



Dunkl Generalization of q -Szász-Mirakjan Operators which Preserve x^2

Mohammad Mursaleen^a, Shagufta Rahman^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India

Abstract. In the present paper we construct q -Szász-Mirakjan operators generated by Dunkl generalization of the exponential function which preserve x^2 . We obtain some approximation results via universal Korovkin's type theorem for these operators and study convergence properties by using the modulus of continuity. Furthermore, we obtain a Voronovskaja type theorem for these operators.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Bernstein [3] introduced a sequence of operators $B_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ defined by

$$B_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (1)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C[0, 1]$.

Szász [16] introduced the operators

$$S_n(f, x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad f \in C[0, \infty), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

It has been observed that a sequence of linear positive operators preserves constant as well as linear functions i.e. $L_n(e_i, x) = e_i(x)$ for $e_i(x) = x^i$ ($i = 0, 1$). These conditions hold for Bernstein polynomials, Szász-Mirakjan operators, Baskakov operators, Phillips operators and so on. For each of the above operators $L_n(e_2, x) \neq e_2(x)$. King [8] gave the modification of the well known Bernstein polynomials in order to preserve e_0 and e_2 . He considered $r_n^*(x)$ as

$$r_n^*(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)x^2 + \frac{1}{4(n-1)^2}} & \text{if } n = 2, 3, \dots, \\ x^2 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A25; Secondary 33C45, 41A36

Keywords. Dunkl analogue, generalization of exponential function, q -Szász-Mirakjan operators, modulus of continuity, Korovkin's type approximation theorem, Voronovskaja type theorem

Received: 04 November 2016; Revised: 20 March 2017; Accepted: 11 May 2017

Communicated by Allaberen Ashyralyev

Email addresses: mursaleenm@gmail.com (Mohammad Mursaleen), rahmanshagufta14@gmail.com (Shagufta Rahman)

The modified form of Bernstein operators becomes

$$V_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (r_n^*(x))^k (1 - r_n^*(x))^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \tag{4}$$

with $0 \leq r_n^*(x) \leq 1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Obviously, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^*(x) = x$. Also,

$$V_n(e_0, x) = 1, V_n(e_1, x) = r_n^*(x), V_n(e_2, x) = x^2.$$

Approximation results on Szász-Mirakjan operators, q -Szász-Mirakjan operators and q -Stancu-Beta operators preserving e_2 have been studied in [6], [9] and [11], respectively. The purpose of this paper is to construct and investigated Dunkl analogue of q -Szász-Mirakjan operators which preserve the functions e_0 and e_2 . Recently, work on Dunkl analogues has been done in [10], [13], [14] and [12].

We recall some definitions and notations of q -calculus which plays an important role in approximation theory (see [2]).

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $q \in (0, 1)$. Then q -integer $[k]_q$ is defined as

$$[k]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^k}{1-q} & \text{if } q \neq 1, \\ k & \text{if } q = 1. \end{cases}$$

The q -factorial $[k]_q!$ is defined as

$$[k]_q! = \begin{cases} [k]_q [k-1]_q \cdots [1]_q & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, q -binomial coefficient $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$ is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{[k]_q!}{[r]_q! [k-r]_q!} & \text{if } 1 \leq r \leq k, \\ 1 & \text{if } r = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } r > k. \end{cases}$$

There are two q -analogues of the exponential function e^x (see [7])

$$e_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!} = \frac{1}{(1 - (1 - q)x)_q^{\infty}}, \quad |x| < \frac{1}{1 - q}, \quad |q| < 1,$$

and

$$E_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!} = (1 + (1 - q)x)_q^{\infty}, \quad |q| < 1,$$

where

$$(1 - x)_q^{\infty} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^j x).$$

It is obvious that for $q = 1$, q -calculus reduces to ordinary calculus.

Sucu [15] defined Dunkl analogue of Szász operator by

$$S_n(f; x) = \frac{1}{e_\mu(nx)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k + 2\mu\theta_k}{n}\right) \frac{(nx)^k}{\gamma_\mu(k)}, \tag{5}$$

where $\mu \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \geq 0$, $f \in C[0, \infty)$ and

$$e_\mu(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{\gamma_\mu(k)}.$$

Here

$$\gamma_\mu(2k) = \frac{2^{2k} k! \Gamma(k + \mu + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})}$$

and

$$\gamma_\mu(2k + 1) = \frac{2^{2k+1} k! \Gamma(k + \mu + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

Recursion relation for γ_μ is given by

$$\gamma_\mu(k + 1) = (k + 1 + 2\mu\theta_{k+1})\gamma_\mu(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where

$$\theta_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Cheikh et al. [5] defined the Dunkl analogue of classical q -Hermite polynomials and gave definitions of the q -Dunkl analogue of exponential functions, explicit formula and recursion relations for $\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < q < 1$, respectively.

$$e_{\mu,q}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)}, \quad x \in [0, \infty), \tag{6}$$

and

$$E_{\mu,q}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{x^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)}, \quad x \in [0, \infty), \tag{7}$$

