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# GENERALIZATION OF TWO ASYMPTOTICALLY STATISTICAL EQUIVALENT THEOREMS 

EKREM SAVAŞ AND RICHARD F. PATTERSON


#### Abstract

The goal of this paper is to present two theorems that characterize asymptotically statistical equivalent of multiple $L$ and the regularity of asymptotically statistical convergence by using a sequence of infinite matrices.


## 1. Introduction and Background

In 1998 Kolk presented the notion of B-statistical convergence by considering a sequence of infinite matrices. In addition, the definition of asymptotically statistical equivalent sequences was presented in [6]. By combining the notions of B-statistical convergence and asymptotically statistical equivalent sequences we shall present answers to the following questions: Which type of summability matrices preserve asymptotically statistical equivalent of multiple $L$ for a given sequence? What are the necessary and sufficient conditions that will ensure the regularity of asymptotically statistical for a given sequence? Let $l^{1}=\left\{x=\left(x_{k}\right): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|x_{k}\right|<\infty.\right\}$ and $d_{A}=\{x=$ $\left(x_{k}\right): \lim _{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n, k} x_{k}=$ exists $\}$.

[^0]Definition 1.1 (Fridy, [1]). For each $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ in $l^{1}$ the " remainder sequence" $[R x]$ is the sequence whose $n$-th term is

$$
R_{n} x:=\sum_{k \geq n}\left|x_{k}\right| .
$$

Definition 1.2 (Marouf, [4]). Two nonnegative sequences $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$, and $y=\left(y_{k}\right)$ are said to be asymptotically equivalent if

$$
\lim _{k} \frac{x_{k}}{y_{k}}=1
$$

(denoted by $x \sim y$ ).

Definition 1.3 (Fridy, [2]). The sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ has statistical limit $L$ provided that for every $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{1}{n}\left\{\text { the number of } k \leq n:\left|x_{k}-L\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}=0 .
$$

The next definition is natural combination of definition (1.2) and (1.3).
Definition 1.4. Two nonnegative sequences $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{k}\right)$ are said to be asymptotically statistical equivalent of multiple L, briefly $x \stackrel{S_{L}}{\sim} y$, if for every $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{k \leq n:\left|\frac{x_{k}}{y_{k}}-L\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}\right|=0
$$

where $|K|$ denotes the cardinality of $K$.
Let $P_{\delta}=\left\{x=\left(x_{k}\right): x_{k} \geq \delta>0\right.$ for all $\left.k\right\}$ and let $P_{0}$ be the set of all nonnegative sequences which have at most a finite number of zero entries.

For $x=\left(x_{k}\right) \in l^{1}$ let $R x=\left(R_{n} x\right)=\left(\sum_{k \geq n}\left|x_{k}\right|\right)$. For a sequence $x$ and an infinite matrix $A=\left(a_{n, k}\right)$ let $A x=\left(\sum_{k} a_{n, k} x_{k}\right)$ provided that all series $\sum_{k} a_{n, k} x_{k}$ converge.
Definition 1.5. If $\boldsymbol{B}=\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{i}\right)$ is a sequence of infinite matrices $\boldsymbol{B}_{i}=\left(b_{n, k}(i)\right)$, then a sequence $x \in l_{\infty}$ is said to be $\boldsymbol{B}$-summable to the value $x_{0}$ if $\lim _{n}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{i} x\right)_{n}$ $=\lim _{n} \sum_{k} b_{n, k}(i) x_{k}=x_{0}$, uniformly in $i$.

Taking in the theorems of Patterson [6] a summability method $\mathbf{B}$ instead of summability matrix $A$ the authors give two analogous theorems.
Definition 1.6. A summability matrix $\boldsymbol{B}=\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{i}\right)$ is asymptotically statistical regular provided that $\boldsymbol{B}_{i} x \stackrel{S_{L}}{\sim} \boldsymbol{B}_{i} y$ whenever $x \stackrel{S_{L}}{\sim} y, x \in P_{0}$, and $y \in P_{\delta}$ for some $\delta>0$.

## 2. Main Results

In this section we shall present two theorems concerning necessary and sufficient conditions of the matrix transformation that will preserve asymptotically statistical equivalents of multiple L of a given sequence and the regularity of asymptotically statistical convergence.

Theorem 2.1. If $\boldsymbol{B}=\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{i}\right)$ is a sequence of infinite nonnegative matrices with $\boldsymbol{B}_{i}=\left(b_{n, k}(i)\right)$ that maps bounded sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ into $l^{1}$ then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) If $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{k}\right)$ are sequences such that $x \stackrel{S^{L}}{\sim} y, x \in P_{0}$ and $y \in P_{\delta}$ for some $\delta>0$ then

$$
R_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{i} x\right) \stackrel{S_{L}}{\sim} R_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{i} y\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n} \frac{1}{n} \max _{i}\left|\left\{k \leq n:\left|\frac{\sum_{p=k}^{\infty} b_{p, m}(i)}{\sum_{p=k}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{p, j}(i)}\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}\right|=0 \text { for each } m \text { and } \epsilon>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The definition of asymptotically statistically equivalent of multiple $L$ can be interpreted as the following:

$$
\left|\frac{x_{s}}{y_{s}}-L\right| \leq \epsilon \text { for almost all } s(\text { denoted by a.a.s). }
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L-\epsilon) y_{s} \leq x_{s} \leq(L+\epsilon) y_{s} \text { a.a.s. } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the for all $i, R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right)=\sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} b_{p r(i)} x_{r}$; which implies the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right)}{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} y\right)} & \leq \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{R-1} \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \max _{0 \leq r \leq R-1}\left\{b_{p, r}(i)\right\} x_{r}}{\sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} b_{p, r}(i) y_{r}} \\
& +\frac{\sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \sum_{r=R}^{\infty} b_{p, r}(i) x_{r}}{\sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} b_{p, r}(i) y_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.1) we obtain the following:

$$
\frac{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right)}{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} y\right)} \leq \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{R-1} \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \max _{0 \leq r \leq R-1}\left\{b_{p, r}(i)\right\}}{\delta \sum_{p=k}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} b_{p, r}(i)}+(L+\epsilon) \text { a.a.n }
$$

