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#### Abstract

We characterize sets of scores with property that each score has prescribed position in the tournament score sequence ${ }^{1}$.


A tournament $T_{n}$ is a graph with vertices $1,2, \ldots, n$ such that each pair of distinct vertices $i$ and $j$ is joined by one and only one of the oriented edges $i j$ and $j i$. We say that vertex $i$ dominates vertex $j$ if $T_{n}$ contains an oriented edge $i j$. The score (outdegree) of vertex $i$ is the number $s_{i}$ of vertices that $i$ dominates. Let vertices of $T_{n}$ be labeled in such way that $s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq \ldots \leq s_{n}$. The sequence $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ is called the score sequence of $T_{n}$. A transitive tournament has the simplest structure $0 \leq 1 \leq \ldots \leq n-1$, while regular tournaments have scores as nearly equal as possible $\underbrace{\lfloor e\rfloor=\ldots=\lfloor e\rfloor}_{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \leq \underbrace{\lceil e\rceil=\ldots=\lceil e\rceil}_{\lceil n / 2\rceil}, \quad e=(n-1) / 2$.
E.g, a regular tournament of odd order $n=2 e+1$ obtains if vertex $j$, where $1 \leq j \leq n$, dominates $j+i$, where $1 \leq i \leq e$, assuming that vertex $n+k$ equals $k$. Landau theorem [6] gives a non-constructive criterium for a score sequence.

Theorem 1 (Landau theorem) A nondecreasing sequence $s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq \ldots \leq$ $s_{n}$ of nonnegative integers is the score sequence of some tournament $T_{n}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} \geq k 2, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}=n 2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next theorem [2] gives a criterium for score segments and subsequences with arbitrary positions of scores.

Theorem 2 Let $t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq \ldots \leq t_{m}$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers and $s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq \ldots \leq s_{n}$ be a score sequence of a tournament $T_{n}$ with $m \leq n$. Then the following properties are equivalent: $4 S_{1}: \quad \sum_{i=1}^{j} t_{i} \geq j 2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m$;
$S_{2}: \quad t_{j}=s_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad$ forsome $T_{n} ;$
$S_{3}: \quad t_{j}=s_{k+j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad$ forsome $T_{n}$ and $k$;
$S_{4}: \quad t_{j}=s_{k_{j}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad$ forsome $T_{n}$ and $k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots<k_{m}$.

[^0]In this paper we consider conditions for a set of integers to be the subset of scores with prescribed positions in some score sequence. In the following we shall use the notations $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x} 2, \quad \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{k})=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}, \quad \sum_{i=l}^{k} x_{i}=0, \quad l>k$.

Theorem 3 Let $0 \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq \ldots \leq t_{m}$ and $0<k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots<k_{m}$ be two sequences of integers. Then, there exists a tournament $T_{n}$ with score sequence $s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq \ldots \leq s_{n}$ such that $t_{j}=s_{k_{j}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(k_{i}-k_{i-1}\right) t_{i} \geq k_{j} 2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad k_{0}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The size of the tournament can be $k_{m}$ if and only if in e:prescribed the equality holds for $j=m$.

Necessity. If for some tournament we have $t_{j}=s_{k_{j}}$, where $1 \leq j \leq m$, then monotonicity of the score sequence and the Landau theorem give $\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(k_{i}-\right.$ $\left.k_{i-1}\right) t_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(k_{i}-k_{i-1}\right) s_{k_{i}} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{j}} s_{i} \geq k_{j} 2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m$.

Sufficiency. Let some sequences $t$ and $k$ satisfy e:prescribed. Define the sequence $u_{1} \leq u_{2} \leq \ldots \leq u_{k_{m}}$ which includes the sequence $t$ as subsequence $\mathrm{u}_{k}=t_{j}, \quad k_{j-1}<k \leq k_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m$, and let us prove that it satisfies property $S_{1}$ from Theorem 2. In the following minorizations we apply piecewise linearity of $U$, inequalities e:prescribed, and convexity of binomial function $b$ $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{k}_{j-1}\right)+\left(k-k_{j-1}\right) t_{k_{j}}$
$=U\left(k_{j-1}\right)+\left(k-k_{j-1}\right) \frac{U\left(k_{j}\right)-U\left(k_{j-1}\right)}{k_{j}-k_{j-1}}$
$=\frac{k_{j}-k}{k_{j}-k_{j-1}} U\left(k_{j-1}\right)+\frac{k-k_{j-1}}{k_{j}-k_{j-1}} U\left(k_{j}\right)$
$\geq \frac{k_{j}-k}{k_{j}-k_{j-1}} b\left(k_{j-1}\right)+\frac{k-k_{j-1}}{k_{j}-k_{j-1}} b\left(k_{j}\right)$
$\geq b\left(\frac{k_{j}-k}{k_{j}-k_{j-1}} k_{j-1}+\frac{k-k_{j-1}}{k_{j}-k_{j-1}} k_{j}\right)$
$=b(k)$. By property $S_{2}$ from Theorem 2 , there exists a tournament $T_{n}$ with beginning score segment $u$. Therefore, scores from the sequence $t$ appear on the prescribed positions $k$.

Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya [4] introduced the majorization relation. Let $a$ and $b$ be in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $a$ is said to be majorized by $b$ a々 $b$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{[i]} \leq$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{[i]}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{[i]}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{[i]}$, where $x_{[1]} \geq x_{[2]} \geq \ldots \geq x_{[n]}$ denotes the nonincreasing permutation of the sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$. The same authors proved that $a \prec b$ if and only if for each convex function $f$ holds $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(a_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(b_{i}\right)$. In this notation the Landau condition e:Landau takes an equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \prec(0,1, \ldots, n-1) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Weak supermajorization [7] of $a$ by $b$ means $a \prec^{w} b$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{(i)} \geq$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$, where $x_{(1)} \leq x_{(2)} \leq \ldots \leq x_{(n)}$ is the nondecreasing permutation of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, and weak submajorization means $\mathrm{a} \prec_{w} b$ if $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{[i]} \leq$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{[i]}, \quad$ i.e. $\quad \sum_{i=k}^{n} a_{(i)} \leq \sum_{i=k}^{n} b_{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$.

In the proof of Theorem 3 we constructed the multiset

$$
\left\{k_{1} \bullet t_{1},\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right) \bullet t_{2}, \ldots,\left(k_{m}-k_{m-1}\right) \bullet t_{m}\right\}
$$

which preserves the nondecreasing arrangement of $t \Delta k \bullet t: \underbrace{t_{1}=\ldots=t_{1}}_{k_{1}} \leq$ $\underbrace{t_{2}=\ldots=t_{2}}_{k_{2}-k_{1}} \leq \ldots \leq \underbrace{t_{m}=\ldots=t_{m}}_{k_{m}-k_{m-1}}$. The notation for multisets $\bullet$ we also use for vectors.

Remark 1 The characterization e:prescribed from Theorem 3 have a condensed form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(0,1, \ldots, k_{m}-1\right)^{\mathrm{w}_{\succ}} \succ \Delta \bullet \bullet t .2 a \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorems 2 and 3 one obtains that e:prescribed implies $S_{1}$. In the following we shall strengthen this implication.

For sequences $a$ and $b$ let $a \leq b$ denotes $a_{k} \leq b_{k}$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$.
If the comparands in relations $\leq$ and $\prec^{w}$ have different lengths, then we restrict them to the shorter one.

Theorem 4 Let $l_{1}<l_{2}<\cdots$ and $k_{1}<k_{2}<\cdots$ be sequences of positive integers and $t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq \cdots$. Then the following are equivalent: $3 R_{1}: l \leq k$, $R_{2}: \quad \Delta l \bullet t \geq \Delta k \bullet t, \quad$ foreacht, $R_{3}: \quad \Delta l \bullet t \prec{ }^{\mathrm{W}} \Delta k \bullet t, \quad$ foreach $t$.
$R_{1} \Rightarrow R_{2} \Rightarrow R_{3}$. This is obvious.
$R_{3} \Rightarrow R_{1}$. Let $p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq \cdots$ and $q_{1} \leq q_{2} \leq \cdots$ be the sequences $\Delta l \bullet t$ and $\Delta k \bullet t$, respectively. For $t_{1}=\ldots=t_{j}<t_{j+1}$ we have $l_{j} t_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{l_{j}} p_{i} \geq$ $\sum_{i=1}^{l_{j}} q_{i} \geq l_{j} t_{1}$, so that $l_{j} \leq k_{j}$.

Corollary 1 Let $l_{1}<l_{2}<\ldots<l_{m}$ and $k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots<k_{m}$ be sequences of positive integers satisfying $l \leq k$. If $t_{j}=s_{k_{j}}$, where $1 \leq j \leq m$, is the score subsequence of some tournament $T_{n}$, then $t_{j}=s_{l_{j}}^{*}$, where $1 \leq j \leq m$, for some $T_{q}^{*}$.
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