An explicit formula for $\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)$ is given by

$$\gamma_{\mu,q}(k) = \frac{(q^{2\mu+1}, q^2)_{[\frac{k+1}{2}]} (q^2, q^2)_{[\frac{k}{2}]}}{(1 - q)^k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{8}$$

where

$$(x, q)_k = \prod_{n=0}^{k-1} (1 - q^n x).$$

Some of the special cases of $\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)$ are as follows

$$\gamma_{\mu,q}(0) = 1, \quad \gamma_{\mu,q}(1) = \frac{1 - q^{2\mu+1}}{1 - q}, \quad \gamma_{\mu,q}(2) = \left(\frac{1 - q^{2\mu+1}}{1 - q}\right) \left(\frac{1 - q^2}{1 - q}\right),$$

$$\gamma_{\mu,q}(3) = \left(\frac{1 - q^{2\mu+1}}{1 - q}\right) \left(\frac{1 - q^2}{1 - q}\right) \left(\frac{1 - q^{2\mu+3}}{1 - q}\right),$$

$$\gamma_{\mu,q}(4) = \left(\frac{1 - q^{2\mu+1}}{1 - q}\right) \left(\frac{1 - q^2}{1 - q}\right) \left(\frac{1 - q^{2\mu+3}}{1 - q}\right) \left(\frac{1 - q^4}{1 - q}\right).$$

Recursion relation for $\gamma_{\mu,q}$ is given by

$$\gamma_{\mu,q}(k + 1) = [k + 1 + 2\mu\theta_{k+1}]_q \gamma_{\mu,q}(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\theta_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let $B_m[0, \infty)$ be the set of all functions f satisfying the condition $|f(x)| \leq M_f(1+x^m)$, where $x \in [0, \infty)$, $m > 0$ and M_f is a constant depending on f . We write

$$C_m[0, \infty) = B_m[0, \infty) \cap C[0, \infty),$$

and

$$C_m^*[0, \infty) = \left\{ f \in C_m[0, \infty) : \exists \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{1 + x^m} < \infty \right\}.$$

These spaces are endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_m = \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \frac{|f(x)|}{1 + x^m} < \infty.$$

Let $f \in C[0, \infty)$. The modulus of continuity $\omega(f, \delta)$ is defined by

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{|t-x| \leq \delta, \quad x, t \in [0, \infty)} |f(t) - f(x)|. \tag{10}$$

If λ is any positive real number then

$$\omega(f, \lambda\delta) \leq (1 + \lambda)\omega(f, \delta). \tag{11}$$

If f is uniformly continuous on $(0, \infty)$ then it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(f, \delta) = 0.$$

2. Auxiliary Results

We define a q -Dunkl analogue of Szász-Mirakjan operator as follows:

$$D_{n,q}(f; x) = \frac{1}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{[k + 2\mu\theta_k]_q}{q^{k-1}[n]_q}\right) q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)}, \tag{12}$$

where $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \geq 0$, $0 < q < 1$ and $f \in C[0, \infty)$.

Lemma 2.1. For $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$, $0 < q < 1$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a recurrence relation given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1 - 2\mu]_q}{[n]_q}\right)^{m-j} \frac{x}{q^j} D_{n,q}(e_j; x) &\leq D_{n,q}(e_{m+1}; x) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_q}{[n]_q}\right)^{m-j} \frac{x}{q^j} D_{n,q}(e_j; x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n,q}(e_{m+1}; x) &= \frac{1}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[k + 2\mu\theta_k]_q}{q^{k-1}[n]_q} \right)^{m+1} q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)} \\ &= \frac{x}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[k + 2\mu\theta_k]_q}{q^{k-1}[n]_q} \right)^m q^{\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^{k-1}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Using $[k + 1 + 2\mu\theta_{k+1}]_q = [k + 2\mu\theta_k]_q + q^{2\mu\theta_k+k}[2\mu(-1)^k + 1]_q$,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{x}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[k - 1 + 2\mu\theta_{k-1}]_q + q^{2\mu\theta_{k-1}+k-1}[2\mu(-1)^{k-1} + 1]_q}{q^{k-1}[n]_q} \right)^m \\ &\quad \times q^{\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^{k-1}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k-1)} \\ &= \frac{x}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{([k - 1 + 2\mu\theta_{k-1}]_q)^j (q^{2\mu\theta_{k-1}+k-1}[2\mu(-1)^{k-1} + 1]_q)^{m-j}}{q^{(k-1)m}[n]_q^m} \\ &\quad \times q^{\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^{k-1}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k-1)} \\ &= \frac{x}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{([k - 1 + 2\mu\theta_{k-1}]_q)^j (q^{2\mu\theta_{k-1}}[2\mu(-1)^{k-1} + 1]_q)^{m-j}}{q^{(k-1)j}[n]_q^m} \\ &\quad \times q^{\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^{k-1}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k-1)} \\ &= \frac{x}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{1}{q^j [n]_q^{m-j}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (q^{2\mu\theta_k}[2\mu(-1)^k + 1]_q)^{m-j} \left(\frac{[k + 2\mu\theta_k]_q}{q^{k-1}[n]_q} \right)^j \\ &\quad \times q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)} \end{aligned}$$

