A UNIFIED FIXED POINT RESULT IN METRIC SPACES INVOLVING A TWO VARIABLE FUNCTION

Binayak S. Choudhury and P. N. Dutta

Abstract

In this paper¹ a unique fixed point theorem in complete metric spaces for a class of self mappings has been derived which satisfy certain inequality constraints involving a function of two variables. For particular choices of the function several fixed point theorems may be obtained.

1 Introduction

In existing literatures there have been a very large number of fixed point results for self-mappings satisfying various types of contractive inequalities. A detailed survey of these may be obtained in [1], [2] and [4]

In particular, fixed point results involving altering distances have been introduced in [3]. An altering distance is a mapping $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ which satisfies

a) Φ is increasing and continuous, and

b) $\Phi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0.

Fixed points involving altering distances have also been studied in works like [5] and [6].

In this paper, we obtain a new fixed point result for self-mappings defined on complete metric spaces satisfying a contractive inequality which involves a function of two variables and acts on distances of two pair of points in a metric space. This function of two variables is an extension of the idea of altering distances [3].

We begin with the following definition.

Definition 1.1 [Condition – **A**] A function $\Psi : R^+ \times R^+ \to R^+$ is said to satisfy *Condition* – A if

¹Received January 15, 2000; Revised September 23, 2000

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25

Key words and phrases: Metric spaces, self-mappings, fixed point

(i) Ψ is continuous,

(ii) Ψ is monotone increasing in both the arguments,

(iii) $\Psi(0,0) = 0$ and $\Psi(\epsilon,0) = 0$ implies $\epsilon = 0.$ (1.1)

Let $\Psi(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$. Then $\Psi(\epsilon, 0) \le \Psi(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$, or $\Psi(\epsilon, 0) = 0$, which implies $\epsilon = 0$ (by (1.1)).

Therefore, $\Psi(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$ implies $\epsilon = 0$.

(1.2)

Here R^+ is the set of all non-negative real numbers.

Examples of Ψ are:

(i) $\Psi(a,b) = (a^p + b^q)^k$,

(ii)
$$\Psi(a,b) = a^p \cdot b^q + a^k$$

where p, q and k are positive real numbers.

2 Fixed point results

Theorem 1 Let $T : X \to X$ be a self-mapping from a complete metric space X to itself which satisfies the following inequality:

$$\Psi(d(Tx,Ty),d(x,Tx)) + \Psi(d(y,Ty),d(y,T^{2}x)) \\
\leq c\Psi(d(x,y),d(x,Tx)) + c'\Psi(d(y,Ty),d(y,Tx)),$$
(2.1)

where 0 < c < 1, $0 < c' \le 1$, $x, y \in X$ and Ψ satisfies condition-A (Definition 1.1). Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. For any $x_0 \in X$, we construct the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.2)

Substituting y = Tx in (2.1) we have

$$\Psi(d(Tx, T^2x), d(x, Tx)) + \Psi(d(Tx, T^2x), d(Tx, T^2x)) \\
\leq c\Psi(d(x, Tx), d(x, Tx)) + c'\Psi((d(Tx, T^2x), d(Tx, Tx)).$$
(2.3)

As 0 < c' < 1 and Ψ satisfies condition (ii) of Definition 1.1,

$$\begin{split} c'\Psi(d(Tx,T^2x),0) &\leq c'\Psi(d(Tx,T^2x),d(Tx,T^2x)) \\ &< \Psi(d(Tx,T^2x),d(Tx,T^2x)) \end{split}$$

and consequently, from (2.3),

$$\Psi(d(Tx, T^{2}x), d(x, Tx)) \leq c\Psi(d(x, Tx), d(x, Tx)) \leq \Psi(d(x, Tx), d(x, Tx))$$
(2.4)

which implies

$$d(Tx, T^2x) \le d(x, Tx). \tag{2.5}$$

Setting $x = x_{n-1}$, we have

$$0 \le d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \le d(x_n, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.6)

44

A unified fixed point result in metric spaces

This shows that $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ converges.

Let $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = a$ (say). From (2.4), again setting $x = x_{n-1}$, we obtain

$$\Psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \le c\Psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)).$$
(2.7)

Making $n \to \infty$ and by virtue of the fact that Ψ is continuous, we have $\Psi(a, a) \leq c\Psi(a, a)$, or $\Psi((a, a) = 0$ (as 0 < c < 1), which implies that a = 0 (using (1.2)). Therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$
 (2.8)

We next show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Otherwise, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and corresponding subsequences $\{x_{m(k)}\}$ and $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that for m(k) < n(k)

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \ge \epsilon \text{ and } d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) < \epsilon.$$

$$(2.9)$$

Then we have

$$\epsilon \le d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \le d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) < d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + \epsilon$$

Making $k \to \infty$ and using (2.8)

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) = \epsilon.$$
(2.10)

Again,

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \le d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})$$

and

$$d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) \le d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}).$$

Making $k \to \infty$ and using (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) = \epsilon.$$
(2.11)

