comm(a)$ , we have  $(1 - p)xp = a^{\sharp}axp = a^{\sharp}xap = 0$  and  $px(1 - p) = pxaa^{\sharp} = paxa^{\sharp} = 0$ , this implies that px = pxp = xp. Hence  $p \in comm^2(a)$ , we are done.  $\Box$ 

Similarly, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.13.** Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then *a* is *EP* if and only if there exists unique  $p \in PE(R)$  such that  $p \in comm^2(a)$ , ap = 0 and  $a - p \in U(R)$ .

**Theorem 2.14.** Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then a is *EP* if and only if there exists  $b \in comm^2(a)$ ,  $ab = ba \in PE(R)$ ,  $a = a^2b$  and  $b = ab^2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that *a* is *EP*. Then by Corollary 2.12, there exists  $p \in PE(R)$  such that  $p \in comm^2(a)$ , ap = 0 and  $a + p \in U(R)$ . Choose  $b = (a + p)^{-1}(1 - p)$ . Then clearly,  $b \in comm^2(a)$  and  $ab = ba = 1 - p \in PE(R)$ . By a simple computation, we have  $a = a^2b$  and  $b = ab^2$ .

Conversely, assume that there exists  $b \in comm^2(a)$ ,  $ab = ba \in PE(R)$ ,  $a = a^2b$  and  $b = ab^2$ . Choose p = 1-ab. Then  $p \in PE(R)$ ,  $ap = a - a^2b = 0 = pa$  and  $pb = b - ab^2 = 0 = bp$ . Note that (a + p)(b + p) = ab + p = 1. Then  $a + p \in U(R)$ , by Theorem 2.10, a is EP.  $\Box$ 

### 3. **\***-Strongly Regular Rings

Recall that an element *a* of a ring *R* is strongly regular if  $a \in a^2R \cap Ra^2$ . It is well known that  $a \in R$  is strongly regular if and only if there exist  $e \in E(R)$  and  $u \in U(R)$  such that a = eu = ue.

Let *R* be a \*-ring. An element  $a \in R$  is called \*-strongly regular if there exist  $p \in PE(R)$  and  $u \in U(R)$  such that a = pu = up. A ring *R* is called \*-strongly regular if every element of *R* is \*-strongly regular.

Clearly, \*-strongly regular elements are strongly regular, and so \*-strongly regular rings are strongly regular. However, the converse is not true by the following example.

**Example 3.1.** Let D be a division ring and  $R = D \oplus D$ . Set \* be an involution of R defined by \*((a, b)) = (b, a). Evidently, R is a strongly regular ring, but R is not \*-strongly regular. In fact (1,0) is not a \*-strongly regular element.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let *R* be a \*-ring. Then *R* is a \*-strongly regular ring if and only if *R* is a strongly regular ring with E(R) = PE(R).

*Proof.* Suppose that *R* is a \*-strongly regular ring and  $e \in E(R)$ . Then there exist  $p \in PE(R)$  and  $u \in U(R)$  such that e = pu = up, this gives e = pe = ep. Note that  $p = eu^{-1}$ . Then p = ep = e, so  $E(R) \subseteq PE(R)$ , this shows that E(R) = PE(R).

The converse is trivial.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.3.** Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . Then a is EP if and only if a is \*-strongly regular.

*Proof.* Suppose that *a* is *EP*. Then, by Theorem 2.10, there exists  $p \in PE(R)$  such that  $a + p \in U(R)$  and ap = pa = 0. Write  $a + p = u \in U(R)$ . Then a = a(1 - p) = u(1 - p) = (1 - p)u. Since  $1 - p \in PE(R)$ , *a* is \*-strongly regular.

Conversely, assume that *a* is \*-strongly regular. Then there exist  $p \in PE(R)$  and  $u \in U(R)$  such that a = pu = up. Since  $(a + 1 - p)(u^{-1}p + 1 - p) = (u^{-1}p + 1 - p)(a + 1 - p) = 1$ ,  $a + 1 - p \in U(R)$ . Noting that a(1 - p) = (1 - p)a = 0 and  $1 - p \in PE(R)$ . Hence *a* is *EP* by Theorem 2.10.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** Let R be a \*-ring. Then R is \*-strongly regular if and only if R is Abel and for each  $a \in R$ ,  $Ra = Ra^*a$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that *R* is \*-strongly regular. Note that \*-strongly regular rings are strongly regular. Then *R* is also Abel. Now let  $a \in R$ . Then *a* is \*-strongly regular, so there exist  $p \in PE(R)$  and  $u \in U(R)$  such that a = pu = up. Hence  $a^*a = u^*up$ , one obtains that  $Ra^*a = Rp = Ra$ .

