Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics University of Niš

Available at:

www.pmf.ni.ac.yu/org/filomat/welcome.htm

Filomat **20:1** (2006), 43–57

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR EXPANSION MAPPINGS SATISFYING IMPLICIT RELATIONS

H. K. PATHAK AND RAKESH TIWARI

ABSTRACT. In this note a fixed point theorem for expansion mappings is established in a complete metric space under certain conditions. Further a common fixed point theorem for pair of weakly compatible expansion mappings is established. In this theorem the completeness of space is replaced with a set of four alternative conditions for functions satisfying implicit relations. These theorems extend and improve results of S. M. Kang [4], M. A. Khan et al. [5], B. E. Rhoades [11] and T. Taniguchi [12].

1. Introduction

Wang et al. [13] proved some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings, which correspond some contractive mappings. Further, by using functions, Khan et al. [5] generalized the result of [13]. Also Rhoades [11] and Taniguchi [12] generalized the result of [13] for pair of mappings. Kang [4] generalized the result of Khan et al. [5], Rhoades [11] and Taniguchi [12] for expansion mappings.

Popa [10] improved results of Jha et al. [1], Pant et al. [6], [7] for Meir and Keeler type mappings by taking weak compatibility property and replacing the completeness of the space with a set of four alternative conditions for functions satisfying implicit relations.

¹2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54H25

 $^{^{2}}Keywords$ and Phrases. Common fixed points, expansion mappings, complete metric space, weak compatible mappings, implicit relations.

³Corresponding author: Rakesh Tiwari.

⁴Received: January 31, 2006

The objective of this paper is to prove common fixed point theorem for surjective mappings satisfying some expansion conditions, which extend corresponding result of Kang [4] and Khan et al. [5]. In the sequel, we introduce some implicit relations in section 4 which are found to be viable, productive and powerful tool in finding the existence of common fixed point for non-surjective mappings satisfying certain expansion type conditions.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{N} denote the set of real numbers and the set of natural numbers, respectively. We use the following definitions in the proof of our main theorems.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a topological space and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ a real valued mapping on X. Then f is called *upper semi – continuous* on X iff $f^{-1}(-\infty, t)$ is open in X for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. A mapping f is called *lower semi – continuous* if -f is upper semi-continuous.

Definition 2.2.[11] The self maps S and T of a metric space (X, d) are said to be weak compatible if Sx = Tx implies STx = TSx.

Kang [4] proved the following theorem :

Let \mathbb{R}_+ be the set of all non-negative real numbers and let Φ denote the family of all real functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying the following conditions (C_1) and (C_2) according to Khan et al. [5]: $(C_1) \phi$ is lower semi-continuous in each coordinate variable, (C_2) Let $v, w \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be such that either $v \ge \phi(v, w, w)$ or $v \ge \phi(w, v, w)$. Then $v \ge hw$, where $\phi(1, 1, 1) = h > 1$.

Theorem 2.3. Let A and B be surjective mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying

$$d(Ax, By) \ge \phi(d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(x, y))$$

for all x, $y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, where $\phi \in \Phi$. Then A and B have a common fixed point in X.

3. Main Results

Let \mathbb{R}_+ be the set of all non-negative real numbers and let Φ denote the family of all real valued functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^5_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying the following conditions :

 $(C_1) \phi$ is lower semi – continuous in each coordinate variable,

 $(C_2) \phi$ is non-increasing in second and third coordinate variables,

(C₃) Let $v, w \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be such that either $v \ge \phi(v, v + w, 0, w, w)$ or $v \ge \phi(w, 0, v + w, v, w)$. Then $v \ge hw$, where $\phi(1, 1, 1, 1, 1) = h > 1$.

Now we prove our theorem as follows :

Theorem 3.1. Let A and B be surjective mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying

$$(3.1) \ d(Ax, By) \ge \phi(d(Ax, x), d(Ax, y), d(By, x), d(By, y), d(x, y))$$

for all x, $y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, where $\phi \in \Phi$. Then A and B have a common fixed point in X.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. Since A and B are surjective, we choose a point x_1 in X such that $Ax_1 = x_0$ and for this point x_1 , there exists a point x_2 in X such that $Bx_2 = x_1$. By this way, we can define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

(3.2)
$$Ax_{2n+1} = x_{2n}$$
 and $Bx_{2n+2} = x_{2n+1}$.