Separating even and odd terms,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{x}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{1}{q^j [n]_q^{m-j}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left((q^{2\mu\theta_{2k}}[2\mu(-1)^{2k} + 1]_q)^{m-j} \left(\frac{[2k + 2\mu\theta_{2k}]_q}{q^{2k-1}[n]_q} \right)^j \right. \\ &\quad \times q^{\frac{2k(2k-1)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^{2k}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(2k)} + (q^{2\mu\theta_{2k+1}}[2\mu(-1)^{2k+1} + 1]_q)^{m-j} \left(\frac{[2k + 1 + 2\mu\theta_{2k+1}]_q}{q^{2k}[n]_q} \right)^j \\ &\quad \left. \times q^{\frac{2k(2k+1)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^{2k+1}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(2k+1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{x}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{1}{q^j [n]_q^{m-j}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(([1 + 2\mu]_q)^{m-j} \left(\frac{[2k + 2\mu\theta_{2k}]_q}{q^{2k-1}[n]_q} \right)^j q^{\frac{2k(2k-1)}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{([n]_q x)^{2k}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(2k)} + (q^{2\mu}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^{m-j} \left(\frac{[2k + 1 + 2\mu\theta_{2k+1}]_q}{q^{2k}[n]_q} \right)^j q^{\frac{2k(2k+1)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^{2k+1}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(2k+1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Using $[1 - 2\mu]_q \leq [1 + 2\mu]_q$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{x}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{([1 + 2\mu]_q)^{m-j}}{q^j [n]_q^{m-j}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\left(\frac{[2k + 2\mu\theta_{2k}]_q}{q^{2k-1} [n]_q} \right)^j q^{\frac{2k(2k-1)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^{2k}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(2k)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{[2k + 1 + 2\mu\theta_{2k+1}]_q}{q^{2k} [n]_q} \right)^j q^{\frac{2k(2k+1)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^{2k+1}}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(2k + 1)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{x}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q x)} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{([1 + 2\mu]_q)^{m-j}}{q^j [n]_q^{m-j}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[k + 2\mu\theta_k]_q}{q^{k-1} [n]_q} \right)^j q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q x)^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{x([1 + 2\mu]_q)^{m-j}}{q^j [n]_q^{m-j}} D_{n,q}(e_j; x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, on the other hand we have

$$D_{n,q}(e_{m+1}; x) \geq \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{x(q^{2\mu}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^{m-j}}{q^j [n]_q^{m-j}} D_{n,q}(e_j; x).$$

□

Lemma 2.2. Let $D_{n,q}(\cdot; \cdot)$ be the operator given by (12). Then we have the following identities and inequalities:

1. $D_{n,q}(e_0; x) = 1,$
2. $D_{n,q}(e_1; x) = x,$
3. $\frac{x^2}{q} + \frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q x}{[n]_q} \leq D_{n,q}(e_2; x) \leq \frac{x^2}{q} + \frac{[1+2\mu]_q x}{[n]_q},$
4. $\frac{x^3}{q^3} + \frac{(2q+1)x^2}{q^2} \frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} + \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 x \leq D_{n,q}(e_3; x) \leq \frac{x^3}{q^3} + \frac{(2q+1)x^2}{q^2} \frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} + \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 x,$
5. $\frac{x^4}{q^6} + \frac{(3q^2+2q+1)x^3}{q^5} \frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} + \frac{(3q^2+3q+1)x^2}{q^3} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^3 x \leq D_{n,q}(e_4; x) \leq \frac{x^4}{q^6} + \frac{(3q^2+2q+1)x^3}{q^5} \frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} + \frac{(3q^2+3q+1)x^2}{q^3} \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^3 x.$