Conversely, assume that *R* is Abel and for each  $a \in R$ ,  $Ra = Ra^*a$ . Write that  $a = da^*a$  for some  $d \in R$ . Then  $(ad^*)^2 = ad^*ad^* = (da^*a)d^*ad^* = d(a^*ad^*)ad^* = ad^*ad^* = ad^*$ . Noting that *R* is Abel,  $ad^*$  is a central idempotent of *R*, so  $da^*$  is a central idempotent of *R*, this gives that  $a = (da^*)a = a(da^*)$ . Hence  $Ra \subseteq Ra^*$ . By [4, Proposition 2.7], *R* is a \*-regular ring, so  $a \in R^+$ . Thus by [13, Theorem 3.1], one knows that *a* is *EP*, by Theorem 3.3, *a* is \*-strongly regular. Hence *R* is \*-strongly regular.

**Corollary 3.5.** *A* \*-*ring R is a* \*-*strongly regular ring if and only if R is an Abel ring and* \*-*regular ring.* 

Let *R* be a ring and write  $ZE(R) = \{x \in R | ex = xe \text{ for each } e \in E(R)\}$ . It is easy to show that ZE(R) is a subring of *R* and Z(R), the center, of *R* is contained in ZE(R).

Let *R* be a \*-ring. Choose  $a \in ZE(R)$  and  $e \in E(R)$ . Since  $e^* \in E(R)$ ,  $ae^* = e^*a$ , it follows that  $ea^* = a^*e$ . Hence  $a^* \in ZE(R)$ , so ZE(R) becomes a \*-ring.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let *R* be a \*-regular ring. Then ZE(*R*) is a \*-strongly regular ring.

*Proof.* Let  $a \in ZE(R)$ . Since *R* is a \*-regular ring, by [6, Lemma 2.1], there exists  $p \in PE(R)$  such that aR = pR. Write p = ab for some  $b \in R$ . Then a = pa = aba. Choose  $e \in E(R)$ . Then ae = ea, it follows that (1 - p)epa = (1 - p)ea = (1 - p)ae = 0, this gives (1 - p)ep = 0, that is, ep = pep. Since  $e^* \in E(R)$ ,  $e^*p = pe^*p$ , one obtains pe = pep. Hence ep = pe, this implies  $p \in ZE(R)$ . Note that  $ba \in E(R)$ . Then

 $ba^2 = (ba)a = a(ba) = a = pa = ap = a^2b$ , it follows that  $b^3a^2 = a^2b^3$ . Since  $ba^2e = ae = ea = ea^2b = a^2eb$ ,  $b^3a^2e = a^2eb^3 = ea^2b^3$ , this implies that  $a^2b^3 \in ZE(R)$ . Choose  $c = a^2b^3 \in ZE(R)$ . Then  $ac = a^3b^3 = a^2(ab)b^2 = a^2pb^2 = a^2b^2 = a(ab)b = apb = ab = p$ . Hence  $aZE(R) = paZE(R) \subseteq pZE(R) = acZE(R) \subseteq aZE(R)$ , by [6, Lemma 2.1], ZE(R) is a \*-regular ring. Note that ZE(R) is Abel. Then by Corollary 3.5, we have ZE(R) is \*-strongly regular.

Clearly, if *R* is an Abel ring, then ZE(R) = R. Hence Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 give the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let R be a \*-ring. Then R is a \*-strongly regular ring if and only if R is an Abel ring and ZE(R) is a \*-strongly regular ring.

Due to [16], a \*-ring is \*-Abel if every projection is central. Clearly, Abel \*-rings are \*-Abel. A \*-ring *R* is called \*-quasi-normal if pR(1 - p)Rp = 0 for each  $p \in PE(R)$ . Clearly, \*-Abel rings are \*-quasi-normal.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let R be a \*-ring. Then R is a \*-strongly regular ring if and only if R is a \*-quasi-normal \*-regular ring.

