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#### Abstract

In this paper ${ }^{1}$ we prove the conjecture made in [2]. This conjecture establishes the rules for deducing when the insertion of the parenthesis is needed while converting an postfix expression to the infix one.


## 1. Introduction

The simplification of the symbolic expressions is the most important issue in symbolic computation. The reverse Polish notation is oftenly used in symbolic manipulations with the various expressions. Reverse Polish notation is the complementary part of many textbooks in computer science (e.g. [1], [3], [4], [5]).

When we use reverse Polish notation in symbolic calculations, sooner or later, we should transform the postfix expression to the infix one. During this transformation we have imposed the following question: When the parenthesis around the arguments of an binary operator are needed, and when we could omit them and still get a rightful precedence of the operations?

In [2] the properties of the reverse Polish notation are investigated. These properties are further used in the formulation of the rules about inserting parenthesis around arguments of the binary operation while corresponding postfix expression is converted into the infix form. In [2] the algorithms for transformation of the infix expression to the postfix one, and vice versa, are developed. These algorithms can process the expressions containing the

[^0]usual binary arithmetic operators and standard functions. The formulated rules are incorporated in the algorithm for the transformation of the expressions in postfix to the infix form.

For the sake of completeness, we restate the results from [2]. Note that the expression in the reverse Polish notation is denoted by postfix, where postfix $[i]$, for each $i \geq 0$, is a string which denotes an expression element, i.e. a variable, a constant, or an operator.

Definition 1.1. The grasp of the element postfix[i] is the number of its preceding elements which form operand(s) of the element postfix $[i]$. We denote the grasp of the element postfix $[i]$ by $G R$ (postfix[i]). Integer $i$ is called the index of the element postfix[i]. Index $i$ of the element postfix $[i]$ will be alternatively denoted by $I N D$ (postfix $[i]$ ).

Remark 1.1. The element postfix[i] in the array postfix, representing the reverse Polish notation of the corresponding expression, can be the operator or the simple operand (variable or constant). We concern every simple operand as 0 -ary operator, and assume that its grasp is zero.

Definition 1.2. The grasped elements of the operator postfix $[i]$ are the grasping left preceding elements in the array postfix which form operand(s) of the operator postfix $[i]$. The index of the most left element among them is called the left grasp bound. The left grasp bound of the operator postfix $[i]$ is denoted by $L G B$ (postfix $[i]$ ).

Definition 1.3. The element postfix $[i]$ is called the main element or head for the expression formed by postfix[i] and its grasped elements.

Remark 1.2. An arbitrary element postfix[i] can be considered as the operator acting on operands $\arg _{1}, \ldots, \arg _{n}$. Heads of these operands will be denoted by $o p_{1}, \ldots, o p_{n}$.

Lemma 1.1. Assume that postfix[i] is n-ary operator which takes operands whose heads are op $p_{1}, \ldots$ op $n$, respectively. Then, the following statements are valid:
(a) $G R($ postfix $[i])=i-L G B($ postfix $[i])$.
(b) $G R(p o s t f i x[i])=n+\sum_{k=1}^{n} G R\left(o p_{k}\right)=n+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(I N D\left(o p_{k}\right)-L G B\left(o p_{k}\right)\right)$.
(c) $L G B($ postfix $[i])=i-n-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(I N D\left(o p_{k}\right)-L G B\left(o p_{k}\right)\right)$.
(d) $i=I N D($ postfix $[i])=n+\sum_{k=1}^{n} I N D\left(o p_{k}\right)+p$,
$L G B($ postfix $[i])=\sum_{k=1}^{n} L G B\left(o p_{k}\right)+p$,
for some integer $p$.
(e) $o p_{n-j}=$ postfix $\left[i-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} G R\left(o p_{n-k}\right)-j-1\right], \quad j=0, \ldots, n-1$.

Lemma 1.2. If the grasp of an arbitrary n-ary operator postfix[i] is greater than $n$, then at least one of its arguments heads is also an operator.

Corollary 1.1. If the grasp of any binary operator postfix[i] is greater than 2, then at least one of the two preceding elements in reverse Polish notation of the expression (postfix[ $[-1]$ and postfix $[i-2]$ ) is also the operator (unary or binary).