Thus by Equation (2) for all $i$

$$
\underset{n}{\limsup } \frac{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right)}{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} y\right)} \leq(L+\epsilon) \text { a.a.n } .
$$

Inequality (2.1) can be used in a similar manner to obtain the following:

$$
\liminf _{n} \frac{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right)}{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} y\right)} \geq(L-\epsilon) \text { a.a.n } .
$$

Thus

$$
R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right) \stackrel{S_{L}}{\sim} R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} y\right)
$$

For the second part of this theorem let us consider the following two sequences:

$$
x_{s}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { if } s \leq K \\
1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $K$ is a positive integer and $y_{s}=1$ for all $s$. The two sequences imply the following: for all $i$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right)=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right) & =\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \sum_{s=K+1}^{\infty} b_{k, s}(i) \\
& =\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} b_{k, s}(i)-\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{K} b_{k, s}(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore for all $i$

$$
s t-\liminf _{n} \frac{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right)}{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} y\right)} \leq 1-s t-\limsup _{n} \frac{(K+1) \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} b_{p, k}(i)}{\sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} b_{p, s}(i)}
$$

where $0 \leq k \leq K$. Since each nonconstant element of the last inequality has statistical limit zero we obtain the following for all $i$ :

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right)}{R_{n}\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} y\right)}=1 \text { a.a.n. }
$$

This completes the proof.
In 1980 Pobyvanets presented definition for asymptotically equivalent sequences and asymptotic regular matrices. Using these definitions he also presented a Silverman Toeplitz type characterization for asymptotic equivalent sequences. In similar manner we have presented a definition for asymptotically statistical equivalent sequences via a sequence of infinite matrices and use it to present Silverman Toeplitz conditions similar to Poyvanents' results.

Theorem 2.2. In order for a sequence of summability matrices $\boldsymbol{B}$ to be asymptotically statistical regular it is necessary and sufficient that for each fixed positive integer $k_{0}$
(1) $\sum_{p=1}^{k_{0}} b_{n, p}(i)$ is bounded for each $(n, i)$.
$\lim _{n} \frac{1}{n} \max _{i}\left\{\right.$ the number of $k \leq n:\left|\frac{\sum_{p=1}^{k_{0}} b_{n, p}(i)}{\sum_{p=k 1}^{\infty} b_{n, p}(i)}\right| \geq \epsilon$ for each $k_{0}$ and $\left.\epsilon>0\right\}$
$=0$.
Proof. The necessary part of this theorem is established in a manner similar to that of the necessary part of the last theorem. To establish the sufficient part of this theorem, let $\epsilon>0$ and $x \stackrel{S^{L}}{\sim} y, x \in P_{0}$ and $y \in P_{\delta}$ for some $\delta>0$ these conditions implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L-\epsilon) y_{s+\alpha} \leq x_{s+\alpha} \leq(L+\epsilon) y_{s+\alpha} \text { a.a.s for some } \alpha=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right)_{n}}{\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} y\right)_{n}} & =\frac{\sum_{p=1}^{\alpha} b_{n, p}(i) x_{p}+\sum_{p=1+\alpha}^{\infty} b_{n, p}(i) x_{p}}{\sum_{p=1}^{\alpha} b_{n, p}(i) y_{p}+\sum_{p=1+\alpha}^{\infty} b_{n, p}(i) y_{p}} \\
& =\frac{\frac{\sum_{p=1}^{\alpha} b_{n, p}(i) x_{p}}{\sum_{p=\alpha}^{\alpha} b_{n, p}(i) y_{p}}+\frac{\sum_{p=\alpha+1}^{\infty} b_{n, p}(i) x_{p}}{\sum_{p=\alpha+1}^{\infty} b_{n, p}(i) y_{p}}}{\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} b_{n, p}(i) y_{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequality (2.2) implies that for all $i$,

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{\sum_{p=1+\alpha}^{\infty} b_{n, p}(i) x_{p}}{\sum_{p=1+\alpha}^{\infty} b_{n, p}(i) y_{p}}=L, \text { a.a.n. }
$$

Since $x \in P_{0}, y \in P_{\delta}$, and condition (2) holds we obtain the following for all i

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{\alpha} b_{n, p}(i) x_{p}}{\sum_{p=1+\alpha}^{\infty} b_{n, p}(i) y_{p}}=0, \text { a.a.n }
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{\alpha} b_{n, p}(i) y_{p}}{\sum_{p=1+\alpha}^{\infty} b_{n, p}(i) y_{p}}=0, \text { a.a.n. }
$$

Thus for all $i$

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} x\right)_{n}}{\left(\mathbf{B}_{i} y\right)_{n}}=L \text {, a.a.n. }
$$

This implies that $B x \stackrel{S^{L}}{\sim} B y$ where $x \stackrel{S^{L}}{\sim} y, y \in P_{0}$, and $y \in P_{\delta}$ for some $\delta>0$. This completes the proof.

If we let $B_{i}=A$ for all $i$ the above theorems reduces to Patterson's results in [6]
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