Proof. The necessity follows from Corollary 3.5.

Conversely, assume that *R* is a \*-quasi-normal \*-regular ring. Then *R* is a semiprime ring and pR(1 - p)Rp = 0 for each  $p \in PE(R)$ , this implies pR(1 - p) = 0 = (1 - p)Rp. Hence *R* is \*-Abel, by Corollary 3.5, *R* is \*-strongly regular.

**Corollary 3.9.** If *R* is a \*-strongly regular ring, then so is pRp for any  $p \in PE(R)$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Corollary 3.5 and [6, Proposition 2.8].

## 4. \*-Exchange Rings

**Definition 4.1.** Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $a \in R$ . If there exists  $p \in PE(R)$  such that  $p \in aR$  and  $1 - p \in (1 - a)R$ , then a is called \*-exchange element of *R*. And a \*-ring *R* is said to be \*-exchange if every element of *R* is \*-exchange.

Clearly, any \*-exchange element of a \*-ring *R* is exchange and the converse is true whenever PE(R) = E(R).

**Lemma 4.2.** Let R be a \*-ring and  $x \in R$ . If x is \*-strongly regular, then x is \*-exchange.

*Proof.* Suppose that *x* is \*-strongly regular. Then there exist  $u \in U(R)$  and  $p \in PE(R)$  such that x = pu = up, and hence x(1-p) = 0. Note that  $p = xu^{-1}$  and (1-x)(1-p) = 1-p. Hence *x* is \*-exchange.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.3.** Let *R* be a \*-ring and  $x \in R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) x is \*-exchange;

(2) There exists  $p \in PE(R)$  such that  $p - x \in (x - x^2)R$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2) Assume that *x* is \*-exchange. Then there exists  $p \in PE(R)$  such that  $p \in xR$  and  $1 - p \in (1 - x)R$ , this gives  $p - x = (1 - x)p - x(1 - p) \in (x - x^2)R$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Let  $p \in PE(R)$  satisfy  $p - x \in (x - x^2)R$ . Write  $p - x = (x - x^2)c$  for some  $c \in R$ . It follows that  $p = x(1 + (1 - x)c) \in xR$  and  $1 - p = (1 - x)(1 - xc) \in (1 - x)R$ . Hence x is \*-exchange.  $\Box$ 

Let *R* be a \*-ring and *I* be an (one-sided) ideal of *R*. *I* is called \*-(one-sided) ideal of *R* if  $a^* \in I$  for each  $a \in I$ . Clearly, the Jacobson radical *J*(*R*) of a \*-ring *R* is \*-ideal.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let *R* be a \*-exchange ring and I a \*-right ideal of *R*. Then the projection elements can be lifted modulo *I*.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in R$  satisfy  $x - x^2 \in I$ . Since R is \*-exchange, there exists  $p \in PE(R)$  such that  $p - x \in (x - x^2)R$  by Lemma 4.3. Note that I is a \*-right ideal of R. Hence  $p - x \in I$ , we are done.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.5.** If *R* is a \*-exchange ring, then E(R) = PE(R).

*Proof.* Let  $e \in E(R)$ . Then by the hypothesis, there exists  $p \in PE(R)$  such that  $p \in eR$  and  $1 - p \in (1 - e)R$ . It follows that p = ep = e. Hence  $e \in PE(R)$ , this gives  $E(R) \subseteq PE(R)$ . Therefore E(R) = PE(R).  $\Box$ 

Let *R* be a \*-ring and *I* a \*-ideal of *R*. For each  $\bar{a} = a + I$  in  $\bar{R} = R/I$ , we define  $\bar{a}^* = a^* + I$ . Then R/I becomes a \*-ring.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let *R* be a \*-ring. Then *R* is a \*-exchange ring if and only if (1) *R*/*J*(*R*) is \*-exchange ring; (2) Projection elements can be lifted modulo J(*R*);

(3) E(R) = PE(R).

*Proof.* Suppose that *R* is \*-exchange. Then the projection elements can be lifted modulo J(R) by Lemma 4.4 and E(R) = PE(R) by Lemma 4.5. Note that *R* is exchange. Then R/J(R) is exchange, it follows that R/J(R) is \*-exchange because E(R) = PE(R).