Theorem 1.1. Assume that the grasp of an arbitrary binary operator postfix $[i]$ is greater than 2 .
(a) If the difference between the grasp of the operator postfix[i] and the grasp of its first preceding operator is equal to 2 , then it is not necessary to insert parenthesis around at least one of the two operands of the operator postfix $[i]$. Specifically,
(i) if the difference of index $i$ and the index of the first preceding operator with respect to postfix[i] is equal to 1, then it is not necessary to insert parenthesis around the first expression-operand of the operator postfix[i];
(ii) if the difference of index $i$ and the index of the first preceding operator with respect to postfix[i] is equal to 2, then it is not necessary to insert parenthesis around the second expression-operand of the operator postfix $[i]$.
(b) In the opposite case, when the difference between the above mentioned grasps is greater than 2, the parenthesis should be inserted around both expression-operands. The exception is in the case when one of the expression-operands is unary operator call. In this case, the parenthesis could be omitted.

The above results are the base for the following rules concerning the necessity of inserting parenthesis around arguments of a binary operator.

Rule 1. (a) If the current operator postfix $[i]$ in the reverse Polish notation of the expression, during postfix to infix transformation, is the binary + , then it is not necessary to insert the parenthesis around its operands.
(b) If the current operator postfix $[i]$ in the reverse Polish notation of the expression is the binary -, then the following is valid:
(i) The parenthesis are not necessary around the first argument;
(ii) The parenthesis around the second argument are necessary only if the element postfix $[i-1]$ is one of the binary operators + or - .

Rule 2. Let the grasp of the operator postfix $[i]$ be greater than 2.
(i) If $G R($ postfix $[i])-G R($ postfix $[i-1])=2$ and $\operatorname{postfix}[i-1]$ is an unary or binary operator, then it is not necessary to insert parenthesis around the first expression-operand $\arg g_{1}$, which is determined by the head $o p_{1}=$ postfix $[i-G R($ postfix $[i-1])-2]$.
(ii) If $G R($ postfix $[i])-G R($ postfix $[i-2])=2$ and postfix $[i-2]$ is an unary or binary operator, then it is not necessary to insert parenthesis around the second expression-operand $\arg _{2}$, which is determined by the head $o p_{2}=$ postfix $[i-1]$.
(iii) The exception of the case (i) is raised when postfix[i] $=*$ and postfix $[i-1]=*$ or postfix $[i-1]=/$. Also, the exception of the case (ii) is raised when postfix $[i]=*$ and postfix $[i-2]=*$ or postfix $[i-2]=/$. Then, the parenthesis are not necessary around both operands $\arg _{1}$ and $\arg _{2}$. There is another exception of the case (ii), when postfix $[i]=/$ and postfix $[i-2]=*$ or postfix $[i-2]=/$. Then, there is no need for the parenthesis around both of the arguments.

Rule 3. Let the grasp of an arbitrary binary operator postfix $[i]$ be greater than 2 and the difference between its grasp and the grasp of the first preceding operator be greater than 2. Then, in the general case, the parenthesis should be inserted around both expression-operands $\arg _{1}$ and $\arg _{2}$. The exceptions are aroused in the following cases:
(i) One (or both) of the expression-operands $\arg _{1}$ and $\arg _{2}$ is unary operator call, i.e. when at least one of the heads $o p_{1}, o p_{2}$ is unary operator. Then, the parenthesis should be omitted around this (or both) argument(s).
(ii) The operator postfix $[i]=*$ and one (or both) of the heads of its arguments are $*$ or $/$. Then, the parenthesis should be omitted around this (or both) argument(s).
(iii) The operator postfix[i] $=/$ and $o p_{1}=*$ or $o p_{1}=/$. Then, the parenthesis should be omitted around the first argument $\arg _{1}$.

Rule 4. If postfix $[i]$ is a binary operator and $G R($ post fix $[i])=2$, then both of its operands, $\arg _{1}$ and $\arg _{2}$, are simple and parenthesis around them could be omitted.

## 2. Are rules 1-4 enough for deducing about parenthesis?

In [2] we made the conjecture that Rules 1-4 remove all unnecessary parenthesis because there was no counterexample for this. Now, we are ready to give a formal proof for this claim.

