Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics University of Niš

Available at:

www.pmf.ni.ac.yu/sajt/publikacije/publikacije_pocetna.html

Filomat **20:2** (2006), 115–124

APPLICATION OF CONVOLUTION AND DZIOK-SRIVASTAVA LINEAR OPERATORS ON ANALYTIC AND *P*-VALENT FUNCTIONS

Sh. Najafzadeh, S. R. Kulkarni and D. Kalaj

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new class of multivalent functions defined by convolution and Dziok-Srivastava operator and study some properties of this class e.g. coefficient estimates, integral representation, distortion and closure theorems, convolution and integral operator.

1 Introduction

Let A_p be the class of p-valent analytic functions with positive coefficients of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$$
 (1)

For two functions f(z) given by (1) and

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \tag{2}$$

¹Received: March 16, 2006

 $^{^2}$ Keywords and phrases. p-valent and hypergeometric functions, convolution, distortion bounds, closure theorem.

³2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C50.

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f(z) and g(z) denoted by (f * g)(z) = (g * f)(z) is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$
 (3)

For $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. The generalized hypergeometric function ${}_mF_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n; z)$ is defined by

$${}_{m}F_{n}(\alpha_{1},\cdots,\alpha_{m};\beta_{1},\cdots,\beta_{n};z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_{1})_{k}\cdots(\alpha_{m})_{k}z^{k}}{(\beta_{1})_{k}\cdots(\beta_{n})_{k}k!}$$

$$(m \leq n+1, m, n, \in \mathbb{N}_{0} = \{0,1,2,\cdots\})$$

$$(4)$$

where $(\lambda)_k$ is the pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\lambda)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(k)} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0\\ \lambda(\lambda + 1) \cdots (\lambda + k - 1) & k \in I N \end{cases}$$
 (5)

We consider Dziok - Srivastava operator [2] on $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ that is defined by

$$\mathcal{DS}_{p}^{m,n} = \mathcal{DS}_{p}^{(m,n)}(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}; \beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}) f(z)$$

$$= h_{p}(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}; \beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}; z) * f(z)$$

$$= z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_{1})_{k-p} \cdots (\alpha_{m})_{k-p} a_{k} z^{k}}{(\beta_{1})_{k-p} \cdots (\beta_{n})_{k-p} (k-p)!}$$

$$(6)$$

where

$$h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n; z) = z^p {}_m F_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n; z).$$

Definition: Let $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ be a fixed *p*-valent analytic function in Δ . Define the class

$$\mathcal{A}_p(g(z), \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_m; \beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_n, \gamma) = \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$$

by

$$\mathcal{A}_{p}^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_{p} : Re\left\{ 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{DS}_{p}^{m,n}(f*g)(z))''}{(\mathcal{DS}_{p}^{m,n}(f*g)(z))'} \right\} < p\gamma,$$

$$(1 < \gamma < 1 + \frac{1}{2p}, \quad z \in \Delta) \right\}$$

$$(7)$$

For other subclasses of p-valent functions, we can see the recent works of authors in [1], [5], [7].

2 Main Results

In this section we first find a necessary and sufficient condition for functions to be in the class $\mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$.

Theorem 2.1: $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-p\gamma)}{p^2(\gamma-1)} \ \theta(k,p) \ a_k b_k \le 1.$$
 (8)

where

$$\theta(k,p) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-p} \cdots (\alpha_m)_{k-p}}{(\beta_1)_{k-p} \cdots (\beta_n)_{k-p} (k-p)!}$$

Proof: If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma)$, then by using (6) and (7) we obtain

$$Re\left\{1 + \frac{z(p(p-1)z^{p-2} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \theta(k, p)k(k-1)a_kb_kz^{k-2}}{pz^{p-1} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \theta(k, p)ka_kb_kz^{k-1}}\right\} < p\gamma$$

where

$$\theta(k,p) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-p} \cdots (\alpha_m)_{k-p}}{(\beta_1)_{k-p} \cdots (\beta_n)_{k-p} (k-p)!}$$

By letting $z \to 1^-$ through real values we have

$$\frac{p^2 + \sum\limits_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \theta(k,p)k^2 a_k b_k}{p + \sum\limits_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \theta(k,p)k a_k b_k} < p\gamma$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p\gamma)\theta(k,p)a_k b_k \le p^2(\gamma-1).$$

To prove the "if" part, let (8) holds true, so

$$\left| \frac{z(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{S}_{p}^{m,n}(f*g)(z))'' - (p-1)(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{S}_{p}^{m,n}(f*g)(z))'}{z(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{S}_{p}^{m,n}(f*g)(z)'' - [2p(1-\gamma) - 1 + p](\mathcal{D}\mathcal{S}_{p}^{m,n}(f*g)(z))'} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p)a_{k}b_{k}}{2p^{2}(\gamma-1) - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} [k(k-p)(1-2(1-\gamma)))]a_{k}b_{k}} \leq 1,$$

so by maximum principal theorem the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.2: If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$, then

$$a_k \le \frac{p^2(\gamma - 1)}{k(k - p\gamma)b_k\theta(k, p)}. (9)$$

the result is sharp for functions of the form

$$f_k(z) = z^p + \frac{p^2(\gamma - 1)}{k(k - p\gamma)b_k\theta(k, p)}z^k$$
 $k = p + 1, p + 2, \cdots$.