Suppose that $x_{2n} = x_{2n+1}$ for $n \ge 0$. Then x_{2n} is a fixed point of A. If $x_{2n+1} \ne x_{2n+2}$ then, from (3.1), we have

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = d(Ax_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+2})$$

$$\geq \phi(d(Ax_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), d(Ax_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}),$$

$$d(Bx_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}), d(Bx_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}))$$

$$\geq \phi(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}),$$

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}),$$

$$\geq \phi(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), 0$$

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})),$$

which implies, by (C_3)

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \ge hd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}).$$

This yields a contradiction, and so $x_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}$. Thus x_{2n} is a common fixed point of A and B. If $x_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}$ for some $n \ge 0$, it is similarly verified that x_{2n+1} is a common fixed point of A and B. Without loss of generality, we can suppose $x_n \ne x_{n+1}$, for each $n \ge 0$. From (3.1), we have

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = d(Ax_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+2})$$

$$\geq \phi(d(Ax_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), d(Ax_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(Bx_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}), d(Bx_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})),$$

which implies, from (C_3)

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \le \frac{1}{h} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}).$$

Similarly

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = d(Bx_{2n+2}, Ax_{2n+3})$$

$$\geq \phi(d(Ax_{2n+3}, x_{2n+3}), d(Ax_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}), d(Bx_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}), d(Bx_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}))$$

$$\geq \phi(d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}), d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2})), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+3}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2})),$$

which implies, by (C_3)

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \ge h \ d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}),$$

or

$$d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) \le \frac{1}{h} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \frac{1}{h} d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

Since h > 1, by Lemma of Jungck [2], $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence it converges to some point z in X. Consequentially, the sub-sequences $\{x_{2n}\}$, $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+2}\}$ also converge to z.

Since A and B are surjective, there exist two points v and w in X such that z = Av and z = Bw. Thus, using (3.1), we have

$$d(x_{2n}, z) = d(Ax_{2n+1}, Bw)$$

$$\geq \phi(d(Ax_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), d(Ax_{2n+1}, w),$$

$$d(Bw, x_{2n+1}), d(Bw, w), d(x_{2n+1}, w))$$

$$\geq \phi(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n}, w),$$

$$d(Bw, x_{2n+1}), d(Bw, w), d(x_{2n+1}, w)).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$

$$\begin{split} 0 &= d(z,z) \geq \phi(d(z,z), d(z,w), d(z,z), d(z,w), d(z,w)) \\ &\geq \phi(0, d(z,w), 0, d(z,w), d(z,w)) \\ &\geq \phi(0, 0 + d(z,w), 0, d(z,w), d(z,w)), \end{split}$$

which implies, by (C_3)

$$0 \ge h \ d(z, w),$$

so that z = w. Similarly, we have z = v. Therefore, A and B have a common fixed point in X.

Remark 3.2. Our Theorem 3.1 extends corresponding results of Kang [4] and for A = B it extends result of Khan et al. [5].

46

Corollary 3.3. Let A and B be surjective mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying

$$d(Ax, By) \ge a \ d(Ax, x) + b \ d(By, y) + c \ d(x, y) + e \ d(Ax, y) + f \ d(By, x)$$

for all x, $y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, where a, b, c, e, f are non-negative real numbers with a + e < 1, f + b < 1, a + b + c + e + f > 1. Then A and B have a common fixed point in X.

Proof. Let h = a+b+c+e+f and $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = at_1+bt_2+ct_3+et_4+ft_5$ for every $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5 \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

If $v \ge av + ev + fw + bw + cw$ for some $v, w \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$v(1-a-e) \ge (f+b+c)w$$
$$v \ge \frac{(f+b+c)}{(1-a-e)}w$$
$$\ge (a+b+c+e+f)w$$
$$\ge hw.$$

If $v \ge aw + ew + fw + bv + cw$ for some $v, w \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then similarly we have

$$v \ge hw.$$

Therefore $\phi \in \Phi$. And so the proof of the Corollary is complete by Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.4. (I) If we take e = f = 0 in Corollary 3.3 we get Corollary 2.3 of Kang [4].