Proof. Proof is based on Lemma 2.1. We calculate only $D_{n,q}(e_3; x)$ and $D_{n,q}(e_4; x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n,q}(e_3; x) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^2 \binom{2}{j} \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^{2-j} \frac{x}{q^j} D_{n,q}(e_j; x) \\ &\leq \binom{2}{0} \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 x D_{n,q}(e_0; x) + \binom{2}{1} \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) \frac{x}{q} D_{n,q}(e_1; x) \\ &\quad + \binom{2}{2} \frac{x}{q^2} D_{n,q}(e_2; x) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 x + \frac{2x^2}{q} \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) + \frac{x}{q^2} \left(\frac{x^2}{q} + \frac{[1 + 2\mu]_q x}{[n]_q} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{x^3}{q^3} + \frac{(2q + 1)x^2}{q^2} \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) + \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 x. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n,q}(e_3; x) &\geq \sum_{j=0}^2 \binom{2}{j} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^{2-j} \frac{x}{q^j} D_{n,q}(e_j; x) \\
 &\geq \binom{2}{0} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 x D_{n,q}(e_0; x) + \binom{2}{1} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \frac{x}{q} D_{n,q}(e_1; x) + \binom{2}{2} \frac{x}{q^2} D_{n,q}(e_2; x) \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 x + \frac{2x^2}{q} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) + \frac{x}{q^2} \left(\frac{x^2}{q} + \frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q x}{[n]_q} \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{x^3}{q^3} + \frac{(2q+1)x^2}{q^2} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) + \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 x.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n,q}(e_4; x) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^3 \binom{3}{j} \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^{3-j} \frac{x}{q^j} D_{n,q}(e_j; x) \\
 &\leq \binom{3}{0} \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^3 x D_{n,q}(e_0; x) + \binom{3}{1} \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 \frac{x}{q} D_{n,q}(e_1; x) \\
 &\quad + \binom{3}{2} \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) \frac{x}{q^2} D_{n,q}(e_2; x) + \binom{3}{3} \frac{x}{q^3} D_{n,q}(e_3; x) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^3 x + \frac{3x^2}{q} \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 + \frac{3x}{q^2} \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) \left(\frac{x^2}{q} + \frac{[1+2\mu]_q x}{[n]_q} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{x}{q^3} \left(\frac{x^3}{q^3} + \frac{(2q+1)x^2}{q^2} \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) + x \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{x^4}{q^6} + \frac{(3q^2+2q+1)x^3}{q^5} \left(\frac{[1+2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) + \frac{(3q^2+3q+1)x^2}{q^3} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^3 x.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n,q}(e_4; x) &\geq \sum_{j=0}^3 \binom{3}{j} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^{3-j} \frac{x}{q^j} D_{n,q}(e_j; x) \\
 &\geq \binom{3}{0} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^3 x D_{n,q}(e_0; x) + \binom{3}{1} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^2 \frac{x}{q} D_{n,q}(e_1; x) \\
 &\quad + \binom{3}{2} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q} \right) \frac{x}{q^2} D_{n,q}(e_2; x) + \binom{3}{3} \frac{x}{q^3} D_{n,q}(e_3; x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q}\right)^3 x + \frac{3x^2}{q} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q}\right)^2 + \frac{3x}{q^2} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \\
 &\times \left(\frac{x^2}{q} + \frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q x}{[n]_q}\right) + \frac{x}{q^3} \left(\frac{x^3}{q^3} + \frac{(2q+1)x^2}{q^2} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q}\right)\right. \\
 &\left. + x \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q}\right)^2\right) \\
 &\geq \frac{x^4}{q^6} + \frac{(3q^2+2q+1)x^3}{q^5} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q}\right) + \frac{(3q^2+3q+1)x^2}{q^3} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q}\right)^2 \\
 &+ \left(\frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q}{[n]_q}\right)^3 x.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we want to transform the operators defined by (12) in order to preserve the quadratic function e_2 . We define the functions

$$v_{n,q}(x) = \frac{-q^{2\mu+1}[1-2\mu]_q + \sqrt{(q^{2\mu+1}[1-2\mu]_q)^2 + 4q[n]_q^2 x^2}}{2[n]_q}, \quad x \geq 0, \tag{13}$$

and the linear positive operators

$$D_{n,q}^*(f; x) = D_{n,q}(f; v_{n,q}(x)) = \frac{1}{E_{\mu,q}([n]_q v_{n,q}(x))} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{[k+2\mu\theta_k]_q}{q^{k-1}[n]_q}\right) q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{([n]_q v_{n,q}(x))^k}{\gamma_{\mu,q}(k)}. \tag{14}$$

Lemma 2.3. *The operators defined by (14) satisfy the following identities:*

1. $D_{n,q}^*(e_0; x) = 1,$
2. $D_{n,q}^*(e_1; x) = v_{n,q}(x),$
3. $D_{n,q}^*(e_2; x) = x^2,$
4. $D_{n,q}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^2; x) = 2x(x - v_{n,q}(x)).$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2 and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n,q}^*(e_0; x) &= 1 \\
 D_{n,q}^*(e_1; x) &= v_{n,q}(x) \\
 \frac{(v_{n,q}(x))^2}{q} + \frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q v_{n,q}(x)}{[n]_q} &\leq D_{n,q}^*(e_2; x) \leq \frac{(v_{n,q}(x))^2}{q} + \frac{[1+2\mu]_q v_{n,q}(x)}{[n]_q}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n,q}^*(e_2; x) &\geq \frac{(v_{n,q}(x))^2}{q} + \frac{q^{2\mu}[1-2\mu]_q v_{n,q}(x)}{[n]_q} \\
 &\geq \frac{\left(-q^{2\mu+1}[1-2\mu]_q + \sqrt{(q^{2\mu+1}[1-2\mu]_q)^2 + 4q[n]_q^2 x^2}\right)^2}{4q[n]_q^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{q^{2\mu}[1 - 2\mu]_q \left(-q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q + \sqrt{(q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^2 + 4q[n]_q^2 x^2} \right)}{2[n]_q^2} \\
 \geq & \frac{2q^{4\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q^2 + 4[n]_q^2 x^2 - 2q^{2\mu}[1 - 2\mu]_q \sqrt{(q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^2 + 4q[n]_q^2 x^2}}{4[n]_q^2} \\
 & + \frac{-2q^{4\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q^2 + 2q^{2\mu}[1 - 2\mu]_q \sqrt{(q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^2 + 4q[n]_q^2 x^2}}{4[n]_q^2} \\
 \geq & \frac{4[n]_q^2 x^2}{4[n]_q^2} = x^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n,q}^*(e_2; x) & \leq \frac{(v_{n,q}(x))^2}{q} + \frac{[1 + 2\mu]_q v_{n,q}(x)}{[n]_q} \\
 & \leq x^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$D_{n,q}^*(e_2; x) = x^2.$$

Now, we have to prove (4). Since

$$D_{n,q}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^2; x) = D_{n,q}((e_1 - e_0x)^2; v_{n,q}(x))$$