Also

$$d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)+1}) \le d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) + d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1})$$

and

$$d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \le d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)+1}) + d(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}).$$

Making $k \to \infty$ and using (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon.$$
(2.12)

Lastly,

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \le d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})$$

and

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) \le d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}).$$

Making $k \to \infty$ and using (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) = \epsilon.$$
(2.13)

Now substituting $x = x_{n(k)-1}$ and $y = x_{m(k)-1}$ in (2.1) one has $\begin{aligned} \Psi(d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})) + \Psi(d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}), d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)+1})) \\ &\leq c \Psi(d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})) \\ &+ c' \Psi(d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}), d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}). \end{aligned}$

Making $k \to \infty$ in the above inequality, using (2.8) and (2.10)–(2.13) and using the fact that Ψ is continuous, we obtain,

$$\Psi(\epsilon, 0) + \Psi(0, \epsilon) \le c\Psi(\epsilon, 0) + c'\Psi(0, \epsilon),$$

which implies $\Psi(\epsilon, 0) \leq c\Psi(\epsilon, 0)$ (as $0 < c' \leq 1$), and consequently $\Psi(\epsilon, 0) = 0$ (as 0 < c < 1). So, using condition (iii) of Definition 1.1, we obtain $\epsilon = 0$, which is a contradiction. This shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence is convergent in the complete metric space X.

Let $x_n \to z$ (say) as $n \to \infty$. Again, putting $y = z, x = x_n$ in (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Psi(d(x_{n+1},Tz),d(x_n,x_{n+1})) + \Psi(d(z,Tz),d(z,x_{n+2})) \\ &\leq c\Psi(d(x_n,z),d(x_n,x_{n+1})) + c'\Psi(d(z,Tz),d(z,x_{n+1})). \end{split}$$

Making $n \to \infty$, considering (2.8), $x_n \to z$, and using the continuity of Ψ we obtain

$$\Psi(d(z,Tz),0) + \Psi(d(z,Tz),0) \le c\Psi(0,0) + c'\Psi(d(z,Tz),0),$$

which implies

$$\begin{split} \Psi(d(z,Tz),0) &\leq c\Psi(0,0) \quad (\text{ as } 0 < c' \leq 1) \\ &\leq c\Psi(d(z,Tz),0) \quad (\text{ using condition (ii) of Definition 1.1 }). \end{split}$$

Consequently, $\Psi(d(z,Tz),0) = 0$ (as 0 < c < 1), so that d(z,Tz) = 0 (by (1.1)), which implies that z = Tz.

46

A unified fixed point result in metric spaces

Next, we prove the uniqueness of the fixed point. If possible, let z_1 and z_2 be two fixed points of T. Then from (2.1) we obtain

$$\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), d(z_1, z_1)) + \Psi(d(z_2, z_2), d(z_2, z_1))$$

$$\leq c\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), d(z_1, z_1)) + c'\Psi(d(z_2, z_2), d(z_2, z_1)),$$

or

$$\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), 0) + \Psi(0, d(z_2, z_1)) \le c\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), 0) + c'\Psi(0, d(z_2, z_1)),$$

or

$$\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), 0) \le c\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), 0)$$
 (as $0 < c' \le 1$),

which implies $\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), 0) = 0$ and consequently $d(z_1, z_2) = 0$ (using condition (iii) of Definition 1.1), or $z_1 = z_2$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

With different choices of Ψ it is possible to obtain different fixed point theorems. In particular, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1 Let $T : X \to X$ be a self-mapping from a complete metric space to itself and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} [(d(Tx,Ty)^p + r(d(x,Tx))^q]^k + [(d(y,Ty))^p + r(d(y,T^2x))^q]^k \\ &\leq c[(d(x,y))^p + r(d(x,Tx))^q]^k + c'[(d(y,Ty))^p + r(d(y,Tx))^q]^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in X$, p, k > 0, $r, q \ge 0$ and 0 < c < 1, $0 < c' \le 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

The proof of the corollary follows by the specific choice of the function Ψ as

 $\Psi(a,b) = (a^p + rb^q)^k, \quad p,k > 0, \quad r,q \ge 0.$

It may be noted that for particular choice of p = k = 1, r = q = 0 and c' = 1, we obtain the Banach fixed point theorem in complete metric spaces [2].

References

- K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [2] V.I. Istratescu, Fixed Point Theory, D. Riedel Publishing Co., London, 1981.

- [3] M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh and S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between two points, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 30 (1984), 1–9.
- [4] J. Meszaros, A comparison of various definitions of contractive type mappings, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 84 (1992), 167–194.
- [5] K.P.R. Sastry and G.V.R. Babu, *Fixed point theorems in metric spaces by altering distances*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **90** (1998), 175–182.
- [6] K.P.R. Sastry and G.V.R. Babu, Some fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, Indian. J. Pure Appl. Math. 30 (1999), 641–647.

Department of Mathematics Bengal Engineering College (Deemed University) Howrah – 711103, West Bengal India

Department of Mathematics Hooghly Mohsin College Chinsurah – 712101, Hooghly, West Bengal India