(II) The surjective condition of mappings A and B can not be dropped in Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3 as shown below :

Example 3.5. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with the Euclidean metric d. Define A and $B: X \to X$ by Ax = h(x+1) and

$$Bx = \begin{cases} hx & \text{if } x < \frac{1}{2} \\ h(x+1) & \text{if } x \ge \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

for each x in X, where h > 1. Consider $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = ht_5$ for every $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where h > 1. Then $\phi \in \Phi$ and we have

$$d(Ax, By) = \begin{cases} h|x - y + 1| & \text{if } y < \frac{1}{2} \\ h|x - y| & \text{if } y \ge \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$
$$\ge h|x - y| \\\ge hd(x, y)$$
$$= \phi(d(Ax, x), d(Ax, y), d(By, x), d(By, y), d(x, y))$$

for all x and y in X. In this example all the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied except that A and B are surjective, but A and B have no common fixed point in X. Therefore, the surjectivity of mappings A and B is a necessary condition in Theorem 3.1.

Next, let Φ^* denotes the family of all real functions $\psi : \mathbb{R}^5_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying $(C_1), (C_2)$ and

 (C_4) Let $v, w \in \mathbb{R}_+ - \{0\}$ be such that either $v \ge \psi(v, v + w, 0, w, w)$ or $v \ge \psi(w, 0, v + w, v, w)$. Then $v \ge hw$, where $\psi(1, 1, 1, 1, 1) = h > 1$.

Theorem 3.6. Let A and B be continuous surjective mappings from a complete metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying

$$d(Ax, By) \ge \psi(d(Ax, x), d(Ax, y), d(By, x), d(By, y), d(x, y))$$

for all x,y in X with $x \neq y$, where $\psi \in \Phi^*$. Then A or B has a fixed point or A and B have a common fixed point in X.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X defined by

 $Ax_{2n+1} = x_{2n}$ and $Bx_{2n+2} = x_{2n+1}$.

If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some $n \ge 0$, then A or B has a fixed point in X. Now, we suppose that $x_n \ne x_{n+1}$ for each $n \ge 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, it can be shown that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence it converges to some point z in X. Consequently, the sub-sequences $\{x_{2n}\}, \{x_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+2}\}$ also converges to z. Since A and B are continuous, we get $Ax_{2n+1} = x_{2n} \rightarrow Az$ and $Bx_{2n+2} = x_{2n+1} \rightarrow Bz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, A and B have a common fixed point in X.

From Theorem 3.6, we obtain the following corollary :

Corollary 3.7. Let A and B be continuous surjective mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying

 $d(Ax, By) \ge h \min\{d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(x, y), \max\{d(Ax, y), d(By, x)\}\}$

for all x and y in X with $x \neq y$, where h > 1. Then the same conclusion of Theorem 3.6 holds.

Remark 3.8. If $max\{d(Ax, y), d(By, x)\}$ is greater than or equal to any one of d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(x, y) for all $x, y \in X$, then we get the Corollary 2.7 of Kang [4]. For A = B, our Theorem 3.6 extends corresponding results of Rhoades [11] and Khan et al. [5].

Finally, let Ψ denotes the family of all real valued functions $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, satisfying the following conditions :

 $(C_5) \psi$ is upper semi – continuous and non decreasing,

 $(C_6) \psi(t) < t$ for each t > 0.

Theorem 3.9. Let A and B be continuous surjective mappings from a complete metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying

$$\psi(d(Ax, By)) \ge \min\{d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(x, y), \max\{d(Ax, y), d(By, x)\}\}$$

for all x, y in X with $x \neq y$, where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\sum \psi^n(t) < \infty$ for each t > 0. Then A or B has a fixed point or A and B have a common fixed point in X.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X defined by $Ax_{2n+1} = x_{2n}$ and $Bx_{2n+2} = x_{2n+1}$. If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some $n \ge 0$, then A or B has a fixed point in X. Now, we suppose that $x_n \ne x_{n+1}$ for each $n \ge 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} \psi(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) &= \psi(d(Ax_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+2})) \\ &\geq \min\{d(Ax_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), d(Bx_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\ &\max\{d(Ax_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(Bx_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})\}\} \\ &\geq \min\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), \\ &\max\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), \\ &\max\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), \\ &\max\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\}\} \\ &\geq \min\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\} \\ &\geq \min\{d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ &+ d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\} \\ &= d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}). \end{split}$$

Similarly we have

$$\psi(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) = \psi(d(Ax_{2n+3}, Bx_{2n+2}))$$

$$\geq d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}).$$

Therefore, $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))$. For any $n > m \ge 0$ we have

$$d(x_m, x_n) \le d(x_m, x_{m+1}) + d(x_{m+1}, x_n)$$

$$\le d(x_m, x_{m+1}) + d(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2})$$

$$+ d(x_{m+2}, x_{m+3}) + \dots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$\le \psi^m (d(x_0, x_1)) + \dots + \psi^{n-1} (d(x_0, x_1)).$$

From $\sum \psi^n < \infty$ for each t > 0, it follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. And as the proof of Theorem 3.6, it is obvious that A and B have a common fixed point in X.