By linearity of $D_{n,q}$ and from (1), (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n,q}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^2; x) & = D_{n,q}(e_2; v_{n,q}(x)) - 2xD_{n,q}(e_1; v_{n,q}(x)) + x^2D_{n,q}(e_0; v_{n,q}(x)) \\
 & = x^2 - 2xv_{n,q}(x) + x^2 \\
 & = 2x(x - v_{n,q}(x)).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.4. For $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in (0, 1)$ let $v_{n,q}$ be defined by (13). Then the following statements hold:

1. $v_{n,q}(0) = 0$,
2. $0 \leq v_{n,q}(x) \leq x$,
3. $x - v_{n,q}(x)$ is strictly increasing in x and

$$x - v_{n,q}(x) \leq \frac{1}{[n]_q} \frac{(1 - q^n)x + [1 + 2\mu]_q}{1 + \sqrt{q}},$$

$$D_{n,q}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^2; x) \leq \frac{2}{[n]_q} \frac{(1 - q^n)x^2 + [1 + 2\mu]_q x}{1 + \sqrt{q}}.$$

Proof. To prove (3) we can consider the function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$h(x) = x - v_{n,q}(x)$$

Clearly, h is strictly increasing.

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \leq h(x) &= x - \left(\frac{-q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q + \sqrt{(q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^2 + 4q[n]_q^2 x^2}}{2[n]_q} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2[n]_q} \left(2[n]_q x + q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q - \sqrt{(q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^2 + 4q[n]_q^2 x^2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2[n]_q} \frac{(2[n]_q x + q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^2 - \left(\sqrt{(q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^2 + 4q[n]_q^2 x^2} \right)^2}{2[n]_q x + q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q + \sqrt{(q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^2 + 4q[n]_q^2 x^2}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2[n]_q} \frac{4[n]_q(1 - q^n)x^2 + 4[n]_q q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q x}{2[n]_q x + q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q + \sqrt{(q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q)^2 + 4q[n]_q^2 x^2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2[n]_q} \frac{4[n]_q(1 - q^n)x^2 + 4[n]_q q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q x}{2[n]_q x(1 + \sqrt{q})} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{[n]_q} \frac{(1 - q^n)x + q^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_q}{(1 + \sqrt{q})} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{[n]_q} \frac{(1 - q^n)x + [1 + 2\mu]_q}{(1 + \sqrt{q})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Convergence of Modified q-Dunkl Szász Operators

In order to obtain the convergence results for the operators D_{n,q_n}^* , we take $q = (q_n)$ where $q_n \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$q_n \rightarrow 1, \quad q_n^n \rightarrow a \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{15}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $q = (q_n)$ with $q_n \in (0, 1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy (15). Then for every $x \in [0, \infty)$ we have,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} D_{n,q_n}^*(e_1 - e_0x; x) = -\frac{(1 - a)x + (1 + 2\mu)}{2}, \tag{16}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} D_{n,q_n}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^2; x) = (1 - a)x^2 + (1 + 2\mu)x, \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 3x^2(1 - 2\mu)^2 + 6(1 - a)(1 - 2\mu)x^3 + 3(1 - a)^2x^4 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n}^2 D_{n,q_n}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^4; x) \\
 &\leq 3x^2(1 + 2\mu)^2 + 6(1 - a)(1 + 2\mu)x^3 + 3(1 - a)^2x^4.
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Proof. The proof is based on the following limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} (x - v_{n,q_n}(x)) = \frac{(1 - a)x + (1 + 2\mu)}{2}.$$

Using Lemma 2.3, proof of (16) and (17) are obvious. To show (18), we give an explicit formula for $D_{n,q_n}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^4; x)$. With the help of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$D_{n,q_n}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^4; x) = D_{n,q_n}^*(e_4; x) - 4xD_{n,q_n}^*(e_3; x) + 6x^2D_{n,q_n}^*(e_2; x) - 4x^3D_{n,q_n}^*(e_1; x) + x^4.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{(v_{n,q_n}(x))^4}{q_n^6} + (3q_n^2 + 2q_n + 1) \frac{(v_{n,q_n}(x))^3 [1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{q_n^5 [n]_{q_n}} \\
 &\quad + (3q_n^2 + 3q_n + 1) \frac{(v_{n,q_n}(x))^2 ([1 + 2\mu]_{q_n})^2}{q_n^3 [n]_{q_n}} + \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}}\right)^3 v_{n,q_n}(x) \\
 &\quad - 4x \left(\frac{(v_{n,q_n}(x))^3}{q_n^3} + (2q_n + 1) \frac{(v_{n,q_n}(x))^2 [1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{q_n^2 [n]_{q_n}} + \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}}\right)^2 v_{n,q_n}(x)\right) \\
 &\quad + 6x^2 \left(\frac{(v_{n,q_n}(x))^2}{q_n} + \frac{[1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}} v_{n,q_n}(x)\right) - 4x^3 v_{n,q_n}(x) + x^4 \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}}\right)^3 v_{n,q_n}(x) + \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{q_n^3} \left((3q_n^2 + 3q_n + 1)(v_{n,q_n}(x))^2 - 4xq_n^3 v_{n,q_n}(x)\right) \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}}\right) \frac{1}{q_n^5} \left((3q_n^2 + 2q_n + 1)(v_{n,q_n}(x))^3 - 4xq_n^3(2q_n + 1)(v_{n,q_n}(x))^2 + 6x^2q_n^5 v_{n,q_n}(x)\right) \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{(v_{n,q_n}(x))^4}{q_n^6} - \frac{4x}{q_n^3} (v_{n,q_n}(x))^3 + \frac{6x^2}{q_n} (v_{n,q_n}(x))^2 - 4x^3 v_{n,q_n}(x) + x^4\right) \\
 &\leq A_{n,q_n}(x) + B_{n,q_n}(x) + C_{n,q_n}(x) + D_{n,q_n}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Simple but tedious calculation shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n}^2 D_{n,q_n}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^4; x) \leq 0 + 3x^2(1 + 2\mu)^2 + 6(1 + 2\mu)(1 - a)x^3 + 3(1 - a)^2x^4.$$