Conversely, let  $a \in R$ . Since  $\overline{R} = R/J(R)$  is \*-exchange, there exists  $p \in R$  such that  $\overline{p} \in PE(\overline{R}) \cap \overline{aR}$  and  $\overline{1} - \overline{p} \in (\overline{1} - \overline{a})\overline{R}$ . Note that the projection elements can be lifted modulo J(R). Then we can assume that  $p \in PE(R)$ . Let  $b, c \in R$  satisfy  $p - ab \in J(R)$  and  $1 - p - (1 - a)c \in J(R)$ . Write u = 1 - p + ab. Then  $u \in U(R)$ . Let  $e = upu^{-1}$ . Then we have  $e^2 = e = abpu^{-1} \in aR$ . Note that E(R) = PE(R). Then  $e \in PE(R)$ . Since  $p - ab \in J(R)$ ,  $\overline{ab} = \overline{p}$ , it follows that  $\overline{u} = \overline{1} - \overline{p} + \overline{ab} = \overline{1}$ , so  $\overline{e} = \overline{ab}\overline{p}\overline{u}^{-1} = \overline{p}$ ,  $e - p \in J(R)$ , it follows that  $1 - e - (1 - a)c = 1 - p - (1 - a)c + p - e \in J(R)$ . Write  $1 - e - (1 - a)c = d \in J(R)$ . Then  $1 = e(1 - d)^{-1} + (1 - a)c(1 - d)^{-1}$ . Choose  $f = e + e(1 - d)^{-1}(1 - e)$ . Then  $f \in PE(R) \cap aR$  and  $1 - f = (1 - e(1 - d)^{-1})(1 - e) = (1 - a)c(1 - d)^{-1}(1 - e) \in (1 - a)R$ . Therefore a is \*-exchange and so R is \*-exchange.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 4.6 implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.7.** A \*-ring R is \*-exchange if and only if R is exchange and <math>PE(R) = E(R).

**Lemma 4.8.** Let *R* be a \*-ring. Then E(R) = PE(R) if and only if for each  $e, g \in E(R)$ ,  $e^*e = ee^*$  and  $g^*g = 0$  implies g = 0.

*Proof.* Suppose that E(R) = PE(R) and  $e \in E(R)$ . We claim that eR(1 - e) = 0. If not, then there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $ea(1 - e) \neq 0$ . Note that  $g = e + ea(1 - e) \in E(R) = PE(R)$ . Then  $e + ea(1 - e) = g = g^* = e^* + (1 - e^*)a^*e^* = e + (1 - e)a^*e$ , it follows that  $ea(1 - e) = (1 - e)a^*e$ , so ea(1 - e) = 0, which is a contradiction. Hence eR(1 - e) = 0. Similarly, we can show that (1 - e)Re = 0. Hence  $e^*e = ee^*e = ee^*$ .

Now assume that  $g \in E(R)$  and  $g^*g = 0$ . Noting that E(R) = PE(R). Then  $g^* = g$ , so g = 0.

Conversely, let  $e \in E(R)$ . Then by hypothesis, one has  $e^*e = ee^*$ . Since  $e - e^*e \in E(R)$  and  $(e - e^*e)^*(e - e^*e) = 0$ , again by hypothesis, one obtains that  $e - e^*e = 0$ , this implies  $e \in PE(R)$ . Hence E(R) = PE(R).

By the proof of Lemma 4.8, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.9.** Let R be a \*-ring and E(R) = PE(R). Then R is an Abel ring.

It is known that Abel exchange rings are clean. Hence Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.9 imply the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.10.** \*-exchange rings are clean.

Since clean rings are always exchange, hence Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.10 give the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let R be a \*-ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:* 

(1) *R* is a \*-exchange ring;

(2) *R* is an exchange ring and E(R) = PE(R);

(3) R is a clean ring and E(R) = PE(R).

The following corollary follows from [17, Theorem 3.3, Corollary 3.4, Theorem 3.12, Corollary 4.9], Corollary 4.7 and Corollary 4.9.

**Corollary 4.12.** *Let R be a* \**–exchange ring and P is an ideal of R.* 

(1) If *P* is a prime ideal of *R*, then *R*/*P* is a local ring;

(2) If P is a left (right) primitive ideal of R, then R/P is a division ring;

(3) *R* is a left and right quasi-duo ring;

(4) *R* has stable range one.