4. Implicit Relations

In this section, we use implicit relations satisfied by a quadruple of mappings. The essence of implicit relations as a tool in finding common fixed point of mappings lies on the fact that these relations help us to ensure coincidence point of pair of mappings that ultimately leads to the existence of common fixed points of a quadruple of mappings satisfying weak compatibility criterion.

Let F_6 be the set of all continuous functions $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) : \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions :

 $(F_1): F(u, 0, u, 0, 0, u) \ge 0$ implies u = 0; $(F_2): F(u, 0, 0, u, u, 0) \ge 0$ implies u = 0.

The function $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) : \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the condition (F_u) if

 $(F_u): F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) \le 0$, for all u > 0.

Example 4.1. Let $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = pt_2 + q(t_3 + t_4) + r(t_5 + t_6) - t_1$, where $p, q, r \ge 0, 0 \le q + r < 1$ and $0 \le p + 2r \le 1$.

$$(F_1): F(u, 0, u, 0, 0, u) = u(q + r - 1) \ge 0$$
 implies $u = 0;$
 $(F_2): F(u, 0, 0, u, u, 0) = u(q + r - 1) \ge 0$ implies $u = 0:$

and

$$(F_u): F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = u(p + 2r - 1) \le 0;$$
 for each $u > 0$.

Example 4.2. Let $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = min\{(t_2 + t_3)/2, k(t_4 + t_5)/2, t_6\} - t_1;$ where $0 \le k < 1$.

$$(F_1): F(u, 0, u, 0, 0, u) = \min\{u/2, 0, u\} - u \ge 0 \text{ implies } u = 0; (F_2): F(u, 0, 0, u, u, 0) = \min\{0, ku, 0\} - u \ge 0 \text{ implies } u = 0;$$

 $(F_u): F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = min\{u/2, ku/2, u\} - u \le 0;$ for each u > 0. Example 4.3. Let $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = max\{k_1t_2, k_2(t_3 + t_5)/2, (t_4 + t_6)/2\} - t_1;$ where $0 \le k_1 < 1, 1 \le k_2 < 2$.

$$(F_1): F(u, 0, u, 0, 0, u) = max\{0, k_2u/2, u/2\} - u \ge 0 \text{ implies } u = 0;$$

$$(F_2): F(u, 0, 0, u, u, 0) = max\{0, k_2u/2, u/2\} - u \ge 0 \text{ implies } u = 0;$$

$$(F_u): F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = max\{k_1u, k_2u/2, u/2\} - u \le 0; \text{ for each } u > 0.$$

Example 4.4. Let $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = h.max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6\} - t_1;$ where $0 \le h < 1.$

$$(F_1): F(u, 0, u, 0, 0, u) = h. max\{0, u, 0, 0, u\} - u \ge 0 \text{ implies } u = 0;$$

$$(F_2): F(u, 0, 0, u, u, 0) = h. max\{0, 0, u, u, 0\} - u \ge 0 \text{ implies } u = 0;$$

$$(F_u): F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = h. max\{u, 0, 0, u, u\} - u \le 0; \text{ for each } u > 0.$$

50

Example 4.5. Let $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = pt_2^2 + t_3t_4 + qt_5^2 + rt_6^2 - t_1^2$; where $p, q, r \ge 0$, $0 \le p + q + r < 1$.

$$(F_1): F(u, 0, u, 0, 0, u) = ru^2 - u^2 \ge 0 \text{ implies } u = 0;$$

$$(F_2): F(u, 0, 0, u, u, 0) = qu^2 - u^2 \ge 0 \text{ implies } u = 0;$$

$$(F_u)$$
: $F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = (p + q + r)u^2 - u^2 \le 0$; for each $u > 0$.

Example 4.6. Let $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = k(t_2^3 + t_3^3 + t_4^3 + t_5^3 + t_6^3) - t_1^3$; where $0 \le k \le 1/3$.

$$(F_1): F(u, 0, u, 0, 0, u) = (2k - 1)u^3 \ge 0 \text{ implies } u = 0;$$

$$(F_2): F(u, 0, 0, u, u, 0) = (2k - 1)u^3 \ge 0 \text{ implies } u = 0;$$

$$(F_u): F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = (3k - 1)u^3 \le 0; \text{ for each } u > 0.$$

5. Application of Implicit Relations in Fixed Point Theory

In this section we prove a common fixed point theorem for a quadruple of expansion mappings satisfying implicit relations.