Let us show details of third limit,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n}^2 C_{n,q_n}(x) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n}^2 \left(\frac{[1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}}\right) \frac{1}{q_n^5} \left((3q_n^2 + 2q_n + 1)(v_{n,q_n}(x))^3 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 4xq_n^3(2q_n + 1)(v_{n,q_n}(x))^2 + 6x^2q_n^5 v_{n,q_n}(x)\right) \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{[n]_{q_n}}{q_n^5} [1 + 2\mu]_{q_n} (3q_n^2 + 2q_n + 1)(v_{n,q_n}(x) - x)(v_{n,q_n}(x))^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{[n]_{q_n}}{q_n^5} [1 + 2\mu]_{q_n} (3q_n^2 + 2q_n + 1 - 8q_n^4 - 4q_n^3)(v_{n,q_n}(x) - x)xv_{n,q_n}(x) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{[n]_{q_n}}{q_n^5} [1 + 2\mu]_{q_n} (q_n - 1)(6q_n^4 - 6q_n^2 - 2q_n^3 - 3q_n - 1)x^2v_{n,q_n}(x)\right) \\
 &\rightarrow -6(1 + 2\mu) \frac{(1 - a)x + (1 + 2\mu)}{2} x^2 + 6(1 + 2\mu) \frac{(1 - a)x + (1 + 2\mu)}{2} x^2 \\
 &\quad + 6(1 + 2\mu)(1 - a)x^3 \\
 &= 6(1 + 2\mu)(1 - a)x^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, on the other hand

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n}^2 D_{n,q_n}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^4; x) \geq 0 + 3x^2(1 - 2\mu)^2 + 6(1 - 2\mu)(1 - a)x^3 + 3(1 - a)^2x^4.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Let $q = (q_n)$ with $q_n \in (0, 1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy (15). Then the sequence $D_{n,q_n}^*(f; x)$ converges uniformly to f on $[0, A]$ for each $f \in C_2^*[0, \infty)$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = 1$.

Proof. Assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = 1$. Fix $A > 0$ and consider the lattice homomorphism $T_A : C[0, \infty) \rightarrow C[0, A]$ defined by

$$T_A(f) = f|_{[0,A]}$$

Now, we see

$$T_A(D_{n,q_n}^*(e_0; x)) = T_A(1),$$

$$T_A(D_{n,q_n}^*(e_1; x)) = T_A(v_{n,q_n}(x)) \rightarrow T_A(x)$$

and

$$T_A(D_{n,q_n}^*(e_2; x)) = T_A(x^2),$$

uniformly on $[0, A]$. We see that $C_2^*[0, \infty)$ is isomorphic to $C[0, 1]$ and the set $\{1, t, t^2\}$ is a Korovkin’s set in $C_2^*[0, \infty)$ (see [1]). By Korovkin’s theorem, $D_{n,q_n}^*(f; x) \rightarrow f(x)$ uniformly on $[0, A]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, provided $f \in C_2^*[0, \infty)$ and $A > 0$. Now we prove converse result by contradiction. Suppose that $\{q_n\}$ does not converge to 1. Then it has to contain a subsequence $\{q_{n_k}\} \subset (0, 1)$ such that $q_{n_k} \rightarrow \alpha \in [0, 1)$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{[n_k]_{q_{n_k}}} = \frac{1 - q_{n_k}}{1 - q_{n_k}^{n_k}} \rightarrow 1 - \alpha \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also,

$$[1 - 2\mu]_{q_{n_k}} = \frac{1 - (q_{n_k})^{1-2\mu}}{1 - q_{n_k}} \rightarrow \frac{1 - \alpha^{1-2\mu}}{1 - \alpha} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n,q_{n_k}}^*(e_1; x) - x &= v_{n,q_{n_k}}(x) - x \\ &= \frac{-q_{n_k}^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_{q_{n_k}} + \sqrt{(q_{n_k}^{2\mu+1}[1 - 2\mu]_{q_{n_k}})^2 + 4q_{n_k}[n]_{q_{n_k}}^2} x^2}{2[n]_{q_{n_k}}} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{-\alpha^{2\mu+1}(\frac{1-\alpha^{1-2\mu}}{1-\alpha}) + \sqrt{(\alpha^{2\mu+1}(\frac{1-\alpha^{1-2\mu}}{1-\alpha}))^2 + 4\alpha(\frac{1}{1-\alpha})^2} x^2}{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Thus $q_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

The following result is a Dunkl q -analogue of Theorem 1 of [4].