Theorem 2.1. The Rules $1-4$ remove all unnecessary parenthesis.
Proof. When we talk about the necessity of the parenthesis while the binary operations are applied, we should observe only the head of the expression, denoted by head $=$ postfix $[i]$, and the heads of its arguments $o p_{1}=\operatorname{postfix}[i-G R($ postfix $[i-1])-2]$ and $o p_{2}=\operatorname{postfix}[i-1]$. We observe the non-trivial cases when head is one of the four arithmetic operators only. Any of the heads $o p_{1}$ and $o p_{2}$ could be one of the four arithmetic operators,,$+- *$ and $/$, or one of the functional operators, or a simple operand.

Therefore, there are

$$
6 \times 6 \times 4=144
$$

various possibilities.
If both of the arguments $\arg _{1}$ and $\arg _{2}$ are simple, we have $1 \times 1 \times 4=4$ different cases (one for each of the arithmetic operators), covered by Rule 4. In

$$
1 \times 5 \times 4+5 \times 1 \times 4=40
$$

cases, when exactly one of the arguments $\arg _{1}$ and $\arg _{2}$ is simple, we apply Rule 2.

Hence, in the rest of the proof, we can assume, without loss of generality, that both of the arguments are not simple. Then, the possible cases for $o p_{1}$ and $o p_{2}$ are four arithmetic operators and, as the fifth kind of the operators, unary functional operators. Henceforth, we have

$$
5 \times 5 \times 4=100
$$

remaining possibilities for $o p_{1}, o p_{2}$ and head.

The $5 \times 5 \times 1=25$ cases, when head $=+$ are covered by the part (a) of Rule 1. The next $5 \times 5 \times 1=25$ cases, when head $=-$, are covered by the part (b) of Rule 1.

The remaining $5 \times 5 \times 2=50$ cases have head $=*$ or head $=/$. Two cases, when $o p_{1}$ and $o p_{2}$ are both functional operators, are covered by the Rule 3(i). Also,

$$
1 \times 4 \times 2+4 \times 1 \times 2=16
$$

cases, when exactly one of the $o p_{1}$ and $o p_{2}$ is the functional operator, are covered by the Rule 3(i).

What remains is the $4 \times 4 \times 2=32$ cases, $4 \times 4 \times 1=16$ when head $=*$ and $4 \times 4 \times 1=16$ cases when head $=/$.

Then, the following events should be anticipated.

- In 8 cases we have $o p_{1}=+\mid-$, op $2=+\mid-$ and head $=* \mid /$. Then, the parenthesis are necessary around both arguments. These events are covered by the general case of Rule 3 .
- In 4 cases we have $o p_{1}=+\mid-$, $o p_{2}=* \mid /$ and head $=*$. Then, the parenthesis around the argument $\arg _{2}$ should be omitted. These cases are covered by part (ii) of Rule 3.
- Similarly, in 4 cases we have $o p_{1}=*\left|/, o p_{2}=+\right|-$ and head $=*$. Then, the parenthesis around the argument $\arg _{1}$ should be omitted. These cases are covered by part (ii) of Rule 3 .
- In 4 cases we have $o p_{1}=*\left|/, o p_{2}=*\right| /$ and head $=*$. Then, the parenthesis around both arguments $\arg _{1}$ and $\arg _{2}$ should be omitted. These cases are covered by part (ii) of Rule 3.
- In remaining 12 cases we have head $=/$. These cases arise when

$$
o p_{1}=+\left|-, \quad o p_{2}=*\right| / \text { or } o p_{1}=*\left|/, \quad o p_{2}=+\right|-\text { or } o p_{1}=*\left|/, \quad o p_{2}=*\right| / .
$$

Then, the parenthesis are necessary around the both arguments, except in 8 cases, when $o p_{1}=*$ or $o p_{1}=/$, and the parenthesis around $\arg _{1}$ are excessive. These cases are covered by part (iii) of Rule 3.

Hence, we can conclude that all possible cases are covered by the Rules $1-4$, so these Rules can correctly deduce whether the parenthesis are needed or not.

All possibilities for $o p_{1}, o p_{2}$ and head as well as the necessity of the parenthesis are arranged in the Table 2.1. By the sign $f$ we denote that $o p_{1}$ or $o p_{2}$ are some of the unary functional operators, and $s$ denote a simple operand.

Table 2.1.
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