**Theorem 4.13.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a* \**-ring R:* 

(1) *R* is a \*-strongly regular ring;

(2) *R* is a semiprime \*-exchange ring and every prime ideal of *R* is maximal;

(3) *R* is a semiprime \*-exchange ring and every prime ideal of *R* is left (right) primitive.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2) Suppose that *R* is \*–strongly regular. Then, by Lemma 4.2, *R* is \*–exchange, this implies *R* is left and right quasi-duo by Corollary 4.12. Note that *R* is strongly regular. Hence, by [19, Theorem 2.6], *R* is a semiprime and every prime ideal of *R* is maximal.

 $(2) \Longrightarrow (3)$  It is trivial.

(3)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose that *R* is a semiprime \*–exchange ring and every prime ideal of *R* is left (right) primitive. Then *R* is left and right quasi-duo by Corollary 4.12 and *PE*(*R*) = *E*(*R*) by Theorem 4.6. Note that *R* is strongly regular by [19, Theorem 2.6]. Hence *R* is \*–strongly regular by Theorem 3.2.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.14.** Let *R* be a \*-exchange semiprimitive ring such that every left *R*-module has a maximal submodule, then *R* is \*-strongly regular.

*Proof.* Note that *R* is left and right quasi-duo and PE(R) = E(R) by Corollary 4.7 and Corollary 4.12. Then, by [19, Lemma 3.2], *R* is von neumann regular, it follows that *R* is \*–strongly regular by Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 4.15.** Let R be a \*-exchange ring. If every prime ideal of R is left (right) primitive, then R/J(R) is \*-strongly regular.

*Proof.* Since *R* is a \*–exchange ring, by Theorem 4.6, R/J(R) is \*–exchange. Note that R/J(R) is semiprime and every prime ideal of R/J(R) is left (right) primitive. Then, by Theorem 4.13, one obtains that R/J(R) is \*–strongly regular.

## References

- [1] S. K. Berberian, Baer \*-rings, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 195, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [2] J. Benitez, Moore. Penrose inverses and commuting elements of C\*-algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl 345 (2008) 766-770.
- [3] A. Ben-Israel, T. N. E. Greville, Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications, (2nd edition), Springer, New York, 2003.
- [4] J. L. Chen, J. Cui, Two questions of L. Vaš on \*-clean rings, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc 88 (2013) 499-505.
- [5] J. Cui, J. Chen, Characterizations of quasipolar rings, Comm. Algebra 41 (2013) 3207–3217.
- [6] J. Cui, X. B. Yin, Some characterizations of \*-regular rings, Comm. Algebra 45 (2017) 841-848.
- [7] M. P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, Amer. Math. Monthly 65 (1958) 506-514.
- [8] D. S. Djordjević, Products of EP operators on Hilbert spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc 129 (2000) 1727-1731.
- [9] R. E. Hartwig, An application of the Moore Penrose inverse to antisymmetric relations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc 78 (1980) 181–186.
- [10] R. E. Hartwig, P. Patricio, When does the Moore-Penrose inverse flip, Oper. Matrices 6 (2012) 181–192.
- [11] J. J. Koliha, P. Patricio, Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents, J. Austral. Math. Soc 72 (2002) 137–152.
- [12] D. Mosić, D. S. Djordjević, New characterizations of EP, generalized normal and generalized Hermitian elements in rings, Applied Math. Comput 218(12) (2012) 6702–6710.
- [13] D. Mosić, D. S. Djordjević, J. J. Koliha, EP elements in rings, Linear Algebra Appl 431 (2009) 527-535.

- [14] D. Mosić, D. S. Djordjević, Further results on partial isometries and EP elements in rings with involution, Math. Compu. Model 54 (2011) 460–465.
- [15] R. Penrose, A generalized inverse for matrices, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc 51 (1955) 406-413.
- [16] L. Vaš, \*-clean rings; some clean and almost clean Baer \*-rings and von Neumann algebras, J. Algebra 324(12) (2010) 3388–3400.
  [17] J. C. Wei, L. B. Li, Quasi-normal rings, Comm. Algebra 38 (2010) 1855–1868.
- [18] Z. L. Ying, J. Chen, On quasipolar rings, Algebra Colloq 19 (2012) 683–692.
  [19] H. P. Yu, On quasi-duo rings, Glasgow Math. J 37(1) (1995) 21–31.