Theorem 3.3. Let $D_{n,q}^*(\cdot; \cdot)$ be the operators defined by (14) and $f \in C_m^*[0, \infty)$. Let

$$f^*(z) = f(z^2), z \in [0, \infty).$$

Then for all $t > 0$ and $x \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$|D_{n,q_n}^*(f(t); x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega\left(f^*; \sqrt{\frac{2}{[n]_{q_n}} \frac{(1 - q_n^n)x + [1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{(1 + \sqrt{q_n})}}\right),$$

where $\omega(f, \delta)$ is the modulus of continuity of the function $f \in C_m^*[0, \infty)$ defined in (10). Therefore $D_{n,q_n}^*(f; x)$ converges to f uniformly on $[0, A]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whenever f is uniformly continuous.

Proof. Let $t > 0, x \in [0, \infty)$ and $f \in C_m^*[0, \infty)$ be fixed.
By the definition of f^* , we have

$$D_{n,q_n}^*(f; x) = D_{n,q_n}^*(f^*(\sqrt{\cdot}); x)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{n,q_n}^*(f; x) - f(x)| &= |D_{n,q_n}^*(f^*(\sqrt{\cdot}); x) - f^*(\sqrt{x})| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(q_n, v_{n,q_n}(x)) f^*\left(\sqrt{\frac{[k+2\mu\theta_k]_{q_n}}{q_n^{k-1}[n]_{q_n}}}\right) - f^*(\sqrt{x}) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(q_n, v_{n,q_n}(x)) \left(f^*\left(\sqrt{\frac{[k+2\mu\theta_k]_{q_n}}{q_n^{k-1}[n]_{q_n}}}\right) - f^*(\sqrt{x}) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(q_n, v_{n,q_n}(x)) \left| f^*\left(\sqrt{\frac{[k+2\mu\theta_k]_{q_n}}{q_n^{k-1}[n]_{q_n}}}\right) - f^*(\sqrt{x}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(q_n, v_{n,q_n}(x)) \omega\left(f^*; \left| \sqrt{\frac{[k+2\mu\theta_k]_{q_n}}{q_n^{k-1}[n]_{q_n}}} - \sqrt{x} \right| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(q_n, v_{n,q_n}(x)) \omega\left(f^*; \frac{\left| \sqrt{\frac{[k+2\mu\theta_k]_{q_n}}{q_n^{k-1}[n]_{q_n}}} - \sqrt{x} \right|}{D_{n,q_n}^*(|\sqrt{\cdot} - \sqrt{x}|; x)} D_{n,q_n}^*(|\sqrt{\cdot} - \sqrt{x}|; x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the inequality (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{n,q_n}^*(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(q_n, v_{n,q_n}(x)) \left(1 + \frac{\left| \sqrt{\frac{[k+2\mu\theta_k]_{q_n}}{q_n^{k-1}[n]_{q_n}}} - \sqrt{x} \right|}{D_{n,q_n}^*(|\sqrt{\cdot} - \sqrt{x}|; x)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \omega\left(f^*; D_{n,q_n}^*(|\sqrt{\cdot} - \sqrt{x}|; x) \right) \\ &\leq \omega\left(f^*; D_{n,q_n}^*(|\sqrt{\cdot} - \sqrt{x}|; x) \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(q_n, v_{n,q_n}(x)) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{\left| \sqrt{\frac{[k+2\mu\theta_k]_{q_n}}{q_n^{k-1}[n]_{q_n}}} - \sqrt{x} \right|}{D_{n,q_n}^*(|\sqrt{\cdot} - \sqrt{x}|; x)} \right) \\ &= 2\omega\left(f^*; D_{n,q_n}^*(|\sqrt{\cdot} - \sqrt{x}|; x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof we need to show that for all $t > 0$ and $x \in [0, \infty)$,

$$D_{n,q_n}^*(|\sqrt{\cdot} - \sqrt{x}|; x) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{[n]_{q_n}} \frac{(1 - q_n^n)x + [1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{(1 + \sqrt{q_n})}}.$$

Using Cauchy Schwartz inequality and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n,q_n}^*(|\sqrt{\cdot} - \sqrt{x}|; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \sqrt{\frac{[k + 2\mu\theta_k]_{q_n}}{q_n^{k-1}[n]_{q_n}}} - \sqrt{x} \right| s_{n,k}(q_n, v_{n,q_n}(x)) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{[k + 2\mu\theta_k]_{q_n}}{q_n^{k-1}[n]_{q_n}} - x \right| s_{n,k}(q_n, v_{n,q_n}(x)) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{[k + 2\mu\theta_k]_{q_n}}{q_n^{k-1}[n]_{q_n}} - x \right|^2 s_{n,k}(q_n, v_{n,q_n}(x))} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \sqrt{D_{n,q_n}^*((\cdot - x)^2; x)} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \sqrt{2x(x - v_{n,q_n}(x))} \\
 &\leq \sqrt{2(x - v_{n,q_n}(x))} \\
 &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - q_n^n)x + [1 + 2\mu]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}(1 + \sqrt{q_n})}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Next, we prove Voronovskaja type result for Dunkl analogue of q -Szász operators.