Theorem 5.1. Let A, B, S and T be the self mappings of a metric space (X, d), such that

$$(I) \ A(X) \subset S(X) \ and \ B(X) \subset T(X),$$
$$(II) \ d(Tx, Sy) \ge h \ min\{d(Ax, By), d(Tx, Ax), d(Sy, By),$$
$$\frac{1}{2}max\{d(Tx, By), d(Sy, Ax)\}\},$$

where h > 1.

(III) there exists $F \in F_6$ such that F(d(Ax, By), d(Tx, Sy), d(Tx, Ax), d(Sy, By), d(Tx, By), d(Sy, Ax)) > 0for all x, y in $X, x \neq y$. If one of A(X), B(X), S(X) or T(X) is complete subspace of X, then (IV) A and T have a coincidence point, (V) B and S have a coincidence point. Moreover, if the pairs (A, T) and (B, S) are weakly compatible, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Since (I) holds, we can define a sequence by choosing an arbitrary point x_0 in X, such that

$$y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Sx_{2n+1}$$
 and $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+2}$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now we first prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. For this, put $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (II), we get

$$d(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}) \ge h \min\{d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}), d(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}), \\ d(Sx_{2n+1}, By), \frac{1}{2}\max\{d(Tx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}), d(Sx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n})\}\},$$

or

$$d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \ge h \min\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), \\ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2}max\{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n})\}\} \\ \ge h \min\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), \\ \frac{1}{2}max\{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), 0\}\}.$$

If

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) > d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}),$$

then we have

$$d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \ge h \min\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})\}$$

$$\ge h \ d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}),$$

a contradiction. Therefore

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \le d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}).$$

This gives

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \le \frac{1}{h} d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}).$$

Similarly, for $x = x_{2n+2}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ with (II), we get

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \le \frac{1}{h}d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}).$$

Thus we have

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \le \frac{1}{h} d(y_{n-1}, y_n)$$

 $\le \frac{1}{h^2} d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})$
...

$$<\frac{1}{h^n}d(y_0,y_1).$$

52

Moreover, for every integer p > 0, we have

$$d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \le d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p})$$

$$\le \frac{1}{h^n} [1 + \frac{1}{h} + \dots + \frac{1}{h^{p-1}}] d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$\le \frac{1}{h^n} [\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{h}}] d(y_0, y_1).$$

This means that $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Now suppose that S(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the sequence $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n+1}$, is a Cauchy sequence in S(X) and hence has a limit u (say). Let $v \in S^{-1}u$, then Sv = u. Since $\{y_{2n}\}$ is converges to u, it follows that $\{y_{2n+1}\}$ also converges to u. Setting $x = x_{2n}$ and y = v in (III), we have

$$F(d(Ax_{2n}, Bv), d(Tx_{2n}, Sv), d(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}), d(Sv, Bv), d(Tx_{2n}, Bv), d(Sv, Ax_{2n})) > 0,$$

which implies

$$F(d(y_{2n}, Bv), d(y_{2n-1}, Sv), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(Sv, Bv), d(y_{2n-1}, Bv), d(Sv, y_{2n})) > 0,$$

letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$F(d(u, Bv), d(u, Sv), d(u, u), d(Sv, Bv), d(u, Bv), d(Sv, u)) \ge 0,$$

or

$$F(d(u,Bv),d(u,u),d(u,u),d(u,Bv),d(u,Bv),d(u,u)) \ge 0,$$

or

$$F(d(u, Bv), 0, 0, d(u, Bv), d(u, Bv), 0) \ge 0.$$

By (F_2) , we have u = Bv. Thus B and S have a coincidence point. Since $B(X) \subset T(X)$, u = Bv implies $u \in T(X)$. Let $w \in T^{-1}u$, then Tw = u.