Proof: Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$. By (8), we have

$$k(k - p\gamma)\theta(k, p)a_k b_k \le \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k - p\gamma)\theta(k, p)a_k b_k \le p^2(\gamma - 1)$$

or

$$a_k \le \frac{p^2(\gamma - 1)}{k(k - p\gamma)\theta(k, p)b)k}$$

The sharpness is trivial and so omitted.

3 Distortion Bounds

In this section we obtain the distortion bounds for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$. **Theorem 3.1**: If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$, then

$$r^{p} - \frac{p^{2}(\gamma - 1)}{(p+1)(p+1 - p\gamma)\theta(p+1, p)b_{p+1}} r^{p+1} \le |f(z)|$$

$$\le r^{p} + \frac{p^{2}(\gamma - 1)}{(p+1)(p+1 - p\gamma)\theta(p+1, p)b_{p+1}} r^{p+1}$$
(10)

where

$$\theta(p+1,p) = \frac{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i}, \quad |z| = r < 1.$$

The result is sharp for function defined by

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \frac{p^{2}(\gamma - 1)}{(p+1)(p+1-p\gamma)\theta(p+1,p)b_{p+1}}z^{p+1}.$$
 (11)

Proof: By using (8), (9) we obtain

$$b_{p+1}\theta(p+1,p)(p+1)(p+1-p\gamma)\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}a_{k}\leq \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}k(k-p\gamma)\theta(k,p)a_{k}b_{k}\leq p^{2}(\gamma-1)$$

or

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \le \frac{p^2(\gamma - 1)}{(p+1)(p+1 - p\gamma)\theta(p+1, p)b_{p+1}}$$
 (12)

For the function $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ and using (12), for |z| = r we have

$$|f(z)| \leq r^{p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} r^{k}$$

$$< r^{p} + r^{p+1} \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k}$$

$$\leq r^{p} + \frac{p^{2}(\gamma - 1)}{(p+1)(p+1 - p\gamma)\theta(p+1, p)b_{p+1}} r^{p+1},$$

also

$$|f(z)| \ge r^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k r^k$$

 $\ge r^p - \frac{p^2(\gamma - 1)}{(p+1)(p+1-p\gamma)\theta(p+1,p)b_{p+1}} r^{p+1}.$

Now the proof is complete.

Corollary: If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$, then

$$pr^{p-1} - \frac{p^2(\gamma - 1)}{(p+1-p\gamma)\theta(p+1,p)b_{p+1}}r^p \le |f'(z)|$$

$$\le pr^{p-1} + \frac{p^2(\gamma - 1)}{(p+1-p\gamma)\theta(p+1,p)b_{p+1}}r^p.$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (11).

4 Integral Representation

In this section we obtain integral representation for $\mathcal{DS}_p^{m,n}(f*g)(z)$ with suitable ranges on variables.

Theorem 4.1: If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$ then

$$\mathcal{DS}_{p}^{m,n}(f*g)(z) = \int_{\epsilon_{2}}^{z} \exp\left(\int_{\epsilon_{1}}^{z} \frac{p\gamma Q|t| - 1}{t} dt\right) ds$$

where $\epsilon_1 \to 0, \epsilon_2 \to 0$ and $|Q(z)| < 1, z \in \Delta$. **Proof**: By letting $\mathcal{DS}_p^{m,n}(f*g)(z) = M(z)$ in (7) we have

$$Re\left\{1 + \frac{zM''(z)}{M'}\right\} < p\gamma.$$

Since for all z,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zM''}{M'}\right\} < \left|1 + \frac{zM''}{M'}\right\},\,$$

hence by choosing the values of z such that

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zM'}{M'} \right| < p\gamma$$

we conclude

$$1 + \frac{zM''}{M'} = p\gamma Q(z) \text{ or } \frac{M''}{M'} = \frac{p\gamma Q(z) - 1}{z}.$$

After integration we obtain

$$\log(M'(z)) = \int_{\epsilon_1}^z \frac{p\gamma Q(t) - 1}{t} dt \quad (\epsilon_1 \to 0),$$

thus

$$M'(z) = \exp \int_{\epsilon_1}^z \frac{p\gamma Q(t) - 1}{t} dt \quad (\epsilon_1 \to 0),$$

after integration we obtain the result.

Some Properties of the Class $\mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma)$ 5

In this section, we prove the closure theorems for the class $\mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma)$. **Theorem 5.1**: Let $F_j(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k$ $(j=1,2,\cdots,q)$ be in the

class $\mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma)$ and $\eta_j \geq 0$ for $j=1,2,\cdots,q$ and $\sum_{i=1}^q \eta_i \leq 1$ then the function

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} \eta_j a_{k,j} \right) z^k$$

belongs to $\mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma)$.