Theorem 3.4. Let $q = (q_n)$ with $q_n \in (0, 1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy (15). For any $f \in C_2^*[0, \infty)$ such that $f', f'' \in C_2^*[0, \infty)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} (D_{n,q_n}^*(f; x) - f(x)) = \frac{(1 - a)x + (1 + 2\mu)}{2} (xf''(x) - f'(x)),$$

uniformly on any $[0, A]$, $A > 0$.

Proof. Let $f, f', f'' \in C_2^*[0, \infty)$ and $x \in [0, \infty)$ be fixed. By Taylor formula, we have

$$f(t) = f(x) + (t - x)f'(x) + \frac{1}{2}(t - x)^2 f''(x) + (t - x)^2 r(t; x), \tag{19}$$

where $r(t; x)$ is the Peano form of the remainder $r(\cdot; x) \in C_2^*[0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} r(t; x) = 0$. Applying D_{n,q_n}^* to (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n,q_n}^*(f(t); x) - f(x) &= f'(x)D_{n,q_n}^*((e_1 - e_0x); x) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)D_{n,q_n}^*((e_1 - e_0x)^2; x) \\
 &\quad + D_{n,q_n}^*(r(t; x)(e_1 - e_0x)^2; x).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$D_{n,q_n}^*(r(\cdot; x)(\cdot - x)^2; x) \leq \sqrt{D_{n,q_n}^*(r^2(\cdot; x); x)} \sqrt{D_{n,q_n}^*((\cdot - x)^4; x)}. \tag{20}$$

Observe that $r^2(x, x) = 0$ and $r^2(\cdot; x) \in C_2^*[0, \infty)$. Then from Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{n,q_n}^*(r^2(\cdot; x); x) = r^2(x, x) = 0, \tag{21}$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, A]$. Now from (20), (21) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} \left(D_{n, q_n}^* (f(t); x) - f(x) \right) &= f'(x) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} D_{n, q_n}^* ((e_1 - e_0 x); x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} f''(x) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} D_{n, q_n}^* ((e_1 - e_0 x)^2; x) \\ &= f'(x) \left(- \frac{(1-a)x + (1+2\mu)}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + f''(x) \left((1-a)x^2 + (1+2\mu)x \right) \\ &= \frac{1-a}{2} x (x f''(x) - f'(x)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1+2\mu}{2} (x f''(x) - f'(x)) \\ &= \frac{(1-a)x + (1+2\mu)}{2} (x f''(x) - f'(x)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] F. Altomare, M. Campiti, Korovkin Type Approximation Theory and its Applications, in: de Gruyter studies in Mathematics, Vol. 17, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994, xii+627 pp.
- [2] A. Aral, V. Gupta, R.P. Agarwal, Application of q -Calculus in Operator Theory, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [3] S.N. Bernstein, Démonstration du théorème de Weierstrass fondée sur le calcul des probabilités, Commun. Soc. Math. Kharkow 2(13) (1912) 1–2.
- [4] J. de la Cal, J. Cárcamo, On uniform approximation by some classical Bernstein type operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 279 (2003) 625–638.
- [5] B. Cheikh, Y. Gaied, M. Zaghouani, A q -Dunkl classical q -Hermite type polynomials, Georgian Math. J. 21 (2014) 125–137.
- [6] O. Duman, M.A. Özarlan, Szász-Mirakjan type operators providing a better error estimation, Appl. Math. Lett. 20 (2007) 1184–1188.
- [7] V. Kac, P. Cheung, Quantum Calculus, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [8] J.P. King, Positive linear operator which preserve x^2 , Acta Math. Hungar. 99 (2003) 203–208.
- [9] N.I. Mahmudov, q -Szász-Mirakjan operators which preserve x^2 , J. Comput. Appl. Math. 235 (2011) 4621–4628.
- [10] G.V. Milovanovic, M. Mursaleen, Md. Nasiruzzaman, Modified Stancu type Dunkl generalization of Szász-Kantorovich operators, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. (Esp.) 112 (2018) 135–151.
- [11] M. Mursaleen, K.J. Ansari, Approximation of q -Stancu-Beta operators which preserve x^2 , Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 40 (2017) 1479–1491.
- [12] M. Mursaleen, Md. Nasiruzzaman, Dunkl generalization of Kantorovich type Szász-Mirakjan operators via q -calculus, Asian-Eur. J. Math 10 (2017), 17 pages.
- [13] M. Mursaleen, Md Nasiruzzaman, A.A.H. Al-Abied, Dunkl generalization of q -parametric Szász-Mirakjan operators, Int. J. Anal. Appl. 13 (2017) 206–215.
- [14] M. Mursaleen, Md. Nasiruzzaman, A. Alotaibi, On modified Dunkl generalization of Szász operators via q -calculus, J. Ineq. Appl. (2017) 2017:38.
- [15] S. Sucu, Dunkl analogue of Szász operators, Appl. Math. Comput. 244 (2014) 42–48.
- [16] O. Szász, Generalization of S. Bernstein polynomials to the infinite interval, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards 45 (1950) 239–245.