Now by setting x = w and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (III), we obtain

$$F(d(Aw, Bx_{2n+1}), d(Tw, Sx_{2n+1}), d(Tw, Aw), d(Sx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}), d(Tw, Bx_{2n+1}), d(Sx_{2n+1}, Aw)) > 0,$$

which implies

$$F(d(Aw, y_{2n+1}), d(Tw, y_{2n}), d(Tw, Aw), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d(Tw, y_{2n+1}), d(y_{2n}, Aw)) > 0,$$

letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$F(d(Aw, u), d(u, u), d(u, Aw), d(u, u), d(u, u), d(u, Aw)) \ge 0$$

or

$$F(d(Aw, u), 0, d(u, Aw), 0, 0, d(u, Aw)) \ge 0,$$

we obtain Aw = u, by (F_1) . It means A and T have a coincidence point. Similarly if we assume that T(X) is complete, then we can easily establish that both B, S and A, T have a coincidence point.

The remaining two cases are the same as the previous cases.

Thus results (IV) and (V) are completely established. Now by u = Sv = Bvand weak compatibility of (B, S), we have

$$Bu = BSv = SBv = Su.$$

Similarly by u = Tw = Aw and weak compatibility of (A, T), we have

$$Au = ATw = TAw = Tu.$$

By (III), we have

F(d(Aw,Bu),d(Tw,Su),d(Tw,Aw),d(Su,Bu),d(Tw,Bu),d(Su,Aw))>0, or

$$F(d(u, Bu), d(u, Su), d(u, u), d(Su, Bu), d(u, Bu), d(Su, u)) > 0,$$

or

F(d(u, Bu), d(u, Bu), 0, 0, d(u, Bu), d(Bu, u)) > 0,

which contradicts (F_u) . Thus u = Bu. Similarly we can show that Au = u. Therefore u = Au = Bu = Su = Tu and thus u is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

For uniqueness of common fixed point, let z and v be two common fixed points of A, B, S and T. Then from (III), we have

$$F(d(Az, Bv), d(Tz, Sv), d(Tz, Az), d(Sv, Bv), d(Tz, Bv), d(Sv, Az)) > 0,$$

or

$$F(d(z, v), d(z, v), 0, 0, d(z, v), d(v, z)) > 0,$$

which contradicts (F_u) . Thus z = v.

Remark 5.2. Theorem 5.1 improves Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 of Taniguchi [12] and Corollary 3.7 above.

Corollary 5.3. Let A, B, S and T be the self mappings of a complete metric space satisfying conditions (I), (II) and (III) of Theorem 5.1 . Then (IV) and (V) hold. Moreover, if the pairs (A, T) and (B, S) are compatible (compatible of type(A), compatible of type (B), compatible of type (P)) then A, B, S and T have a common fixed point.

Proof. It follows by Theorem 5.1 and the fact that every compatible (compatible of type (A), compatible of type (B), compatible of type (P)) pair of mappings is weakly compatible(see [3], [8], [9]).

Corollary 5.4. Let the pairs (A, T) and (B, S) be compatible mappings of a complete metric space into itself, such that

(I)
$$A(X) \subset S(X)$$
 and $B(X) \subset T(X)$,
(II) $d(Tx, Sy) \ge a \ d(Ax, By) + b \ d(Tx, Ax) + c \ d(Sy, By)$

$$-e \ [d(Tx, By) + d(Sy, Ax)],$$

for all x, y in X, $x \neq y$, where a, b, c, e are non-negative real numbers with $0 < max\{e - b, e - c\} < 1$ and a + b + c - 2e > 1. If one of the mappings A, B, S and T is continuous then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. It follows by Corollary 5.2 and Example 4.1 above.

Remark 5.5. Corollary 5.4 improves corresponding Theorem 1 of Taniguchi [12] and Corollary 3.3 above.

Example 5.6. Let $X = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3^2}, \frac{1}{3^3}, \ldots\}$ be a metric space with the usual metric d(x, y) = |x - y| for all x, y in X. Define mappings $A, T : X \to X$ by

$$A(0) = \frac{1}{3^2}, \ A(\frac{1}{3^n}) = \frac{1}{3^{n+2}} \ and \ T(0) = \frac{1}{3}, \ T(\frac{1}{3^n}) = \frac{1}{3^{n+1}},$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, respectively. Also let A = B and S = T. Then clearly

$$A(X) = \{\frac{1}{3^2}, \frac{1}{3^3}, \frac{1}{3^4}, \dots\} \subset \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3^2}, \frac{1}{3^3}, \dots\} = T(X)$$

Define a continuous function $F = \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(t_1,\ldots,t_6) = pt_2^2 + \frac{qt_5t_6}{t_3^2 + t_4^2 + r} - t_1^2;$$

where $0 \le p \le \frac{1}{2}, 0 \le q \le \frac{1}{2}, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(F_1) : F(u, 0, u, 0, 0, u) = p0 + \frac{q0}{u^2 + 0 + r} - u^2 \ge 0$ implies u = 0; $(F_2) : F(u, 0, 0, u, u, 0) = p0 + \frac{q0}{0 + u^2 + r} - u^2 \ge 0$ implies u = 0; $(F_u) : F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = pu^2 + \frac{qu^2}{0 + 0 + r} - u^2$

$$= (p + \frac{q}{r} - 1)u^2 \le 0$$
, for all $u > 0$.