Proof: Since $F_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma)$, then from Theorem 2.1 for every $j=1,2,\cdots,q$ we have

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p\gamma)\theta(k,p)b_k a_{k,j} \le p^2(\gamma-1)$$

Also

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p\gamma)\theta(k,p)b_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} \eta_j a_{k,j}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{q} \eta_j \left(\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p\gamma)\theta(k,p)b_k a_{k,j}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{q} \eta_j p^2 (\gamma - 1)$$

$$\leq p^2 (\gamma - 1).$$

So by Theorem 2.1, $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$.

Theorem 5.2: Let $F_p(z) = z^p$ and

$$F_k(z) = z^p + \frac{p^2(\gamma - 1)}{k(k - p\gamma)\theta(k, p)b_k} z^k, \quad (k = p + 1, \dots).$$

Then $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$f(z) = \eta_p z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \eta_k F_k(z)$$

where $\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \eta_k = 1$ and $\eta_k \ge 0$.

Proof: Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$, then from Theorem 2.2, we have

$$a_k \le \frac{p^2(\gamma - 1)}{k(k - p\gamma)\theta(k, p)b_k} \quad (k = p + 1, p + 2, \dots)$$

therefore by letting

$$\eta_k = \frac{k(k - p\gamma)\theta(k, p)b_k a_k}{p^2(\gamma - 1)} \quad (k = p + 1, p + 2, \cdots)$$

and $\eta_p = 1 - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \eta_k$. We conclude the required result. Conversely, let $f(z) = \eta_p z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \eta_k F_k(z)$, then

$$f(z) = \eta_p z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \eta_k \left(z^p + \frac{p^2(\gamma - 1)}{k(k - p\gamma)\theta(k, p)b_k} z^k \right)$$
$$= z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_k p^2(\gamma - 1)}{k(k - p\gamma)\theta(k, p)b_k} z^k.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_k p^2 (\gamma - 1)}{k(k - p\gamma)\theta(k, p)b_k} \frac{k(k - p\gamma)}{p^2 (\gamma - 1)} \theta(k, p)b_k$$
$$= \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \eta_k = 1 - \eta_p \le 1.$$

Hence by Theorem 2.1, we have $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$.

6 Convolution Property and Integral Operator

In this section we show that the class $\mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma)$ is closed under convolution and one special operator.

Theorem 6.1: Let $h(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ be analytic in unit disk Δ and $0 \le c_k \le 1$. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma)$, then (f*h)(z) is also in the class $\mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma)$.

Proof: Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$ then by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p\gamma)\theta(k,p)a_k b_k \le p^2(\gamma-1)$$

By using the last inequality and the fact that

$$(f*h)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k c_k z^k$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p\gamma)\theta(k,p)a_k c_k b_k$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p\gamma)\theta(k,p)a_k b_k \leq p^2(\gamma-1)$$

and this by Theorem 2.1 gives the result.

Theorem 6.2: If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m, n, \gamma)$, then

$$F(z) = \frac{\lambda + p}{z^{\lambda}} \int_0^z t^{\lambda - 1} f(t) dt \quad (\lambda > -1; \quad z \in \Delta)$$

is also in the class $\mathcal{A}_p^{g(z)}(m,n,\gamma)$. See [3], [5].

Proof: Since $F(z) = f(z) * \left(z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda + p}{\lambda + k} z^k\right)$ and $\frac{\lambda + p}{\lambda + k} \le 1$, by Theorem 6.1, the proof is trivial.

References

- [1] R. M. Ali, M. H. Khan, V. Ravichandran and K. G. Subramanian, A class of multivalent functions with positive coefficients defined by convolution, JIPAM, 6, Issue 1, (2005).(Strictly using the Math.Sci. Net.Journal abbreviations.
- [2] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Class of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric functions, Integral Appl.Math.Comput.103(1999),1-13.
- [3] ————— Some subclasses of analytic functions with fixed argument of cofficients associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Adv.Stud.Contemp.Math.5(2002),115-125 Math.5(2002),115-125
- [4] R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 755-758.
- [5] J. L. Liu, On a class of p-valent analytic functions, Chinese Quar. J. Math., 15(4) (2000), 27-32.
- [6] ————, Some applications of certain integral operator. Kyungpook Math. J. 43 (2003), 211-219.
- [7] M. Nunokawa, On the theory of multivalent functions, Tsukuba J. Math. 11 (1987), 273-286.

Shahram Najafzadeh

West Azarbayjan, Orumiyeh, Emamat Bolvar, University Of Applied Science & Technology P.O.Box : 1114, Iran

 $E ext{-}mail$: Najafzadeh1234@yahoo.ie

S. R. Kulkarni

Department of Mathematics, Fergusson College, Pune University, Pune - $411004,\,\mathrm{India}$

 $E ext{-}mail: kulkarni_ferg@yahoo.com}$

David Kalaj

University of Montenegro, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Cetinjski put b.b. 8100 Podgorica, Montenegro

E-mail: davidk@cg.yu