Thus F satisfies F_1 , F_2 and F_u . Furthermore, for x = 0, y = 1 we have

$$\begin{split} &F\left(d(Ax, By), d(Tx, Sy), d(Tx, Ax), d(Sy, By), d(Tx, By), d(Sy, Ax)\right) \\ &= F\left(d(Ax, By), d(Tx, Sy), d(Tx, Ax), d(Sy, By), d(Tx, By), d(Sy, Ax)\right) \\ &= F\left(d(\frac{1}{3^2}, \frac{1}{3^2}), d(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), d(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3^2}), d(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3^2}), d(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3^2}), d(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3^2})\right) \\ &= F(0, 0, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{2}{9}) \\ &= 0 + \frac{q\frac{2}{9}\frac{2}{9}}{(\frac{2}{9})^2 + (\frac{2}{9})^2 + r} - 0 \\ &= \frac{4q}{8 + 81r} \\ &> 0. \end{split}$$

Similarly, for $x = 0, y = \frac{1}{3^m}$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &F\left(d(Ax,By),d(Tx,Sy),d(Tx,Ax),d(Sy,By),d(Tx,By),d(Sy,Ax)\right)\\ &=F\left(d(A0,B\frac{1}{3^m}),d(T0,S\frac{1}{3^m}),d(T0,A0),d(S\frac{1}{3^m},B\frac{1}{3^m}),d(T0,B\frac{1}{3^m}),\\ &d(S\frac{1}{3^m},A0)\right)\\ &=F\left(d(\frac{1}{3^2},\frac{1}{3^{m+2}}),d(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}),d(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3^2}),d(\frac{1}{3^m},\frac{1}{3^{m+2}}),d(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3^{m+2}}),\\ &d(\frac{1}{3^{m+1}},\frac{1}{3^2}\right)\\ &=F\left(\frac{1}{3^2}|1-\frac{1}{3^m}|,\frac{1}{3}|1-\frac{1}{3^m}|,\frac{1}{3}|1-\frac{1}{3}|,\frac{1}{3^m}|1-\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}|,\frac{1}{3}|1-\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}|,\\ &\frac{1}{3}|\frac{1}{3^m}-\frac{1}{3}|\right)\\ &=p\frac{1}{9}|1-\frac{1}{3^m}|^2+\frac{q\frac{1}{9}|1-\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}||\frac{1}{3^m}-\frac{1}{3}|}{\frac{1}{9}\frac{4}{9}+\frac{1}{3^m}\frac{64}{81}+r}-\frac{1}{9^2}|1-\frac{1}{3^m}|^2\\ &=(p-\frac{1}{9})\frac{1}{9}|1-\frac{1}{3^m}|^2+\frac{q\frac{1}{9}|1-\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}||\frac{1}{3^m}-\frac{1}{3}|}{\frac{4}{9^2}+\frac{64}{3^{2m+4}}+r}\\ &>0. \end{split}$$

Also for $x = \frac{1}{3^n}$ and $y = \frac{1}{3^m}$ $(n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \neq m)$, we have

$$\begin{split} &F\left(d(Ax,By),d(Tx,Sy),d(Tx,Ax),d(Sy,By),d(Tx,By),d(Sy,Ax)\right)\\ &=F\left(d(\frac{1}{3^{n+2}},\frac{1}{3^{m+2}}),d(\frac{1}{3^n},\frac{1}{3^m}),d(\frac{1}{3^{n+1}},\frac{1}{3^{m+2}}),\\ &d(\frac{1}{3^{m+1}},\frac{1}{3^{m+2}}),d(\frac{1}{3^{n+1}},\frac{1}{3^{m+2}}),d(\frac{1}{3^{m+1}},\frac{1}{3^{m+2}})\right)\\ &=F\left(\frac{1}{3^2}|\frac{1}{3^m}-\frac{1}{3^n}|,\frac{1}{3^{n+1}}|1-\frac{1}{3}|,\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}|1-\frac{1}{3}|,\\ &\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}|1-\frac{1}{3}|,\frac{1}{3}|\frac{1}{3^n}-\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}|,\frac{1}{3}|\frac{1}{3^m}-\frac{1}{3^{n+1}}|\right)\\ &=p\frac{1}{3^2}|\frac{1}{3^n}-\frac{1}{3^m}|^2+\frac{q\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}|\frac{1}{3^n}-\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}||\frac{1}{3^m}-\frac{1}{3^{n+1}}|}{\frac{2^2}{3^2(n+2)}+\frac{2^2}{3^2(m+2)}+r}\\ &-\frac{1}{3^2}|\frac{1}{3^n}-\frac{1}{3^m}|^2\\ &=p\frac{1}{3^2}(p-\frac{1}{3^2})|\frac{1}{3^n}-\frac{1}{3^m}|^2+\frac{q\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}|\frac{1}{3^n}-\frac{1}{3^{m+1}}||\frac{1}{3^m}-\frac{1}{3^{n+1}}|}{\frac{2^2}{3^2(n+2)}+\frac{2^2}{3^2(m+2)}+r}\\ &>0 \end{split}$$

for all $\frac{1}{9} \leq p$. Thus all the conditions of theorem 5.1 are satisfied except the completeness of the subspace A(X) and B(X). Note that A and T have

no coincidence point. Here it is interesting to note that in Theorem 5.1 the completeness of the space can not ensure the existence of coincidence point as the space X is complete in the given example. Also note that A and T are not continuous at the origin.

Acknowledgement : The first author is partially supported by UGC New Delhi (MRP-2005) and the research of the second author is supported by UGC, Bhopal F No 4S-15/2004-05 (MRP/CRO)/202008, India.

References

- K. Jha, R. P. Pant and S. L. Singh, Common fixed point for compatible mappings in metric spaces, Radovi Mat. 12(2003), 107-114.
- [2] G. Jungck, Commuting maps and fixed points, Amer. Math. Monthly 83(1976), 261-263.
- [3] G. Jungck, P. P. Murthy and Y. J. Cho, Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, Math. Japonica 36(1993), 381-390.
- [4] S. M. Kang, Fixed points for expansion mappings, Math Japonica 38, 4(1993), 1-5.
- [5] M. A. Khan, M. S. Khan and S. Sessa, Some theorems on expansion mappings and their fixed points, Demonstratio Math. 19(1986), 673-683.(MR 0902924 (88k:54068)).
- [6] R. P. Pant and K. Jha, A generalisation of Meir-Keeler type common fixed point theorem for four mappings, J. Natural and Physical Sciences 16(1-2)(2002), 77-84.
- [7] R. P. Pant and K. Jha, A generalization of Meir-Keeler type common fixed point theorem for four mappings, Ultra-Science 15(1)(2003), 97-102.
- [8] H. K. Pathak and M. S. Khan, Compatible mappings of type (B) and common fixed point theorems of Gregus type, Czech. Math. J. 45(120)(1995), 685-698. (MR 1354926 (96j:47052)).
- [9] H. K. Pathak, Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang and B. S. Lee, Fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (P) and applications to dynamic programming, Le Matematiche(Fasc.I) 50(1995), 15-33. (MR 1373565 (97i:47123)).
- [10] V. Popa, A general common fixed point theorem of Meir and Keeler type for noncontinuous weak compatible mappings, FILOMAT (Niš) 18(2004), 33-40.(MR 2106507).
- B. E. Rhoades, Some fixed point theorems for pair of mappings, Jnanabh 15(1985), 151-156. (MR 85d:47060).
- [12] T. Taniguchi, Common fixed point theorems on expansion type mappings on complete metric space, Math. Japonica 34(1989), 139-142.
- [13] S. Z. Wang, B. Y. Li, Z. M. Gao and K. Iseki, Some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings, Math. Japonica 29(1984), 631-636.

Address:

H. K. Pathak: School of Studies in Mathematics, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur (C.G.), 492010, INDIA *E-mail*: hkpathak05@gmail.com

Rakesh Tiwari: Department Of Mathematics, Govt.V.Y.T.PG. Autonomous College, Durg (C.G.), 491001, INDIA *E-mail*: rakeshtiwari66@gmail.com